1. One ordered pair $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ dominates another ordered pair $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ if $v_{1} \geq u_{1}$ and $v_{2} \geq u_{2}$. Given a set $S$ of ordered pairs, an ordered pair $u \in S$ is called Pareto optimal for $S$ if there is no $v \in S$ such that $v$ dominates $u$. Give an efficient algorithm that takes as input a list of $n$ ordered pairs and outputs the subset of all Pareto-optimal pairs in $S$. Provide a proof of correctness along with the runtime analysis.

## Solution:

Algorithm Description: Given an input of $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$, if $n=1$, return the single ordered pair $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$, otherwise sort the ordered pairs by their $x$ values. Use the $y$ values as a secondary key to break ties in $x$ values. Let $m=\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$ and split the input into $L=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{m}, y_{m}\right)$ and $U=\left(x_{m+1}, y_{m+1}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$. Then recursively find $P L, P U$, the pareto max subset of $L, U$, recursively. Then let $y U$ be the maximum $y$ value of $U$ and let $P L y$ be all the ordered pairs in $P L$ that have a larger $y$ value than $y U$. Then return $P L y \cup P U$.
Correctness: The base case works. Since all $x$ values of $L$ are lower than all $x$ values in $U$, this means that there are no ordered pairs in $L$ that dominate any ordered pair in $U$ so all ordered pairs in the pareto max subset of $U, P U$ must also be in the pareto max subset of the original input. Each ordered pair in $P L$ has a lower $x$ value than all ordered pairs in $U$ so in order for an ordered pair in $P L$ to be in the pareto max of the original set, it must have a higher $y$ value than all ordered pairs of $U$. So, $P L y$ is the set of all ordered pairs in $P L$ that have a larger $y$ value than all the ordered pairs in $U$.

Runtime: There is the cost of sorting. But this can be done as a preprocessing step. Then in the algorithm there are 2 recursive calls each of size $n / 2$ and the non-recursive part of finding the max $y$ value of $U$ and finding all ordered pairs in $P L$ that have a larger $y$ value than the largest $y$ value of $U$ all can be done in $O(n)$. So this recursion has $a=2, b=2, d=1$ and the algorithm will take $O(n \log (n))$.
2. Given a sequence of integers (positive or negative) in an array $A[1 \ldots n]$, the goal is to find a subsection of this array such that the sum of integers in the subsection is maximized. A subsection is a contiguous sequence of indices in the array. (For example, consider the array and one of its subsection below. The sum of integers in this subsection is -1 .)


Subsection with sum -1
Let us call a subsection that maximizes the sum of integers, a maximum subsection. Design a divide and conquer algorithm with $O(n \log n)$ running time to output the sum of integers in a maximum subsection of a given array $A$. Give pseudocode and discuss running time.

