

# CSL202: Discrete Mathematical Structures

Ragesh Jaiswal, CSE, IIT Delhi

# Relations

# Relations

## Closure of relations

### Closure

A relation  $S$  on a set  $A$  is called the **closure** of another relation  $R$  on  $A$  with respect to property  $P$  if  $S$  has property  $P$ ,  $S$  contains  $R$ , and  $S$  is a subset of every relation with property  $P$  containing  $R$ .

# Relations

## Closure of relations

- Question: How do we find the transitive closure of any relation  $R$  on set  $A$ ?

### Theorem

*Let  $R$  be a relation on a set  $A$ . There is a path of length  $n$ , where  $n$  is a positive integer, from  $a$  to  $b$  if and only if  $(a, b) \in R^n$ .*

### Definition (Connectivity relation)

Let  $R$  be a relation on a set  $A$ . The connectivity relation  $R^*$  consists of the pairs  $(a, b)$  such that there is a path of length at least one from  $a$  to  $b$  in  $R$ .

- Claim:  $R^* = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} R^n$ .

### Theorem

*The transitive closure of a relation  $R$  equals the connectivity relation  $R^*$ .*

# Relations

## Closure of relations

- Question: How do we find the transitive closure of any relation  $R$  on set  $A$ ?

### Theorem

Let  $R$  be a relation on a set  $A$ . There is a path of length  $n$ , where  $n$  is a positive integer, from  $a$  to  $b$  if and only if  $(a, b) \in R^n$ .

### Definition (Connectivity relation)

Let  $R$  be a relation on a set  $A$ . The connectivity relation  $R^*$  consists of the pairs  $(a, b)$  such that there is a path of length at least one from  $a$  to  $b$  in  $R$ .

- Claim:  $R^* = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} R^n$ .

### Theorem

The transitive closure of a relation  $R$  equals the connectivity relation  $R^*$ .

### Lemma

Let  $A$  be a set with  $n$  elements and let  $R$  be a relation on  $A$ . If there is a path of length at least one in  $R$  from  $a$  to  $b$ , then there is such a path with length not exceeding  $n$ . Moreover, when  $a \neq b$ , if there is a path of length at least one in  $R$  from  $a$  to  $b$ , then there is such a path with length not exceeding  $(n - 1)$ .

# Relations

## Closure of relations

- Question: How do we find the transitive closure of any relation  $R$  on set  $A$ ?

### Theorem

Let  $R$  be a relation on a set  $A$ . There is a path of length  $n$ , where  $n$  is a positive integer, from  $a$  to  $b$  if and only if  $(a, b) \in R^n$ .

### Definition (Connectivity relation)

Let  $R$  be a relation on a set  $A$ . The connectivity relation  $R^*$  consists of the pairs  $(a, b)$  such that there is a path of length at least one from  $a$  to  $b$  in  $R$ .

- Claim:  $R^* = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} R^n$ .

### Theorem

The transitive closure of a relation  $R$  equals the connectivity relation  $R^*$ .

### Lemma

Let  $A$  be a set with  $n$  elements and let  $R$  be a relation on  $A$ . If there is a path of length at least one in  $R$  from  $a$  to  $b$ , then there is such a path with length not exceeding  $n$ . Moreover, when  $a \neq b$ , if there is a path of length at least one in  $R$  from  $a$  to  $b$ , then there is such a path with length not exceeding  $(n - 1)$ .

- Claim:  $R^* = \bigcup_{i=1}^n R^i$ .

# Relations

## Closure of relations

- Question: How do we find the transitive closure of any relation  $R$  on set  $A$ ?
  - Claim:  $R^* = \cup_{i=1}^n R^i$ .

### Theorem

Let  $M_R$  be the 0/1 matrix representing a relation  $R$  on a set of  $n$  elements. The the 0/1 matrix of the transitive closure  $R^*$  is

$$M_{R^*} = M_R \vee M_R^{[2]} \vee M_R^{[3]} \vee \dots \vee M_R^{[n]}.$$

# Relations

## Closure of relations

- Question: How do we find the transitive closure of any relation  $R$  on set  $A$ ?
  - Claim:  $R^* = \cup_{i=1}^n R^i$ .

### Theorem

Let  $M_R$  be the 0/1 matrix representing a relation  $R$  on a set of  $n$  elements. The the 0/1 matrix of the transitive closure  $R^*$  is

$$M_{R^*} = M_R \vee M_R^{[2]} \vee M_R^{[3]} \vee \dots \vee M_R^{[n]}.$$

- Example: Given  $M_R = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , what is  $M_{R^*}$ ?



# Relations

## Closure of relations

- Question: How do we find the transitive closure of any relation  $R$  on set  $A$ ?
- Claim:  $R^* = \cup_{i=1}^n R^i$ .

