

**CSL 758: Advanced Algorithms**  
**Machine Learning**  
(March 27, 2008 & March 31, 2008)

**Professors:**  
Naveen Garg  
Kavitha Telikepalli

**Scribe By:**  
Kunal Malhan (2007MCS2929)  
Gaurav Khurana (2007MCS2928)

---

## Machine Learning

As the name suggest, the topic is all about learning from mistakes.

Lets us start with an example:

Say I want to Predict 'Bid on Horse Racing'. For simplicity, assume that there are only two Horses ('+', '- ') and 'n' experts.

- Every day I take the advice of all the experts.
- Based on their advice, I bid on **One of Two Horses**.
- If the 'Horse on which I make a bid' loses the race, is considered a **mistake** made by me.
- I do sequence of bids.

Day	E1	E2	E3	....	En	I Bid On	Out Come
D1	+	+	+		-	+	+
D2	+	-	-		+	+	-
....							

**Aim:** To minimize the mistakes I make.

### Learning from Expert's Advice (Existence of a Perfect Expert):

A perfect expert is that expert that never makes any mistake (i.e. his/her advice always matches the outcome).

I've a no of experts and don't know who is the perfect expert among them.

**Assumption:** There exists a perfect expert.

ALGO FINDING\_PERFECT\_EXPERT

- Initially, On Day 1 all experts are in Set MY\_FAVORITE\_EXPERTS. MY\_FAVOURITE\_EXPERTS is the set in which I assume every expert is a perfect expert and would consider their advice.

BEGIN

- Every day, I take the advice of all the experts in the set MY\_FAVORITE\_EXPERTS and go with the majority. If more than half the experts say '+', I would bid on '+' horse.
- Whether I bid correctly or in-correctly, I will remove those experts from set MY\_FAVORITE\_EXPERTS, whose prediction went wrong on current day. Thus, MY\_FAVORITE\_SETS reflects a more accurate picture of perfect experts found till that day.

End ALGO

At the end of the each day, the above algorithm would remove those experts who are not perfect from the set MY\_FAVORITE\_EXPERTS, finally leading to the perfect expert.

**Theorem:** Total Mistakes (m) done by me are  $0 \leq m \leq \log n$ .

**Proof:** Here, Perfect Expert never makes a Mistake (i.e.  $M = 0$ ).

### Bounding Mistakes Done By Me (m):

#### Lower Bound:

Assuming best case, 'Perfect Expert' always remains in the majority set among MY\_FAVORITE\_EXPERTS and majority always predicts correctly on each day and there is at-least one expert whose prediction goes wrong on that day. Since, I always go with the majority and which always predicts correctly so, I would land up with 0 mistakes before finding the 'Perfect Expert'.

#### Upper Bound:

As I bid on Horse, which is advised by majority of the experts from the set MY\_FAVORITE\_EXPERTS, and every time I make a mistake, I reduce the set MY\_FAVORITE\_EXPERTS at-least by half (I took the advice of the majority and if majority makes a mistake, I would kick out the majority which means more than half of the set). Taking the worst case: half the MY\_FAVORITE\_EXPERTS say '+' would win and half say '-' would win.

So,     After 1 mistake, size of set MY\_FAVORITE\_EXPERTS             =  $n/2$   
           After 2 mistake, size of set MY\_FAVORITE\_EXPERTS             =  $n/4$   
           .....  
           After  $\log n$  mistake, size of set MY\_FAVORITE\_EXPERTS         = 1

i.e. In the worst case, I will make maximum  $\log(n)$  mistakes to 'Find the Perfect Expert' and after that I will bid always on horse as advised by 'Perfect Expert'.

Till now we had made an assumption, that there exists a perfect expert which is not true. So, now we ignore that assumption and take real world case.

So we now take all the experts and assign a weight to each one's advice. The weight assigned would depend on the number of mistakes that they make. There is no favorite experts set MY\_FAVORITE\_EXPERTS.

