

COL202: Discrete Mathematical Structures

Ragesh Jaiswal, CSE, IIT Delhi

Algorithms

Introduction

Big-O Notation

Definition (Big-O)

Let $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ be functions mapping positive integers to positive real numbers. We say that $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$ (or $f(n) = O(g(n))$ in short) **iff** there is a real constant $c > 0$ and an integer constant $n_0 \geq 1$ such that:

$$\forall n \geq n_0, f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$$

- Another short way of saying that $f(n) = O(g(n))$ is “ $f(n)$ is **order of** $g(n)$ ”.
- Show that: $8n + 5 = O(n)$.

Definition (Big-O)

Let $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ be functions mapping positive integers to positive real numbers. We say that $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$ (or $f(n) = O(g(n))$ in short) **iff** there is a real constant $c > 0$ and an integer constant $n_0 \geq 1$ such that:

$$\forall n \geq n_0, f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$$

- Another short way of saying that $f(n) = O(g(n))$ is “ $f(n)$ is **order of** $g(n)$ ”.
- Show that: $8n + 5 = O(n)$.
 - For constants $c = 13$ and $n_0 = 1$, we show that $\forall n \geq n_0, 8n + 5 \leq 13 \cdot n$. So, by definition of big-O, $8n + 5 = O(n)$.

Definition (Big-O)

Let $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ be functions mapping positive integers to positive real numbers. We say that $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$ (or $f(n) = O(g(n))$ in short) **iff** there is a real constant $c > 0$ and an integer constant $n_0 \geq 1$ such that:

$$\forall n \geq n_0, f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$$

- Another short way of saying that $f(n) = O(g(n))$ is “ $f(n)$ is **order of** $g(n)$ ”.
- $g(n)$ may be interpreted as an upper bound on $f(n)$.
- Show that: $8n + 5 = O(n)$.
- Is this true $8n + 5 = O(n^2)$?

Definition (Big-O)

Let $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ be functions mapping positive integers to positive real numbers. We say that $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$ (or $f(n) = O(g(n))$ in short) **iff** there is a real constant $c > 0$ and an integer constant $n_0 \geq 1$ such that:

$$\forall n \geq n_0, f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$$

- Another short way of saying that $f(n) = O(g(n))$ is “ $f(n)$ is **order of** $g(n)$ ”.
- $g(n)$ may be interpreted as an upper bound on $f(n)$.
- Show that: $8n + 5 = O(n)$.
- Is this true $8n + 5 = O(n^2)$? **Yes**
- $g(n)$ may be interpreted as an *upper bound* on $f(n)$.
- How do we capture *lower bound*?

Introduction

Big-O Notation

Definition (Big-Omega)

Let $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ be functions mapping positive integers to positive real numbers. We say that $f(n)$ is $\Omega(g(n))$ (or $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$ in short) **iff** there is a real constant $c > 0$ and an integer constant $n_0 \geq 1$ such that:

$$\forall n \geq n_0, f(n) \geq c \cdot g(n)$$

Definition (Big-Omega)

Let $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ be functions mapping positive integers to positive real numbers. We say that $f(n)$ is $\Omega(g(n))$ (or $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$ in short) **iff** there is a real constant $c > 0$ and an integer constant $n_0 \geq 1$ such that:

$$\forall n \geq n_0, f(n) \geq c \cdot g(n)$$

- Show that: $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$ iff $g(n) = O(f(n))$.

Introduction

Big-O Notation

Definition (Big-O)

Let $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ be functions mapping positive integers to positive real numbers. We say that $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$ (or $f(n) = O(g(n))$ in short) **iff** there is a real constant $c > 0$ and an integer constant $n_0 \geq 1$ such that:

$$\forall n \geq n_0, f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$$

Definition (Big-Omega)

Let $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ be functions mapping positive integers to positive real numbers. We say that $f(n)$ is $\Omega(g(n))$ (or $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$ in short) **iff** there is a real constant $c > 0$ and an integer constant $n_0 \geq 1$ such that:

$$\forall n \geq n_0, f(n) \geq c \cdot g(n)$$

- How do we say that $g(n)$ is both an upper bound and lower bound for a function $f(n)$? In other words, $g(n)$ is a **tight bound** on $f(n)$.

Introduction

Big-O Notation

Definition (Big-O)

Let $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ be functions mapping positive integers to positive real numbers. We say that $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$ (or $f(n) = O(g(n))$ in short) **iff** there is a real constant $c > 0$ and an integer constant $n_0 \geq 1$ such that:

$$\forall n \geq n_0, f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$$

Definition (Big-Omega)

Let $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ be functions mapping positive integers to positive real numbers. We say that $f(n)$ is $\Omega(g(n))$ (or $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$ in short) **iff** there is a real constant $c > 0$ and an integer constant $n_0 \geq 1$ such that:

$$\forall n \geq n_0, f(n) \geq c \cdot g(n)$$

Definition (Big-Theta)

Let $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ be functions mapping positive integers to positive real numbers. We say that $f(n)$ is $\Theta(g(n))$ (or $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$) **iff** $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$ and $f(n)$ is $\Omega(g(n))$.

- Question: Show that $3n \log n + 4n + 5 \log n$ is $\Theta(n \log n)$.

Introduction

Big-O Notation

- Growth rates:
 - Arrange the following functions in ascending order of growth rate:
 - n
 - $2^{\sqrt{\log n}}$
 - $n^{\log n}$
 - $2^{\log n}$
 - $n / \log n$
 - n^n

- Given two algorithms A1 and A2 for a problem, how do we decide which one runs faster?
- What we need is a platform independent way of comparing algorithms.
- Solution: Do an **asymptotic worst-case analysis** recording the running time using Big-(O , Ω , Θ) notation.

Introduction

- How do we describe an algorithm?
 - Using a **pseudocode**.
- What are the desirable features of an algorithm?
 - 1 It should be correct.
 - We use **proof of correctness** to argue correctness.
 - 2 It should run fast.
 - We do an **asymptotic worst-case analysis** noting the running time in Big- (O, Ω, Θ) notation and use it to compare algorithms.

Example

FindPositiveSum(A, n)

- $sum \leftarrow 0$

- For $i = 1$ to n

- if $(A[i] > 0) sum \leftarrow sum + A[i]$

- return(sum)

$O(1)$

$O(n)$

$O(n)$

$O(1)$

Total: $O(n)$

- Algorithms: Does there exist a problem that cannot be solved by any algorithm?

End