### Theorem

Let  $M_R$  be the 0/1 matrix representing a relation  $R$  on a set of  $n$  elements. The the 0/1 matrix of the transitive closure  $R^*$  is

$$M_{R^*} = M_R \vee M_R^{[2]} \vee M_R^{[3]} \vee \dots \vee M_R^{[n]}.$$

- How many bit operations are required for computing  $M_{R^*}$ ?

# Relations

## Closure of relations

- Question: How do we find the transitive closure of any relation  $R$  on set  $A$ ?
  - Claim:  $R^* = \cup_{i=1}^n R^i$ .

### Theorem

Let  $M_R$  be the 0/1 matrix representing a relation  $R$  on a set of  $n$  elements. The the 0/1 matrix of the transitive closure  $R^*$  is

$$M_{R^*} = M_R \vee M_R^{[2]} \vee M_R^{[3]} \vee \dots \vee M_R^{[n]}.$$

- How many bit operations are required for computing  $M_{R^*}$ ?  $O(n^4)$
- Question: Can we find closure in fewer bit operations?

# Relations

## Closure of relations

- Question: How do we find the transitive closure of any relation  $R$  on set  $A$ ?
  - Claim:  $R^* = \cup_{i=1}^n R^i$ .

### Theorem

Let  $M_R$  be the 0/1 matrix representing a relation  $R$  on a set of  $n$  elements. The the 0/1 matrix of the transitive closure  $R^*$  is

$$M_{R^*} = M_R \vee M_R^{[2]} \vee M_R^{[3]} \vee \dots \vee M_R^{[n]}.$$

- How many bit operations are required for computing  $M_{R^*}$ ?  $O(n^4)$
- Question: Can we find closure in fewer bit operations?
  - **Warshall's Algorithm** solves the problem in  $O(n^3)$  bit operations.

# Relations

## Equivalence relations

### Definition (Equivalence relation)

A relation in a set  $A$  is called an equivalence relation if it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

### Definition (Equivalent elements)

Two elements  $a$  and  $b$  that are related by an equivalence relation are called equivalent. The notation  $a \sim b$  is often used to denote that  $a$  and  $b$  are equivalent elements with respect to a particular equivalence relation.

- Question: Let  $m > 1$  be an integer. Show that  $R = \{(a, b) \mid a \equiv b \pmod{m}\}$  is an equivalence relation on the set of integers.

# Relations

## Equivalence relations

### Definition (Equivalence relation)

A relation in a set  $A$  is called an equivalence relation if it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

### Definition (Equivalent elements)

Two elements  $a$  and  $b$  that are related by an equivalence relation are called equivalent. The notation  $a \sim b$  is often used to denote that  $a$  and  $b$  are equivalent elements with respect to a particular equivalence relation.

### Definition (Equivalence class)

Let  $R$  be an equivalence relation on a set  $A$ . The set of all elements that are related to an element  $a$  of  $A$  is called the equivalence class of  $a$ . The equivalence class of  $a$  with respect to  $R$  is denoted by  $[a]_R$ . When only one relation is under consideration, we can delete the subscript  $R$  and write  $[a]$  for this equivalence class.

- Question: What are the equivalence classes of 0 and 1 for congruence modulo 4?

# Relations

## Equivalence relations

### Definition (Equivalence relation)

A relation in a set  $A$  is called an equivalence relation if it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

### Definition (Equivalent elements)

Two elements  $a$  and  $b$  that are related by an equivalence relation are called equivalent. The notation  $a \sim b$  is often used to denote that  $a$  and  $b$  are equivalent elements with respect to a particular equivalence relation.

### Definition (Equivalence class)

Let  $R$  be an equivalence relation on a set  $A$ . The set of all elements that are related to an element  $a$  of  $A$  is called the equivalence class of  $a$ . The equivalence class of  $a$  with respect to  $R$  is denoted by  $[a]_R$ . When only one relation is under consideration, we can delete the subscript  $R$  and write  $[a]$  for this equivalence class.

### Theorem

*Let  $R$  be an equivalence relation on a set  $A$ . These statements for elements  $a$  and  $b$  of  $A$  are equivalent: (i)  $(a, b) \in R$ , (ii)  $[a] = [b]$ , and (iii)  $[a] \cap [b] \neq \emptyset$ .*

### Theorem

*Let  $R$  be an equivalence relation on a set  $S$ . Then the equivalence classes of  $R$  form a partition of  $S$ . Conversely, given a partition  $\{A_i | i \in I\}$  of the set  $S$ , there is an equivalence relation  $R$  that has the sets  $A_i, i \in I$ , as its equivalence classes.*

End