**T5** 



# Less Data, More \_\_\_? Data Augmentation and Semi-Supervised Learning for Natural Language Processing

Diyi Yang, Georgia Tech Ankur P. Parikh, Google Research Colin Raffel, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill

# "I have an extremely large collection of clean labeled data"

- No one

#### Learning from limited labeled data

- Transfer learning
  - Leverage data from a different-but-related task
- Few/zero-shot learning
  - Generalize to new tasks after seeing a few (or no) examples of that task
- Multitask learning
  - Use information learned on different tasks for mutual benefit
- Data augmentation
  - Modify labeled data to with class-preserving transformations
- Semi-supervised learning
  - Learn from labeled and unlabeled data

#### **Data Augmentation**

- Token-level augmentation
  - Change individual words
- Sentence-level augmentation
  - Change an entire sentence
- Adversarial augmentation:
  - Change the text to maximally fool the model
- Hidden space augmentation:
  - Change the representations inside the model

#### **Data Augmentation**

#### 1. Token-level augmentation:

- Synonym replacement (Yang et al. 2015, Zhang et al. 2015, Miao et al. 2020)
- Random insertion, deletion, swapping (Xie et al. 2019, Wei and Zou 2019)
- Word replacement via LM (Wu et al. 2019, Zhu et al. 2019)

## Easy Data Augmentation Techniques (EDA)

Operation	Sentence
None	A sad, superior human comedy played out on the back roads of life.
Synonym replacement	A lamentable, superior human comedy played out on the backward roads of life.
Random insertion	A sad, superior human comedy played out on funniness the back roads of life.
Random swap	A sad, superior human comedy played out on <mark>roads</mark> back <mark>the</mark> of life.
Random deletion	A sad, superior human out on the roads of life.

Wei, Jason, and Kai Zou. "EDA: Easy data augmentation techniques for boosting performance on text classification tasks." arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.11196 (2019).

#### Word Replacement via Language Modeling



		WMT		
	De  ightarrow En	$\mathbf{Es}  ightarrow \mathbf{En}$	$He \rightarrow En$	$\mathbf{En} \rightarrow \mathbf{De}$
Base	34.79	41.58	33.64	28.40
+ $LM_{sample}$	35.40	42.09	34.31	28.73
Ours	35.78	42.61	34.91	29.70

Contextual data augmentation: when a sentence "<u>the actors are fantastic</u>" is augmented by replacing only <u>actors</u> with words predicted based on the context (Kobayashi, 2018)

**Soft** contextual data augmentation (Gao et al., 2019)

$$e_w = P(w)E = \sum_{j=0}^{|V|} p_j(w)E_j$$

#### **Compositional Augmentation**



20% positive, 80% negative

ĩ



Random selected sample1

 $\{x_i: "$  They will find little interest in this poor film. ",  $y_i: 0\}$ 

Constituency Parsing

Saliency based data augmentation where the least salient span from sent A is replaced with the most salient span from sent B (Yoon et al., 2021)

TreeMix: Compositional Constituency-based Data Augmentation for Natural Language Understanding (Zhang et al., 2022)

Random selected sample2

 $\{x_i:$ "It comes as a touching transcendent love story.",  $y_i: 1\}$ 

#### **Token Level Data Augmentation Summary**

Methods Types	Types	<b>News Classification</b>		<b>Topic Classification</b>	
	AG News	20 Newsgroup	Yahoo Answers	PubMed	
None	-	78.8(8.9)	65.2(4.8)	56.6(9.4)	63.7(6.1)/49.3(3.9)
SR		79.4(5.9)	66.1(2.5)	56.0(10.1)	62.4(5.7)/48.3(3.9)
LM		76.8(5.1)	60.0(14.4)	56.2(8.4)	60.9(3.0)/47.4(2.5)
RI	Talaan	79.5(4.9)	66.6(0.6)	57.3(12.0)	63.7(4.2)/49.4(2.1)
RD	Token	79.6(5.0)	66.8(3.0)	58.0(8.3)	63.4(5.0)/49.3(1.5)
RS		79.5(5.3)	64.8(10.8)	57.1(10.3)	63.8(7.4)/49.5(3.3)
WR		79.7(2.0)	67.5(4.2)	<b>59.3(8.9)</b>	64.9(4.9)/49.4(2.5)

Topic Classification and News Classification results with 10 examples. We report the average results across 3 different random seeds with the 95% confidence interval and bold the best results.

