COL863: Quantum Computation and Information

Ragesh Jaiswal, CSE, IIT Delhi

Quantum Mechanics Postulates

Distinguishing quantum states

Alice chooses a state $|\psi_i\rangle$ from a fixed set of states $|\psi_1\rangle$,..., $|\psi_n\rangle$ (known to both Alice and Bob) and gives this state to Bob whose task is to identify *i*.

• <u>Claim 2</u>: There is no winning strategy for Bob if there are non-orthogonal states.

Proof sketch

- Assume n = 2 and let $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$ be non-orthogonal.
- The most general strategy for Bob is to measure using operators
 {*M_m*} and use a function *f* : {1,...,*m*} → {1,2} to return an
 answer to Alice. Suppose for the sake of contradiction, there
 exists such a winning strategy for Bob.

• Let
$$E_i = \sum_{j:f(j)=i} M_j^{\dagger} M_j$$
 for $i = 1, 2$.

- Since this is a winning strategy for Bob, we have: $\langle \psi_1 | E_1 | \psi_1 \rangle = 1; \langle \psi_2 | E_2 | \psi_2 \rangle = 1$
- Claim 2.1: $\sqrt{E_2} |\psi_1\rangle = 0$
- <u>Claim 2.2</u>: Decompose $|\psi_2\rangle = \alpha |\psi_1\rangle + \beta |\phi\rangle$, where $|\phi\rangle$ is orthonormal to $|\psi_1\rangle$. Then $|\beta| < 1$.
- Claim 2.3: $\langle \psi_2 | E_2 | \psi_2 \rangle = |\beta|^2 \langle \phi | E_2 | \phi \rangle \le |\beta|^2 < 1.$
- The above contradicts with the fourth bullet item.

Superdense coding problem

Alice wants to send two classical bits to Bob. They share a Bell pair and the constraint is that Alice can only send a single qubit to Bob.

- Projective measurement is a special class of measurements and defines as special case of measurement postulate 3.
 - $\bullet\,$ Is this a weaker notion than the generalized measurement postulate? No

- The observable has a spectral decomposition $M = \sum_m mP_m$, where P_m is the projector onto the eigenspace of M with eigenvalue m.
- The possible outcomes of the measurement correspond to the eigenvalues, *m*, of the observable.
- The probability of measuring outcome being *m* on measuring state $|\psi\rangle$ is given by $p(m) = \langle \psi | P_m | \psi \rangle$.
- Given that *m* is the outcome of the measurement, the post-measurement state is $\frac{P_m|\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{p(m)}}$.

- The observable has a spectral decomposition $M = \sum_{m} mP_{m}$, where P_{m} is the projector onto the eigenspace of M with eigenvalue m.
- The possible outcomes of the measurement correspond to the eigenvalues, *m*, of the observable.
- The probability of measuring outcome being *m* on measuring state $|\psi\rangle$ is given by $p(m) = \langle \psi | P_m | \psi \rangle$.
- Given that *m* is the outcome of the measurement, the post-measurement state is $\frac{P_m|\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{\rho(m)}}$.
- <u>Observation</u>: Generalized measurements where the measurement operators are constrained to be orthogonal projectors are the same as projective measurements.
- <u>Exercise</u>: M_m are orthogonal projectors if and only if M_m are Hermitian and $M_m M_{m'} = \delta_{m,m'} M_m$.

Quantum Mechanics Postulates: Projective measurements

Projective measurements

- The observable has a spectral decomposition $M = \sum_m m P_m$, where P_m is the projector onto the eigenspace of M with eigenvalue m.
- The possible outcomes of the measurement correspond to the eigenvalues, *m*, of the observable.
- The probability of measuring outcome being *m* on measuring state $|\psi\rangle$ is given by $p(m) = \langle \psi | P_m | \psi \rangle$.
- Given that *m* is the outcome of the measurement, the post-measurement state is $\frac{P_m|\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{p(m)}}$.
- <u>Observation</u>: Generalized measurements where the measurement operators are constrained to be orthogonal projectors are the same as projective measurements.
- Exercise: M_m are orthogonal projectors if and only if M_m are Hermitian and $M_m M_{m'} = \delta_{m,m'} M_m$.
- <u>Observation</u>: Generalized measurements where the measurement operators M_m have additional constraints that M_m are Hermitian and $M_m M_{m'} = \delta_{m,m'} M_m$, are the same as projective measurements.

