### COL865: Special Topics in Computer Applications Physics-Based Animation

14 — Fluid simulation on grids II

### Review

Navier-Stokes equations for fluid velocity **u**(**x**, *t*):

$$\partial \mathbf{u}/\partial t + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} = \rho^{-1} (-\nabla p + \mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{f}_{ext})$$
  
 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$ 

Solve on grid via *splitting*:

- **Advection**:  $\mathbf{u}^{(1)} = \operatorname{advect}(\mathbf{u}^n, \mathbf{u}^n, \Delta t)$
- **Body forces:**  $u^{(3)} = u^{(2)} + f_{ext} \Delta t$
- *Viscosity*:  $\mathbf{u}^{(2)} = \mathbf{u}^{(1)} + v \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} \Delta t$
- **Pressure**:  $\mathbf{u}^{n+1} = \mathbf{u}^{(3)} \nabla p \Delta t$  so that  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}^{n+1} = 0$

### Advection

$$D\mathbf{u}/Dt = \partial \mathbf{u}/\partial t + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} = 0$$

# Finite differences (time step limited by CFL condition)

- Semi-Lagrangian
- *Particle-based*: PIC, FLIP, APIC





### Pressure

$$\mathbf{u}^{n+1} = \mathbf{\tilde{u}} - \nabla p \,\Delta t,$$
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}^{n+1} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}} - \nabla^2 p \Delta t = 0$$



[Tong et al. 2003]

$$(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})_{i,j} \approx (u_{i+\frac{1}{2},j} - u_{i-\frac{1}{2},j})/\Delta x + (v_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}} - v_{i,j-\frac{1}{2}})/\Delta x$$
$$(\nabla^2 p)_{i,j} \approx (p_{i-1,j} + p_{i+1,j} + p_{i,j-1} + p_{i,j+1} - 4p_{i,j})/\Delta x^2$$



### The pressure system

 $\nabla^2 p \Delta t = \nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ 

- 1. Compute  $(\nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}})_{i,j} = d_{i,j}$  on cell centers
- 2. Put pressure values in a vector **p**:  $(\nabla^2 p \,\Delta t)_{i,i}$  becomes a linear operator **A p**

 $(taking \Delta t = \Delta x = 1)$ 

3. Solve  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{d}$  for  $\mathbf{p}$ 



### The pressure system

 $\nabla^2 p \Delta t = \nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ 

- 1. Compute  $(\nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}})_{i,j} = d_{i,j}$  on cell centers
- 2. Put pressure values in a vector **p**:  $(\nabla^2 p \Delta t)_{i,j}$  becomes a linear operator **A p**

$$-1$$
  $-1$   $4$   $-1$   $-1$ 

Negate for positive definiteness

3. Solve  $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{d}$  for  $\mathbf{p}$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} \vdots \\ p_{i-1,j} \\ \vdots \\ p_{i,j-1} \\ p_{i,j} \\ p_{i,j+1} \\ \vdots \\ p_{i+1,j} \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \vdots \\ -(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})_{i,j} \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$

### Solving the pressure system

Easy way: Gauss-Seidel iterations

$$p_{i-1,j} + p_{i+1,j} + p_{i,j-1} + p_{i,j+1} - 4 p_{i,j} = (\nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}})_{i,j}$$

$$\Rightarrow p_{i,j} = \frac{1}{4} (p_{i-1,j} + p_{i+1,j} + p_{i,j-1} + p_{i,j+1} + (\nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}})_{i,j})$$

Parallelization via *red-black ordering* 

 Better way: Preconditioned conjugate gradient method

See Bridson & Müller-Fischer, Ch 4.3



### Boundaries

#### Static obstacles:

- Solid faces have **u** · **n** = 0,
   do not contribute to ∇ · **u**
- Pressure shouldn't change  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}$ , so  $\nabla p \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$
- *Limitation*: Sloped boundaries are jagged



[Foster & Metaxas 1996]







[Batty et al. 2007]

### Putting it all together

## A basic fluid simulator

Create staggered grid for domain, flag cells as solid/fluid

For each time step:

- 1. Compute  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = \operatorname{advect}(\mathbf{u}^n, \mathbf{u}^n, \Delta t)$
- 2. Add body forces:  $\mathbf{\tilde{u}} += \rho^{-1} \mathbf{f}_{ext} \Delta t$
- 3. Do viscosity step if desired
- 4. Set  $\mathbf{u}^{n+1} = \text{project}(\mathbf{\tilde{u}})$

Suppose  $\mathbf{u}^0 = \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\mathbf{f}_{ext} = \rho \mathbf{g}$ ... What happens?