#### **Token Level Data Augmentation Summary**

Methods	Level	Diversity	Tasks
Synonym replacement	Token	Low	Text classification, Sequence labeling
Random insertion, deletion, swapping	Token	Medium	Text classification, Sequence labeling , Machine translation, Dialogue generation
Word replacement via LM	Token	Low	Text classification, Sequence labeling , Machine translation
Compositional augmentation	Token	High	Text classification, Sequence labeling , Semantic Parsing, Language Modeling, Text Generation

#### **Data Augmentation**

- 1. Token-level augmentation:
  - Synonym replacement (Yang et al. 2015, Zhang et al. 2015, Miao et al. 2020)
  - Random insertion, deletion, swapping (Xie et al. 2019, Wei and Zou 2019)
  - Word replacement via LM (Wu et al. 2019, Zhu et al. 2019)
- 2. Sentence-level augmentation:
  - Paraphrasing (Xie et al. 2019, Chen et al. 2020)
  - Conditional generation (Zhang and Bansal 2019, Yang et al. 2020)

#### Back-Translation for Data Augmentation (Edunov et al., 2018)



#### Paraphrasing

Madnani, Nitin, and Bonnie J. Dorr. "Generating phrasal and sentential paraphrases: A survey of data-driven methods." Computational Linguistics 36, no. 3 (2010): 341-387.

template	paraphrase
original	with the help of captain picard, the borg will be prepared for everything.
(SBARQ(ADVP)(,)(S)(,)(SQ))	now, the borg will be prepared by picard, will it?
(S(NP)(ADVP)(VP))	the borg here will be prepared for everything.
(S(S)(,)(CC)(S) (:)(FRAG))	with the help of captain picard, the borg will be prepared, and the borg will be prepared for everything for everything.
(FRAG(INTJ)(,)(S)(,)(NP))	oh, come on captain picard, the borg line for everything.
original	you seem to be an excellent burglar when the time comes.
(S(SBAR)(,)(NP)(VP))	when the time comes, you 'll be a great thief.
(S(``)(UCP)('')(NP)(VP))	" you seem to be a great burglar, when the time comes." you said.
(SQ(MD)(SBARQ))	can i get a good burglar when the time comes ?
(S(NP)(IN)(NP)(NP)(VP)	look at the time the thief comes .

syntactically controlled paraphrase generation (lyyer et al., 2018)

#### **Conditional Generation**

Language model based data augmentation (LAMBADA) using GPT (Anaby-Tavor et al., 2019)

Class label	Sentences
Flight time	what time is the last flight from san francisco to washington dc on continental
Aircraft	show me all the types of aircraft used flying from atl to dallas
City	show me the cities served by canadian airlines



#### Sentence Level Augmentation Summary

Methods Types	Types	<b>News Classification</b>		<b>Topic Classification</b>	
	AG News	20 Newsgroup	Yahoo Answers	PubMed	
None	-	78.8(8.9)	65.2(4.8)	56.6(9.4)	63.7(6.1)/49.3(3.9)
SR		79.4(5.9)	66.1(2.5)	56.0(10.1)	62.4(5.7)/48.3(3.9)
LM		76.8(5.1)	60.0(14.4)	56.2(8.4)	60.9(3.0)/47.4(2.5)
RI	Talsan	79.5(4.9)	66.6(0.6)	57.3(12.0)	63.7(4.2)/49.4(2.1)
RD	Token	79.6(5.0)	66.8(3.0)	58.0(8.3)	63.4(5.0)/49.3(1.5)
RS		79.5(5.3)	64.8(10.8)	57.1(10.3)	63.8(7.4)/49.5(3.3)
WR		79.7(2.0)	67.5(4.2)	<b>59.3(8.9)</b>	64.9(4.9)/49.4(2.5)
RT	Sentence	80.1(4.3)	65.1(7.9)	57.1(9.6)	60.2(5.1)/46.3(6.4)

