COL106: Data Structures and Algorithms

Ragesh Jaiswal, IIT Delhi

Ragesh Jaiswal, IIT Delhi COL106: Data Structures and Algorithms

-∰ ► < ≣ ►

Network Flow

Ragesh Jaiswal, IIT Delhi COL106: Data Structures and Algorithms

æ

Algorithm

Ford-Fulkerson

- Start with a flow f such that f(e) = 0
- While there is an s-t path P in G_f
 - Augment flow along an s-t path and let f' be resulting flow
 - Update f to f' and G_f to $G_{f'}$

- return(f)

- What is the running time of the above algorithm? $O(m \cdot C)$
 - <u>Claim 2</u>: v(f') > v(f).
 - <u>Claim 3</u>: The while loop runs for $C = \sum_{e \text{ out of } s} c(e)$ iterations.
 - Claim 4: Finding augmenting path and augmenting flow along this path takes O(m) time.

伺下 イヨト イヨト

Algorithm

Ford-Fulkerson

- Start with a flow f such that f(e) = 0
- While there is an s t path P in G_f
 - Augment flow along an s t path and let f' be resulting flow
 - Update f to f' and G_f to $G_{f'}$

- return(f)



▶ < ∃ >

Algorithm

Ford-Fulkerson

- Start with a flow f such that f(e) = 0
- While there is an s-t path P in G_f
 - Augment flow along an s t path and let f' be resulting flow
 - Update f to f' and G_f to $G_{f'}$

- return(f)



▶ < ∃ ▶</p>

Algorithm

Ford-Fulkerson

- Start with a flow f such that f(e) = 0
- While there is an s-t path P in G_f
 - Augment flow along an s-t path and let f' be resulting flow
 - Update f to f' and G_f to $G_{f'}$
- return(f)



Figure: Graph G_f , where f(s, u) = 0, f(s, v) = 7, f(v, u) = 0, f(v, q) = 7, f(u, p) = 0, f(p, v) = 0, f(p, t) = 7, f(q, p) = 7, f(q, t) = 0

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

Algorithm

Ford-Fulkerson

- Start with a flow f such that f(e) = 0
- While there is an s t path P in G_f
 - Augment flow along an s t path and let f' be resulting flow
 - Update f to f' and G_f to $G_{f'}$

- return(f)



- ∢ ≣ ≯

Algorithm

Ford-Fulkerson

- Start with a flow f such that f(e) = 0
- While there is an s-t path P in G_f
 - Augment flow along an s t path and let f' be resulting flow
 - Update f to f' and G_f to $G_{f'}$
- return(f)



Figure: Graph G_f , where f(s, u) = 0, f(s, v) = 11, f(v, u) = 0, f(v, q) = 11, f(u, p) = 0, f(p, v) = 0, f(p, t) = 7, f(q, p) = 7, f(q, t) = 4

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

Algorithm

Ford-Fulkerson

- Start with a flow f such that f(e) = 0
- While there is an s t path P in G_f
 - Augment flow along an s t path and let f' be resulting flow
 - Update f to f' and G_f to $G_{f'}$

- return(f)



- (E

Algorithm

Ford-Fulkerson

- Start with a flow f such that f(e) = 0
- While there is an s-t path P in G_f
 - Augment flow along an s-t path and let f' be resulting flow
 - Update f to f' and G_f to $G_{f'}$

- return(f)



Figure: Graph G_f , where f(s, u) = 12, f(s, v) = 11, f(v, u) = 0, f(v, q) = 11, f(u, p) = 12, f(p, v) = 0, f(p, t) = 19, f(q, p) = 7, f(q, t) = 4

AP ► < E ►

Algorithm

Ford-Fulkerson

- Start with a flow f such that f(e) = 0
- While there is an s-t path P in G_f
 - Augment flow along an s-t path and let f' be resulting flow
 - Update f to f' and G_f to $G_{f'}$
- return(f)
- How do we prove that the flow returned by the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm is the maximum flow?