- The observable has a spectral decomposition $M = \sum_{m} mP_{m}$, where P_{m} is the projector onto the eigenspace of M with eigenvalue m.
- The possible outcomes of the measurement correspond to the eigenvalues, *m*, of the observable.
- The probability of measuring outcome being *m* on measuring state $|\psi\rangle$ is given by $p(m) = \langle \psi | P_m | \psi \rangle$.
- Given that *m* is the outcome of the measurement, the post-measurement state is $\frac{P_m|\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{\rho(m)}}$.
- <u>Claim</u>: The average value of the measurement, denoted by $\mathbf{E}[M]$, is given by $\mathbf{E}[M] = \langle \psi | M | \psi \rangle$.

- The observable has a spectral decomposition $M = \sum_m mP_m$, where P_m is the projector onto the eigenspace of M with eigenvalue m.
- The possible outcomes of the measurement correspond to the eigenvalues, *m*, of the observable.
- The probability of measuring outcome being *m* on measuring state $|\psi\rangle$ is given by $p(m) = \langle \psi | P_m | \psi \rangle$.
- Given that *m* is the outcome of the measurement, the post-measurement state is $\frac{P_m|\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{\rho(m)}}$.
- Exercise: Suppose we measure state ψ that is an eigenvector corresponding to eigenvalue m of the observable M. What is E[M]?

- The observable has a spectral decomposition $M = \sum_{m} mP_{m}$, where P_{m} is the projector onto the eigenspace of M with eigenvalue m.
- The possible outcomes of the measurement correspond to the eigenvalues, *m*, of the observable.
- The probability of measuring outcome being *m* on measuring state $|\psi\rangle$ is given by $p(m) = \langle \psi | P_m | \psi \rangle$.
- Given that *m* is the outcome of the measurement, the post-measurement state is $\frac{P_m|\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{p(m)}}$.
- Describing the observable *M* is one way to define the projective measurement. Other ways include:
 - A set of orthogonal projectors P_m satisfying completeness, that is, $\sum_m P_m = I$. The observable in this case is $\sum_m mP_m$.
 - An orthonormal basis $|m\rangle$ in which case, $P_m = |m\rangle \langle m|$.

- The observable has a spectral decomposition $M = \sum_{m} mP_{m}$, where P_{m} is the projector onto the eigenspace of M with eigenvalue m.
- The possible outcomes of the measurement correspond to the eigenvalues, *m*, of the observable.
- The probability of measuring outcome being *m* on measuring state $|\psi\rangle$ is given by $p(m) = \langle \psi | P_m | \psi \rangle$.
- Given that *m* is the outcome of the measurement, the post-measurement state is $\frac{P_m|\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{\rho(m)}}$.
- <u>Exercise</u>: Discuss projective measurement of the state $\frac{|0\rangle+|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$ w.r.t. observable Z.

- The measurement postulate defines rules for
 - measurement statistics, and
 - Ø post-measurement state.
- For certain applications, the post-measurement state is not very important.
 - Can you think of such a scenario?
- POVM stands for Positive Operator-Valued Measure. The main ideas are captured in the following points:
 - For generalised measurement operators M_m and state $|\psi\rangle$, the measurement statistics are given by $p(m) = \langle \psi | M_m^{\dagger} M | \psi \rangle$.
 - Since we are interested only in the measurement statistics, it will be sufficient to describe the measurement using positive operators

$$E_m \equiv M_m^{\dagger} M_m$$

- <u>Observation</u>: $\sum_{m} E_{m} = I$ and $p(m) = \langle \psi | E_{m} | \psi \rangle$.
- <u>Notation</u>: The operators E_m are called POVM elements associated with the measurement and set $\{E_m\}$ is known as POVM.

- POVM stands for Positive Operator-Valued Measure. The main ideas are captured in the following points:
 - For generalised measurement operators M_m and state $|\psi\rangle$, the measurement statistics are given by $p(m) = \langle \psi | M_m^{\dagger} M | \psi \rangle$.
 - Since we are interested only in the measurement statistics, it will be sufficient to describe the measurement using positive operators

$$E_m \equiv M_m^{\dagger} M_m$$

- <u>Observation</u>: $\sum_{m} E_{m} = I$ and $p(m) = \langle \psi | E_{m} | \psi \rangle$.
- <u>Notation</u>: The operators E_m are called POVM elements associated with the measurement and set $\{E_m\}$ is known as POVM.
- Exercise: Let E_m be an arbitrary set of positive operators such that $\sum_m E_m = I$. Does there exist measurement operators M_m with the same measurement statistics are ones defined by E_m ?