Methods	Diversity	Tasks	
Paraphrase	High	Text classification, Machine translation, Question answering, Generation	
Conditional Generation	High	Text classification, Question answering	24

#### **Data Augmentation**

- 1. Token-level augmentation:
  - Synonym replacement (Yang et al. 2015, Zhang et al. 2015, Miao et al. 2020)
  - Random insertion, deletion, swapping (Xie et al. 2019, Wei and Zou 2019)
  - Word replacement via LM (Wu et al. 2019, Zhu et al. 2019)
- 2. Sentence-level augmentation:
  - Paraphrasing (Xie et al. 2019, Chen et al. 2020)
  - Conditional generation (Zhang and Bansal 2019, Yang et al. 2020)
- 3. Adversarial augmentation:
  - Whitebox methods (Miyato et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2020; Jiang et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2020d)
  - Blackbox methods (Ren et al. 2019; Garg and Ramakrishnan, 2020)

#### White-box Attack

HotFlip uses the model gradient to identify the most important letter in the text (Ebrahimi et al., 2018)



South Africa's historic Soweto township marks its 100th birthday on Tuesday in a mood of optimism. 57% World

South Africa's historic Soweto township marks its 100th birthday on Tuesday in a moo**P** of optimism. 95% **Sci/Tech** 

Chancellor Gordon Brown has sought to quell speculation over who should run the Labour Party and turned the attack on the opposition Conservatives. 75% **World** 

Chancellor Gordon Brown has sought to quell speculation over who should run the Labour Party and turned the attack on the oBposition Conservatives. 94% Business

Adversarial examples with a single character change, which will be misclassified by a neural classifier.

#### White-box Attack

HotFlip uses the model gradient to identify the most important letter in the text (Ebrahimi et al., 2018)

$$\max \nabla_x J(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})^T \cdot \ \vec{v}_{ijb} = \max_{ijb} \frac{\partial J}{\partial x_{ij}}^{(b)} - \frac{\partial J}{\partial x_{ij}}^{(a)}$$

Find the flip vector with biggest increase in loss

South Africa's historic Soweto township marks its 100th birthday on Tuesday in a mood of optimism. 57% World

South Africa's historic Soweto township marks its 100th birthday on Tuesday in a moo**P** of optimism. 95% **Sci/Tech** 

Chancellor Gordon Brown has sought to quell speculation over who should run the Labour Party and turned the attack on the opposition Conservatives. 75% **World** 

Chancellor Gordon Brown has sought to quell speculation over who should run the Labour Party and turned the attack on the oBposition Conservatives. 94% Business

Adversarial examples with a single character change, which will be misclassified by a neural classifier.

#### **Black-box Attack**



#### 40-80% accuracy drop!

Model	Adversarial	Datasets				
mouer	Attack	Amazon	Yelp	IMDB	MR	
	Original	88.0	85.0	82.0	81.16	
	TextFooler	31.0 (0.747)	28.0 (0.829)	20.0 (0.828)	25.49 (0.906)	
mondi CTM	BAE-R	21.0 (0.827)	20.0 (0.885)	22.0 (0.852)	24.17 (0.914)	
woralsiwi	BAE-I	17.0 (0.924)	22.0 (0.928)	23.0 (0.933)	19.11 (0.966)	
	BAE-R/I	16.0 (0.902)	19.0 (0.924)	8.0 (0.896)	15.08 (0.949)	
	BAE-R+I	4.0 (0.848)	9.0 (0.902)	5.0 (0.871)	7.50 (0.935)	
	Original	82.0	85.0	81.0	76.66	
	TextFooler	42.0 (0.776)	36.0 (0.827)	31.0 (0.854)	21.18 (0.910)	
wordCNN	BAE-R	16.0 (0.821)	23.0 (0.846)	23.0 (0.856)	20.81 (0.920)	
wordCINN	BAE-I	18.0 (0.934)	26.0 (0.941)	29.0 (0.924)	19.49 (0.971)	
	BAE-R/I	13.0 (0.904)	17.0 (0.916)	20.0 (0.892)	15.56 (0.956)	
	BAE-R+I	2.0 (0.859)	9.0 (0.891)	14.0 (0.861)	7.87 (0.938)	
	Original	96.0	95.0	85.0	85.28	
	TextFooler	30.0 (0.787)	27.0 (0.833)	32.0 (0.877)	30.74 (0.902)	
DEDT	BAE-R	36.0 (0.772)	31.0 (0.856)	46.0 (0.835)	44.05 (0.871)	
DERI	BAE-I	20.0 (0.922)	25.0 (0.936)	31.0 (0.929)	32.05 (0.958)	
	BAE-R/I	11.0 (0.899)	16.0 (0.916)	22.0 (0.909)	20.34 (0.941)	
	BAE-R+I	14.0 (0.830)	12.0 (0.871)	16.0 (0.856)	19.21 (0.917)	