• <u>Theorem 1</u>: Let f be the flow returned by the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm. Then f maximizes $v(f) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } s} f(e)$.

Definition $(f^{in} \text{ and } f^{out})$

Let S be a subset of vertices and f be a flow. Then

$$f^{in}(S) = \sum_{e \text{ into } S} f(e) \text{ and } f^{out}(S) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } S} f(e)$$

- 4 同 2 4 日 2 4 日 2

• <u>Theorem 1</u>: Let f be the flow returned by the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm. Then f maximizes $v(f) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } s} f(e)$.

Definition $(f^{in} \text{ and } f^{out})$

Let S be a subset of vertices and f be a flow. Then

$$f^{in}(S) = \sum_{e \text{ into } S} f(e) \text{ and } f^{out}(S) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } S} f(e)$$

Definition (s - t cut)

A partition of vertices (A, B) is called an s - t cut iff A contains s and B contains t.

Definition (Capacity of s - t cut)

The capacity of an s - t cut (A, B) is defined as $C(A, B) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } A} c(e)$.

500

• <u>Theorem 1</u>: Let f be the flow returned by the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm. Then f maximizes $v(f) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } s} f(e)$.

Proof

• Claim 1.1: For any s - t cut (A, B) and any s - t flow f, $v(f) = f^{out}(A) - f^{in}(A)$.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

• <u>Theorem 1</u>: Let f be the flow returned by the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm. Then f maximizes $v(f) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } s} f(e)$.

Proof

• Claim 1.1: For any
$$s - t$$
 cut (A, B) and any $s - t$ flow f , $v(f) = f^{out}(A) - f^{in}(A)$.

Proof of claim 1.1.

$$v(f) = f^{out}(\lbrace s \rbrace) - f^{in}(\lbrace s \rbrace)$$
 and for all other nodes $v \in A, f^{out}(\lbrace v \rbrace) - f^{in}(\lbrace v \rbrace) = 0$. So,

$$v(f) = \sum_{v \in A} (f^{out}(\{v\}) - f^{in}(\{v\})) = f^{out}(A) - f^{in}(A).$$

• <u>Theorem 1</u>: Let f be the flow returned by the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm. Then f maximizes $v(f) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } s} f(e)$.

Proof

- Claim 1.1: For any s-t cut (A, B) and any s-t flow f, $v(f) = f^{out}(A) - f^{in}(A).$
- Claim 1.2: Let f be any s-t flow and (A, B) be any s-t cut. Then $v(f) \le C(A, B)$.

伺下 イヨト イヨト

• <u>Theorem 1</u>: Let f be the flow returned by the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm. Then f maximizes $v(f) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } s} f(e)$.

Proof

- <u>Claim 1.1</u>: For any *s*-*t* cut (A, B) and any *s*-*t* flow *f*, $v(f) = f^{out}(A) - f^{in}(A)$.
- Claim 1.2: Let f be any s-t flow and (A, B) be any s-t cut. Then $v(f) \leq C(A, B)$.

Proof of claim 1.2.

$$v(f) = f^{out}(A) - f^{in}(A) \le f^{out}(A) \le C(A, B).$$

伺下 イヨト イヨト

• <u>Theorem 1</u>: Let f be the flow returned by the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm. Then f maximizes $v(f) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } s} f(e)$.

Proof

- Claim 1.1: For any s-t cut (A, B) and any s-t flow f, $v(f) = f^{out}(A) - f^{in}(A).$
- Claim 1.2: Let f be any s-t flow and (A, B) be any s-t cut. Then $v(f) \leq C(A, B)$.
- <u>Claim 1.3</u>: Let f be an s-t flow such that there is no s-t path in G_f . Then there is an s-t cut (A^*, B^*) such that $v(f) = C(A^*, B^*)$. Furthermore, f is a flow with maximum value and (A^*, B^*) is an s-t cut with minimum capacity.