Next, an algorithm is presented which acts on these weights:

### Weighted Majority Algorithm (There is No Perfect Expert):

ALGO WEIGHTED\_MAJORITY

- Initially, On Day 1, I assign weight '1' to each expert.

BEGIN

- Every day I compute the 'Sum of Weights' of all experts who predict the win of '+' horse and those who say '-' separately.
- I bid on the horse, which has greater Sum.
- Reduce the weights of all the experts (by halving each one's weight), who predict Wrong on the day.

End ALGO

Day		E1	E2	E3	....	En	I Bid On	Out Come
D1	<u>Parities</u> Horse	1 +	1 +	1 +		1 -	+	-
D2	<u>Parities</u> Horse	½ +	½ -	½ -		1 +	+	+
....								

### **Bounding Total Mistakes Done By Me:**

Let us say I do 'm' and best expert makes 'M' mistakes in T rounds.

Let  $W_t$  = Sum of Weights of all the experts at end of round t.

Initially,  $W_0$  is 'n'.

#### **If I do a mistake:**

It means experts with more than half of Total Weight predict wrongly and as a result I would reduce weights of all such experts by ½.

So, on every mistake in any round t reduces the total weight by at least  $\frac{1}{4} * W_t$ .

i.e.  $W_{t+1} \leq \frac{3}{4} W_t$ .

So, finally total weight after T rounds:  $W_T \leq n (\frac{3}{4})^m$  (i)

Again, Weight of best expert after T rounds =  $1/(2)^M$

Now, the best expert is one of the experts, the total weight of all experts  $\geq$  weight of the best expert.

$$\text{Or } W_T \geq 1/(2)^M \quad (\text{ii})$$

from (i) and (ii):

$$\begin{aligned} 1/(2)^M &\leq W_T \leq n (3/4)^m \\ M * \log (1/2) &\leq \log n + m * \log (3/4) \\ \frac{M * \log (1/2)}{\log (3/4)} &\geq \frac{\log n}{\log (3/4)} + m \quad [\text{as } \log (3/4) \text{ is a } -\text{ve, so } \leq \text{ becomes } \geq] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{put } \log (1/2) &= -\log (2) \text{ and } \log (3/4) = -\log (4/3) \\ m &\leq \log_{(4/3)} (2) * M + \log_{(4/3)} n \\ \mathbf{m} &\leq \mathbf{2.4 * M + k} \end{aligned}$$

where k is a constant independent of m and M, but depends on Total number of Experts.

So, above Algorithm is bounding ‘mistakes done by me’ to 2.4 times ‘mistakes done by best Expert’. So, if best expert makes 25% mistakes, we would mistakes 60% of the time which is not a good bound.

In the next section, we will try to reduce this bound by modifying our strategy of reducing the weights by half.

### Reduces Weights differently:

Instead of halving the weights, reduce weight by a factor of  $1/(1 + e)$ , where e is a very small number.

#### **If I do a mistake:**

That means experts with more than half of total weight predicted wrongly and I would reduce weights of all such experts By  $1/(1 + e)$ .

$$\text{Therefore, sum of weights of all experts in next Round } W_{t+1} = W_t/2 + W_t/(2*(1+e))$$

$$\text{Reduction in Weight} = W_t - (W_t/2 + W_t/(2*(1 + e)))$$

Since, e is a small number therefore,  $1/(1 + e)$  can be simplified to  $(1 - e)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore, reduction in total weight} &= W_t - (W_t/2 + W_t(1 - e/2)) \\ &= W_t * (1 - e/2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Total Weight after T rounds would be at most} &= n * (1 - e/2)^m \\ \text{and at Least it will be Weight of best Expert} &= (1 - e)^M \end{aligned}$$

Using the similar argument the weight of the best expert would be less than the weight of all experts.