Use BERT-MLM to predict masked tokens in the text for generating adversarial examples. (Garg and Ramakrishnan, 2020)

#### **Adversarial Attack Augmentation Summary**

Methods	Level	Diversity	Tasks
White-box attack	Token	Low	Text classification, Sequence labeling, Machine translation
Black-box attack	Token	Medium	Text classification, Sequence labeling, Machine translation, Textual entailment, Dialogue generation, Text Summarization

## Data Augmentation

- 1. Token-level augmentation:
  - Synonym replacement (Yang et al. 2015, Zhang et al. 2015, Miao et al. 2020)
  - Random insertion, deletion, swapping (Xie et al. 2019, Wei and Zou 2019)
  - Word replacement via LM (Wu et al. 2019, Zhu et al. 2019)
- 2. Sentence-level augmentation:
  - Paraphrasing (Xie et al. 2019, Chen et al. 2020)
  - Conditional generation (Zhang and Bansal 2019, Yang et al. 2020)
- 3. Adversarial augmentation:
  - Whitebox methods (Miyato et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2020; Jiang et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2020d)
  - Blackbox methods (Ren et al. 2019; Garg and Ramakrishnan, 2020)
- 4. Hidden space augmentation:
  - **Mixup** (Zhang et al., 2019, Chen et al. 2020)

#### Hidden-space Augmentation via Perturbation

Manipulating the hidden representations

- Through perturbations such as adding noises
- Or performing interpolations with other data points

#### Interpolation: mixup for text data

A Generalized View of Text Mixup: linguistically informed interpolations

$$\widetilde{y} = mix(y, y') = \lambda y \bigoplus (1 - \lambda) y' \longrightarrow \text{label for } x' \text{ (e.g., sentiment, named entity)} \\ \widetilde{x} = mix(x, x') = \lambda x \bigoplus (1 - \lambda) x' \longrightarrow \text{another sampled data point from the corpus (e.g., sentence, token, subtree)} \\ \text{a given data point (e.g., sentence, token, subtree)} \\ \text{Mix parameter (e.g., sampled from a Beta distribution} \\ \lambda \sim \text{Beta}(a, a))}$$

Interpolation: mixup for text data

A Generalized View of Text Mixup





#### Interpolation: **mixup** in textual hidden space

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \min(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \lambda \mathbf{x}_i + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{x}_j$$
$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \min(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{y}_j) = \lambda \mathbf{y}_i + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{y}_j$$

 $\lambda \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha, \alpha)$ 



34



Shen, Dinghan, Mingzhi Zheng, Yelong Shen, Yanru Qu, and Weizhu Chen. "A simple but tough-to-beat data augmentation approach for natural language understanding and generation." arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.13818 (2020).

#### Cutoff

#### Closely related to multi-view learnir

Can be apply to both text classification a

Model	BLEU score
Actor-critic (Bahdanau et al., 2016)	28.5
Transformer Base (Vaswani et al., 2017)	34.4
Adversarial training (Wang et al., 2019)	35.2
Data Diversification (Nguyen et al., 2019)	37.2
MAT (Fan et al., 2020)	36.2
Mixed Representations (Wu et al., 2020)	36.4
MAT+Knee (Iyer et al., 2020)	36.6
Transformer Base & Cutoff (w/o JS loss)	36.7
Transformer Base & Cutoff (w/ JS loss)	37.6

