Name: ____

ID number:

There are 2 questions for a total of 10 points.

1. (2 points) Recall the Euclid-GCD algorithm discussed in class for finding the gcd of positive integers $a \ge b > 0$. The algorithm makes a sequence of recursive calls until the second input becomes 0. For example, the sequence of recursive calls for finding the gcd of 2 and 1 are:

 $Euclid-GCD(2,1) \rightarrow Euclid-GCD(1,0)$

Write down the sequence of recursive calls made when the algorithms is used for finding the gcd of 53 and 991.

Solution: Euclid-GCD(991,53) \rightarrow Euclid-GCD(53,37) \rightarrow Euclid-GCD(37,16) \rightarrow Euclid-GCD(16,5) \rightarrow Euclid-GCD(5,1) \rightarrow Euclid-GCD(1,0).

2. (8 points) Recall the Euclid-GCD(a, b) algorithm discussed in the lectures for finding the gcd of two integers a and b. Prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1 (Lame's theorem). For any integer $k \ge 1$, if $a > b \ge 1$ and $b < F_{k+1}$, then the call Euclid-GCD(a, b) makes fewer than k recursive calls.

Here F_k denotes the k^{th} number in the Fibonacci sequence (0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, ...)(Note that since this question was part of the tutorial sheet, special emphasis will be given to the clarity of your proof while grading.)

Solution: We will prove the statement using strong induction. Consider the following propositional function:

P(b): The number of recursive calls made by the Euclid-GCD algorithm when run with inputs $a \ge b$ with $b < F_{k+1}$ is < k.

Basis step: Here we will show that P(1) and P(2) are true.

For any a > 0, the number of recursive calls is 1 when b = 1. Furthermore, $b = 1 < F_{k+1}$ only if $k \ge 2$, and for all such k the number of recursive calls is < k. So, P(1) holds.

For any a > 0, the number of recursive calls is ≤ 2 when b = 2. This is because in the next recursive call the smaller number will either be 0 or 1 in which case there can be at most 1 more recursive call. Furthermore, $b = 2 < F_{k+1}$ only if $k \geq 3$, and for all such k the number of recursive calls is < k. So, P(2) holds.

Inductive step: We will assume that P(1), ..., P(b-1) holds for an arbitrary integer $b \ge 3$ and then show that P(b) holds.

Suppose k is the smallest integer such that $b < F_{k+1}$. This means that $b \ge F_k$. We break the analysis into the following two parts:

- $a \pmod{b} < F_k$: In this case, after the first recursive call, the pair of numbers that is used for further recursive calls is $(b, a \pmod{b})$. Now since in this case, $a \pmod{b} < b$ and $a \pmod{b} < F_k$, using the induction hypothesis, we get that the number of further recursive calls is < (k-1) and hence the total number of recursive calls is < (k-1) + 1 = k.
- $a \pmod{b} \ge F_k$: In this case, the pair of numbers after the first recursive call is $(b, a \pmod{b})$. Let the pair after the second recursive call be $(a \pmod{b}, d)$. Then, since $a \pmod{b} \ge F_k$ and $b < F_{k+1}$, we have $d < b + 1 - a \pmod{b} \le F_{k+1} - F_k = F_{k-1}$. Moreover, since d < b, we can apply the inductive hypothesis to conclude that the total number of recursive calls is < (k-2) + 2 = k.

The above two cases shows that P(b) is true. So, using the principle of strong induction, we conclude that P(n) holds for all values of $n \ge 1$. This concludes the proof of Lame's Theorem.