$$\text{Therefore, } (1 - e)^M \leq W_T \leq n * (1 - e/2)^m$$

$$M * \log(1 - e) \leq \log n + m * \log(1 - e/2)$$

$$\frac{M * \log(1 - e)}{\log(1 - e/2)} \geq \frac{\log n}{\log(1 - e/2)} + m \quad [\text{as } \log(1 - e/2) \text{ is } -ve]$$

put  $\log(1 - e/2) = -e/2 + [\text{very small Quantity, can be ignored}]$   
 and  $\frac{\log(1 - e)}{\log(1 - e/2)} \approx 2$

$$m \leq 2 * M + 2/e * \log n$$

So, we are able to reduce the multiplying factor from 2.4 to 2, which is not again good. Considering the best expert makes mistakes 25% of the time, we would make 50% mistakes (almost equal to tossing a coin).

### Randomized Weighted Majority Algorithm (Bid with Probabilities):

Now the Question arise: Can we do better?.. Yes.

Instead of taking going strictly with the majority, we use weights as probabilities. (e.g., if 70% of '+' and 30% of '-', then pick 70:30). The idea is to smooth out the worst case.

ALGO RANDOMIZED\_WEIGHTED\_MAJORITY

- Initially, On Day 1, I assign Weight '1' to each expert.

BEGIN

- Every day, I compute the Sum of Weights of all experts who bid for '+' horse. Let us assign this quantity by  $W_+$ . I also compute the weights of all the experts who bid for '-' horse, let us assign this quantity by  $W_-$ .
- I bid on '+' Horse with probability  $W_+/W$ , where  $W =$  total weight of all experts, i.e.  $W = W_+ + W_-$ .
- Reduce the weights of each expert by  $(1 - \epsilon)$  of his/her existing weight, whose prediction was wrong on that day.

End ALGO

### **Bounding Total Mistakes Done By Me:**

On day  $t$ , let  $W_t$  denotes the total weight of all experts on that day.

Let  $F_t$  denotes the total weight of experts, whose prediction was wrong.

and  $S_t$  denotes the total weight of experts, whose prediction was correct.

Therefore,  $W_{t+1} = S_t + F_t$

Now,  $F_t$  made mistake, so their weight reduces to  $(1 - e) F_t$ .

$F_{t+1} = (1 - e) F_t$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{t+1} &= S_{t+1} + F_{t+1} \\
W_{t+1} &= W_t - F_t + (1 - e) * F_t \\
W_{t+1} &= W_t - F_t * e. \\
&= W_t (1 - e * F_t/W_t)
\end{aligned}$$

$$W_{\text{initial}} = n.$$

Final Weight (after T rounds),

$$\begin{aligned}
W_T &= W_{\text{initial}} * (1 - e * F_1/W_1) * (1 - e * F_2/W_2) * \dots * (1 - e * F_T/W_T) \\
&= n * (1 - e * F_1/W_1) * (1 - e * F_2/W_2) * \dots * (1 - e * F_T/W_T)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\log W_T &= \log n + \sum_i (\log (1 - e * F_i/W_i)) \\
&\leq \sum_i \log n - \sum_i e * F_i/W_i \quad \text{(iii)}
\end{aligned}$$

Best Expert makes M mistakes, therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
W_T &\geq (1 - e)^M \\
\log W_T &\geq M * \log (1 - e) \quad \text{(iv)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Expected no. of Mistakes} &= \sum_i F_i/W_i \\
&\leq e^{-1} \log n - e^{-1} \log W_T \quad \text{[by (iii)]} \\
&\leq e^{-1} \log n - e^{-1} M * \log (1 - e) \quad \text{[by (iv)]} \\
&\leq e^{-1} \log n - e^{-1} M * [-e - e^2/2 - \dots] \\
&\leq e^{-1} \log n + M * (1 + e/2) \\
&\leq M * (1 + e/2) + e^{-1} \log n
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{E[m]} \leq (1 + e/2) * M + e^{-1} \log n$$

Assuming, e to be small, therefore we have bounded the number of mistakes made by me to be very closer to the number of mistakes made by the best expert.