- POVM stands for Positive Operator-Valued Measure. The main ideas are captured in the following points:
 - For generalised measurement operators M_m and state $|\psi\rangle$, the measurement statistics are given by $p(m) = \langle \psi | M_m^{\dagger} M | \psi \rangle$.
 - Since we are interested only in the measurement statistics, it will be sufficient to describe the measurement using positive operators

$$E_m \equiv M_m^{\dagger} M_m$$

- Observation: $\sum_{m} E_{m} = I$ and $p(m) = \langle \psi | E_{m} | \psi \rangle$.
- <u>Notation</u>: The operators E_m are called POVM elements associated with the measurement and set $\{E_m\}$ is known as POVM.
- <u>Exercise</u>: Let E_m be an arbitrary set of positive operators such that $\sum_m E_m = I$. Does there exist measurement operators M_m with the same measurement statistics are ones defined by E_m ?

• Yes.
$$M_m = \sqrt{E_m}$$
.

Quantum Mechanics Postulates: POVM measurements

POVM application: Show that the following POVM

$$E_{1} \equiv \frac{\sqrt{2}}{1+\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle \langle 1|$$

$$E_{2} \equiv \frac{\sqrt{2}}{1+\sqrt{2}} \frac{(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)(\langle 0| - \langle 1|)}{2}$$

$$E_{3} \equiv I - E_{1} - E_{2}$$

helps to distinguish states $|0\rangle$ and $\frac{|0\rangle+|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$ with the caveat that sometimes it may output "don't know".

Postulate 4

The state space of a composite physical system is the tensor product of the state spaces of the component physical systems. Moreover, if we have systems numbered 1 through *n*, and system number *i* is prepared in state $|\psi_i\rangle$, then the joint state of the total system is $|\psi_1\rangle \otimes |\psi_2\rangle \otimes ... \otimes |\psi_n\rangle$.

- We commented earlier that projective measurement is not a weaker notion when compared with generalised measurements (even though it may seem so).
- We will not argue that (Projective measurement + Unitary operators) has the same power generalised measurement.

Lemma

Suppose V is a Hilbert space with a subspace W. Suppose $U: W \to V$ is a linear operator that preserves inner products, that is, for any $|w_1\rangle$, $|w_2\rangle \in W$,

$$\langle w_1 | U^{\dagger} U | w_2 \rangle = \langle w_1 | w_2 \rangle.$$

Show that there exists a unitary operator $U' : V \to V$ that extends U. That is, $U' |w\rangle = U |w\rangle$ for all $|w\rangle \in W$ but U' is defined on the entire space V. • <u>Claim</u>: (Projective measurement + unitary operators) = generalised measurement.

Proof sketch

- Let Q be the state space of the quantum system in which we would like to make a generalised measurement using measurement operators M_m .
- We introduce an ancilla system with state space M with orthonormal basis |m>.
- Let U be an operator defined as

$$U\ket{\psi}\ket{0}\equiv\sum_{m}M_{m}\ket{\psi}\ket{m}$$

where $|0\rangle$ is an arbitrary state of *M*.

• Claim 1: U preserves inner products between states of the form $|\psi\rangle |0\rangle$.

Quantum Mechanics Postulates: Composite system

• <u>Claim</u>: (Projective measurement + unitary operators) = generalised measurement.

Proof sketch

- Let Q be the state space of the quantum system in which we would like to make a generalised measurement using measurement operators M_m.
- We introduce an ancilla system with state space M with orthonormal basis |m>.
- Let U be an operator defined as

$$U \ket{\psi} \ket{0} \equiv \sum_{m} M_{m} \ket{\psi} \ket{m}$$

where $|0\rangle$ is an arbitrary state of *M*.

- Claim 1: U preserves inner products between states of the form $|\psi\rangle |0\rangle$.
- <u>Claim 2</u>: U can be extended to a unitary operator on Q ⊗ M (let us denote this by U itself).
- Claim 3: Let $P_m = I_Q \otimes |m\rangle \langle m|$. Projective measurement using P_m on $Q \otimes M$ is similar to generalised measurement using M_m on Q.

End

Ragesh Jaiswal, CSE, IIT Delhi COL863: Quantum Computation and Information