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_{\text{ce}}(x, y) + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{L}_{\text{ce}}(x_{\text{cutoff}}^{i}, y) \\ &+ \beta \mathcal{L}_{\text{divergence}}(x, x_{\text{cutoff}}^{1}, x_{\text{cutoff}}^{2}, ..., x_{\text{cutoff}}^{N}, y) \end{split}$$

3 7

#### Hidden Space Augmentation Summary

Methods	Level	Diversity	Tasks
Hidden-space perturbation	Token or Sentence	High	Text classification, Sequence labeling, Speech recognition
Interpolation	Token or Sentence	High	Text classification, Sequence labeling, Machine translation

#### Hidden Space Augmentation Summary

Methods	Types	News C	lassification	<b>Topic Classification</b>		
1110011045	-jpcs	AG News	20 Newsgroup	Yahoo Answers	PubMed	
None	-	78.8(8.9)	65.2(4.8)	56.6(9.4)	63.7(6.1)/49.3(3.9)	
SR		79.4(5.9)	66.1(2.5)	56.0(10.1)	62.4(5.7)/48.3(3.9)	
LM RI	Talsar	76.8(5.1)	60.0(14.4)	56.2(8.4)	60.9(3.0)/47.4(2.5)	
		79.5(4.9)	66.6(0.6)	57.3(12.0)	63.7(4.2)/49.4(2.1)	
RD	Token	79.6(5.0)	66.8(3.0)	58.0(8.3)	63.4(5.0)/49.3(1.5)	
RS		79.5(5.3)	64.8(10.8)	57.1(10.3)	63.8(7.4)/49.5(3.3)	
WR		79.7(2.0)	67.5(4.2)	59.3(8.9)	64.9(4.9)/49.4(2.5)	
RT	Sentence	80.1(4.3)	65.1(7.9)	57.1(9.6)	60.2(5.1)/46.3(6.4)	
ADV		78.2 (5.3)	65.5(1.6)	53.8(4.89)	37.4(2.6)/19.9(10.6)	
Cutoff	Hidden	79.3(5.0)	66.6(1.4)	57.3(9.3)	60.5(8.3)/46.6(9.4)	
Mixup		80.0 (6.52)	65.9(3.1)	57.8(4.19)	51.4(19.3)/39.8(3.2)	

#### Hidden Space Augmentation Summarv

	Methods	Types	Types			Parap	Paraphrase		entence		
		- <b>J</b> F-2	MNLI	QNLI	RTE	QQP	MRPC	SST-2	CoLA		
	None								- A		
	SR	<ul> <li>No single augmentation works the best for every task.</li> </ul>									
		• Au	Augmentation does not always improve performance.								
ised	RD	an	nd can sometimes hurt performances.								
ıpervi	RS WR	• To									
S	RT	su	pervise	d learni	ng, espe	ecially w	ith limite	d labeled	data		
	ADV Cutoff Mixup	Hidden	35.3(4.7) 35.1(2.3) 32.6(3.5)	49.7(1.8) 51.4(8.3) 49.9(1.4)	48.3(12.1) 52.2(3.6) 49.8(9.2)	57.5(24.7) 62.6(8.8) 63.0(0.3)	01.5(21.5) 61.0(21.2) 62.1(19.8)	<b>53.3(13.07)</b> <b>63.5(8.45)</b> 62.3(12.3)	1.37(4.06) <b>12.4(9.58)</b> 4.03(8.68)		

#### **Consistency regularization**



#### "Unsupervised Data Augmentation" (UDA)

Initialization	UDA	IMDb (20)	Yelp-2 (20)	Yelp-5 (2.5k)	Amazon-2 (20)	Amazon-5 (2.5k)	DBpedia (140)
Random	×	43.27 25.23	40.25 8.33	50.80 41.35	45.39 16.16	55.70 44.19	41.14 7.24
BERT <sub>BASE</sub>	×	18.40 5.45	13.60 2.61	41.00 33.80	26.75 3.96	44.09 38.40	2.58 1.33
BERTLARGE	×	11.72 4.78	10.55 2.50	38.90 33.54	15.54 3.93	42.30 37.80	1.68 1.09