向下 イヨト イヨト

• Claim 1.3: Let f be an s-t flow such that there is no s-t path in G_f . Then there is an s-t cut (A^*, B^*) such that $v(f) = C(A^*, B^*)$. Furthermore, f is a flow with maximum value and (A^*, B^*) is an s-t cut with minimum capacity.

Proof of claim 1.3

• Let *A*^{*} be all vertices reachable from *s* in the graph *G_f* (see figure below). Then we have:

$$v(f) = f^{out}(A^*) - f^{in}(A^*) = f^{out}(A^*) - 0 = C(A^*, B^*)$$



▲□ ► < □ ► </p>

Theorem (Max-flow-min-cut theorem)

In every flow network, the maximum value of s-t flow is equal to the minimum capacity of s-t cut.

• Summary:

- Ford-Fulkerson Algorithm:
 - Given network with integer capacities, find a source-to-sink path and push as much flow along the path as possible.
 - Update the residual capacity of edges in the residual graph.
 - Repeat.
- Proof of correctness:
 - The algorithm terminates (since the capacities are integers).
 - <u>Max-flow-min-cut theorem</u>: In every flow network, the maximum value of s-t flow is equal to the minimum capacity of s-t cut.

Applications of Network Flow

<回><モン<

<br

э

Definition (Matching in bipartite graphs)

A subset M of edges such that each node appears in at most one edge in M.

Problem

Given a bipartite graph G = (L, R, E), design an algorithm to give a maximum matching in the graph.

• Example:



Problem

Given a bipartite graph G = (L, R, E), design an algorithm to give a maximum matching in the graph.

• Consider the network graph below constructed from the bipartite graph.



• <u>Claim 1</u>: Suppose there is an integer flow of value k in the network graph. Then the bipartite graph has a matching of size k.

Problem

Given a bipartite graph G = (L, R, E), design an algorithm to give a maximum matching in the graph.

• Consider the network graph below constructed from the bipartite graph.



<u>Claim 1</u>: Suppose there is an integer flow of value k in the network graph. Then the bipartite graph has a matching of size k.
 <u>Claim 2</u>: Suppose the bipartite graph has a matching of size k.
 Then there is an integer flow of value k in the network graph.

- Suppose there are four teams in IPL with their current number of wins:
 - Daredevils: 10
 - Sunrisers: 10
 - Lions: 10
 - Supergiants: 8
- There are 7 more games to be played. These are as follows:
 - Supergiants plays all other 3 teams.
 - Daredevils Vs Sunrisers, Sunrisers Vs Lions, Daredevils Vs Lions, Sunrisers Vs Daredevils

- Suppose there are four teams in IPL with their current number of wins:
 - Daredevils: 10
 - Sunrisers: 10
 - Lions: 10
 - Supergiants: 8
- There are 7 more games to be played. These are as follows:
 - Supergiants plays all other 3 teams.
 - Daredevils Vs Sunrisers, Sunrisers Vs Lions, Daredevils Vs Lions, Sunrisers Vs Daredevils
- A team is said to be eliminated if it cannot end with maximum number of wins.
- Can we say that Supergiants have been eliminated give the current scenario?

- Suppose there are four teams in IPL with their current number of wins:
 - Daredevils: 10
 - Sunrisers: 10
 - Lions: 9
 - Supergiants: 8
- There are 7 more games to be played. These are as follows:
 - Supergiants plays all other 3 teams.
 - 4 games between Daredevils and Sunrisers.
- Can we say that Supergiants have been eliminated give the current scenario?

Problem

There are *n* teams. Each team *i* has a current number of wins denoted by w(i). There are G(i, j) games yet to be played between team *i* and *j*. Design an algorithm to determine whether a given team *x* has been eliminated.

End

Ragesh Jaiswal, IIT Delhi COL106: Data Structures and Algorithms

æ

990