### **Smoke simulation**

Scalar fields c : smoke density, T : (relative) temperature

- 1. Update  $c^{n+1} = advect(c^n, \mathbf{u}^n, \Delta t)$ ,  $T^{n+1} = advect(T^n, \mathbf{u}^n, \Delta t)$
- 2. Add buoyancy force:  $\mathbf{f}_{ext} += (-\alpha c^{n+1} + \beta T^{n+1}) \hat{\mathbf{z}}$



### Issues

#### Numerical dissipation

- Diffusion in advection (almost eliminated by FLIP, APIC)
- Energy loss in projection [see Zhang et al. 2015, Zehnder et al. 2018]
- Boundary handling
  - Sloped solid boundaries [Batty et al. 2007]
  - Liquid surfaces (next up)
  - Small features (thin boundaries, fluid sheets, splashes) require hybrid methods



## Liquids

## Liquids

What's the difference between liquids and gases (in our model)?

Liquid region does not fill entire domain. Liquid/air boundary is a *free surface* that moves with fluid velocity **u** 

- How to represent liquid region?
- How to modify eqs. of motion?



[Foster & Metaxas 1996]

### Surface tracking

What we need:

- Determine whether cell is *inside / outside* liquid
- Advect through velocity field
- *Reconstruct* surface for rendering

### Level sets

[Foster & Fedkiw 2001, Bridson & Müller-Fischer Ch 6.2]

Represent region as sublevel set of scalar field  $\varphi$ , usually **signed distance function** 

- $\varphi < 0$  inside,  $\varphi > 0$  outside,  $|\varphi| = distance$  to surface
- Inside/outside: Just check sign of  $\varphi$



- **Advection**: Advect  $\varphi$  as scalar field, then

do "redistancing"

Fast marching, fast sweeping methods







### Level sets

• *Reconstruction*: Marching cubes



#### Advantages:

Automatically handles topology changes

#### **Disadvantages**:

- Diffusion causes loss of volume & surface detail
- Requires periodic redistancing

## Particles

Place particles around the surface [Enright et al. 2002] or everywhere in liquid [Foster & Metaxas 1996, Zhu & Bridson 2005]

Advection is trivial: just move particles



<sup>[</sup>Zhu & Bridson 2005]

- Inside/outside: Mark cell as fluid if it contains any particles
- **Reconstruction**: Construct an SDF  $\varphi$ , then marching cubes (or directly render with ray tracing)
  - Most common approach: distance from "average neighbour"  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\| - \bar{r}$  [Zhu & Bridson 2005, Adams et al. 2007]

### Particles

#### Advantages:

- Handles topology changes
- Better preserves volume
- Automatically produces droplets at splashes

#### **Disadvantages**:

- Bumpy surfaces
- Sheets tend to break up into droplets





[Yu & Turk 2010]

## Meshes

Store surface explicitly as triangle mesh [Wojtan et al. 2011]: no reconstruction necessary

- Inside/outside: Ray casting
- Advection: Move vertices (easy), then improve mesh (hard!)
  - Modify stretched/squashed triangles, deal with merging and splitting



#### [Brochu & Bridson 2009]



[Wojtan et al. 2009]

### Meshes

#### Advantages:

- Highly accurate surfaces, great for surface tension effects
- Liquid sheets well preserved

#### Disadvantages:

- Much more complicated to implement
- Grid dynamics may not "see" all the surface details





[Goldade et al. 2016]

### **Surface dynamics**



### **Velocity extrapolation**

#### Advection may query velocities **outside** current liquid region



Set **u**(air) = **u**(nearest fluid cell), similar to fast marching

### Free surface boundary conditions

Assume air is at **constant** atmospheric pressure  $p = p_{atm}$ (Dirichlet boundary condition)

Can assume p<sub>atm</sub> = 0 (Why?)

Air cells drop out of Laplacian formula, e.g. = 0  $(\nabla^2 p)_{i,j} \approx (p_{i-1,j} + p_{i+1,j} + p_{i,j-1} + p_{i,j+1} - 4 p_{i,j})/\Delta x^2$ 



### Free surface boundary conditions

With both solid and air neighbours:



#### **Sloped surfaces**: [Gibou et al. 2002] See Bridson & Müller-Fischer Ch 4.5.1



### **Surface tension**

surface tension coefficient \_

**Theory**: force per unit area =  $2\gamma H \hat{\mathbf{n}}$ where  $H = (\kappa_1 + \kappa_2)/2$ : mean curvature

One approach [Hong & Kim 2005]:

- Compute к from SDF
- Apply pressure boundary condition  $p = p_{atm} + 2\gamma H$

**Problem**: surface tension forces computed explicitly ⇒ time step restriction





[Hong & Kim 2005]

### Next class

# Fluid simulation with particles alone: *Smoothed particle hydrodynamics*

Readings:

- Müller et al., "Particle-Based Fluid Simulation for Interactive Applications", 2003
- Becker & Teschner, "Weakly Compressible SPH for Free Surface Flows", 2007



