

COL 726 Homework 3

Due date: Friday, 1 March, 2019

Questions 1–5 are worth 3 marks each. Question 6 is worth 5 marks.

1. Suppose \mathbf{A} is an $m \times m$ “banded” matrix, i.e. a matrix whose entries a_{ij} are nonzero only if $-l \leq j - i \leq u$ for some constants l and u . For example, a banded matrix with $l = 2, u = 1$ is shown on the right.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \times & \times & & & \\ \times & \times & \times & & \\ \times & \times & \times & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \times \\ & & \times & \times & \times \end{bmatrix}$$

- (a) Give an algorithm for computing the LU decomposition of \mathbf{A} without pivoting in $O(lum)$ flops. Count the number of flops it takes, to leading order in m .
- (b) The banded structure may not be maintained if pivoting is performed. Find a 5×5 matrix \mathbf{A} with $l = u = 1$ such that, after LU decomposition with partial pivoting, the factor \mathbf{L} has a nonzero value in its bottom left entry. Give all the matrices $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{U}$ in the factorization.
2. Suppose I have already have the Cholesky factorization $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}^T$ of an $m \times m$ SPD matrix \mathbf{A} . Now I enlarge \mathbf{A} to an $(m + 1) \times (m + 1)$ matrix $\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{b}^T & c \end{bmatrix}$, where $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. How can the Cholesky factorization of \mathbf{M} be computed in $O(m^2)$ time? Give a mathematical justification as well as all the steps of the final algorithm.
3. Let $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ be an $m \times m$ system of equations. Consider the following algorithm:
- ```

choose a guess for the values x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m
repeat
 for $k \leftarrow 1, \dots, m$ do
 solve equation k to update variable k , i.e. $x_k \leftarrow \frac{1}{a_{kk}}(b_k - \sum_{j \neq k} a_{kj}x_j)$
 end for
until convergence

```
- (a) Express one complete execution of the **for** loop as a formula for the new guess  $\mathbf{x}^{(n+1)}$  in terms of the old guess  $\mathbf{x}^{(n)}$ . What iterative method does this perform?
- (b) Suppose I choose two permutations of the set  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ , namely  $(p_1, \dots, p_m)$  and  $(q_1, \dots, q_m)$ . At the  $k$ th step of the **for** loop, I solve equation  $p_k$  to update variable  $q_k$ . How can this method be expressed in similar terms as (a)?
4. Consider an  $m \times m$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  with all eigenvalues real, distinct, and nonzero. Suppose  $\mathbf{b}$  lies in the span of only  $n$  eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{A}$ , where  $n < m$ . Show that the Arnoldi iteration “breaks down” in at most  $n$  steps, i.e.  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{q}_k$  lies in the previous Krylov subspace  $\mathcal{K}_k = \langle \mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_k \rangle$  for some  $k \leq n$ . Then, show that GMRES can find the solution  $\mathbf{x}_*$  to the equation  $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$  even in this case.
5. Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a symmetric positive definite matrix with  $\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I}\|_2 = 0.6$ .

- (a) Prove that all eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$  lie in the interval  $[0.4, 1.6]$ . Consequently, give an upper bound on the relative error norm  $\|\mathbf{e}_n\|_{\mathbf{A}} / \|\mathbf{e}_0\|_{\mathbf{A}}$  after  $n$  iterations of conjugate gradients on a linear

system  $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ .

- (b) Suppose  $\mathbf{A}$  has an eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = 1$  with an associated unit eigenvector  $\mathbf{v}_1$ , and the remaining eigenvalues are  $\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$ . Let  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}^T$  where  $\mathbf{w} = 7\mathbf{v}_1$ . Verify that  $\mathbf{B}$  has the same eigenvectors as  $\mathbf{A}$ , and find all its eigenvalues. (Note:  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  are not in any sorted order.)
- (c) Consider the conjugate gradient method applied to a linear system  $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ . Give an upper bound on  $\|\mathbf{e}_n\|_{\mathbf{B}}/\|\mathbf{e}_0\|_{\mathbf{B}}$  after  $n$  iterations. Your answer should depend only on  $n$ , and when evaluated at  $n = 2$  should result in a number less than 0.8.

6. Sparse matrices often arise in the analysis of networks. Here, we will consider electrical networks of nodes connected by resistors.

Suppose you are given a network of  $m$  nodes and  $O(m)$  resistors as a list of tuples of the form  $(i, j, R_{ij})$ , indicating that nodes  $i$  and  $j$  are connected by a resistance  $R_{ij}$ . Also assume that the first and last nodes are connected via unit resistors to a voltage source  $V = 1$  and ground  $V = 0$  respectively. Some example networks can be constructed using the function `makeNetwork` provided on the course webpage; make sure to [read its comments](#) for more details.

- (a) The net outgoing current from any node  $i$  is given by

$$I_i = \left( \sum_{j \text{ connected to } i} \frac{V_i - V_j}{R_{ij}} \right) + I_i^{\text{out}},$$

where  $I_i^{\text{out}}$  is  $-(1 - V_i)$  for the first node,  $V_i$  for the last node, and 0 otherwise. **The network is solved by finding the unknown node voltages  $\mathbf{v} = [\dots, V_i, \dots]^T$  such that all net currents  $\mathbf{i} = [\dots, I_i, \dots]^T$  are zero. Find a way to express  $\mathbf{i}$  in the form  $\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{b}$ , where the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  and vector  $\mathbf{b}$  depend only on the network and not on  $\mathbf{v}$ . In your report, define the entries  $a_{ij}$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  and show that the matrix is symmetric. In your program, write a function `applyA(network, v)` that maps  $\mathbf{v}$  to  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}$  in  $O(m)$  time, and a function `getB(network)` that returns  $\mathbf{b}$ .**

- (b) Implement a function `cg(Afun, b, tolerance)` that performs conjugate gradient iterations to solve a linear system  $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ . The first argument of `cg` should be a function such that `Afun(x) = Ax`. Terminate the iterations when  $\|\mathbf{r}_n\|_2/\|\mathbf{b}\|_2 \leq \text{tolerance}$ , and return the final iterate  $\mathbf{x}_n$  and the history of residual norms  $[\|\mathbf{r}_0\|_2/\|\mathbf{b}\|_2, \dots, \|\mathbf{r}_n\|_2/\|\mathbf{b}\|_2]$ . Then, you should be able to solve  $\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$  for a network by calling `cg(lambda v: applyA(network, v), -getB(network), tolerance)`. Test it out on `makeNetwork('wheatstone')`.
- (c) Implement a function `getDiag(network)` that returns the diagonal of  $\mathbf{A}$  as a vector  $\mathbf{d}$ . Then implement a function `pcg(Afun, b, d, tolerance)` that performs conjugate gradients with symmetric preconditioning using the preconditioner  $\mathbf{M} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{d})$ . Your function `pcg` should not perform any iterations itself, just call `cg` with a modified `Afun` and a modified `b`.

Try running `cg` on `makeNetwork('random1', 1000)` with tolerance  $10^{-6}$ . Visualize the convergence of the method by plotting  $\|\mathbf{r}_n\|_2/\|\mathbf{b}\|_2$  on a log scale as a function of  $n$ , and include the plot in your report. Then run `cg` and `pcg` on `makeNetwork('random2', 1000)` with tolerance  $10^{-6}$ , plot the convergence of both methods on the same plot, and include it as well.