



(c) Any two are in general impossible to achieve. Please provide the intuition, not the maths.

(d) All the three above are observational criteria. Suggest a criterion that is not observational.

2. Let us revisit the UC Berkeley admission problem from 1973. The overall acceptance

Department	Men		Women	
	Applied	Admitted (%)	Applied	Admitted (%)
A	825	62	108	<b>82</b>
B	520	60	25	<b>68</b>
C	325	37	593	34
D	417	33	375	<b>35</b>
E	191	<b>28</b>	393	24
F	373	6	341	<b>7</b>

rate for men was 44%, whereas for women it was only about 30%, suggesting the possibility of disparate impact. The department-wise data (see figure), however, showed a higher acceptance rate for women for most departments (please argue that this is not a mathematical surprise!). Apparently, Berkeley argued that

“The bias in the aggregated data stems not from any pattern of discrimination on the part of admissions committees, which seems quite fair on the whole, but apparently from prior screening at earlier levels of the educational system. Women are shunted by their socialization and education toward fields of graduate study that are generally more crowded, less productive of completed degrees, and less well funded, and that frequently offer poorer professional employment prospects.”