#### "Unsupervised Data Augmentation" (UDA)

Initialization	UDA $  IM (2)$	1Db Yelp-2 20) (20)	2 Yelp-5 (2.5k)	Amazon-2 (20)	2 Amazon-5 (2.5k)	5 DBpedia (140)	-training
Random	× 43 ✓ 25	3.2740.255.238.33	50.80 41.35	45.39 16.16	55.70 44.19	41.14 ~ 7.24	Pre ps
BERT <sub>BASE</sub>	×   18 ✓   5	3.4013.60.452.61	41.00 33.80	26.75 3.96	44.09 38.40	2.58 <i>&lt;</i> 1.33	
BERTLARGE	×   11 ✓   4	1.72 10.55 .78 2.50	38.90 33.54	15.54 3.93	42.30 37.80	1.68 1.09	

#### "Unsupervised Data Augmentation" (UDA)

Initialization	UDA	IMDb (20)	Yelp-2 (20)	Yelp-5 (2.5k)	Amazon-2 (20)	Amazon-5 (2.5k)	DBpedia (140)	is mentary
Random	×	43.27 25.23	40.25 8.33	50.80 41.35	45.39 16.16	55.70 44.19	41.14 7.24	St nplet
BERT <sub>BASE</sub>	×	18.40 5.45	13.60 2.61	41.00 33.80	26.75 3.96	44.09 38.40	2.58 1.33 <i>«</i>	)
BERTLARGE	×	11.72 4.78	10.55 2.50	38.90 33.54	15.54 3.93	42.30 37.80	1.68 1.09	

Xie, Qizhe, et al. "Unsupervised data augmentation for consistency training." NeurIPS 2020.

#### SSL or just augmentation?

	Methods	Types	News C	lassification	Topic Classification		
	withous	Types	AG News	20 Newsgroup	Yahoo Answers	PubMed	
	None	-	78.8(8.9)	65.2(4.8)	56.6(9.4)	63.7(6.1)/49.3(3.9)	
	SR		79.4(5.9)	66.1(2.5)	56.0(10.1)	62.4(5.7)/48.3(3.9)	
	LM		76.8(5.1)	60.0(14.4)	56.2(8.4)	60.9(3.0)/47.4(2.5)	
ed	RI	Talran	79.5(4.9)	66.6(0.6)	57.3(12.0)	63.7(4.2)/49.4(2.1)	
VIS	RD	Token	79.6(5.0)	66.8(3.0)	58.0(8.3)	63.4(5.0)/49.3(1.5)	
per	RS		79.5(5.3)	64.8(10.8)	57.1(10.3)	63.8(7.4)/49.5(3.3)	
Suj	WR		79.7(2.0)	67.5(4.2)	<b>59.3(8.9)</b>	64.9(4.9)/49.4(2.5)	
	RT	Sentence	80.1(4.3)	65.1(7.9)	57.1(9.6)	60.2(5.1)/46.3(6.4)	
	ADV		78.2 (5.3)	65.5(1.6)	53.8(4.89)	37.4(2.6)/19.9(10.6)	
	Cutoff	Hidden	79.3(5.0)	66.6(1.4)	57.3(9.3)	60.5(8.3)/46.6(9.4)	
	Mixup		80.0 (6.52)	65.9(3.1)	57.8(4.19)	51.4(19.3)/39.8(3.2)	
	SR		69.6(29.3)	65.7(1.8)	51.4(9.4)	59.3(5.9)/43.1(11.9)	
ed	LM		68.5(13.7)	68.3(2.1)	53.2(6.3)	61.5(6.6)/46.4(4.4)	
NIS.	RI	Token	65.8(5.5)	66.7(1.1)	50.5(3.2)	61.4(11.3)/44.4(17.4)	
per	RD		73.2(14.0)	66.1(3.3)	51.5(7.5)	59.3(7.1)/46.0(3.8)	
Su	RS		71.6(16.6)	65.0(2.0)	51.1(7.1)	64.2(12.1)/46.7(11.5)	
Smi	WR		74.1(12.3)	69.3(2.5)	55.6(5.9)	60.4(7.5)/43.7(14.2)	
Š	RT	Sentence	82.1(8.2)	68.8(2.4)	59.8(3.9)	64.3(1.2)/49.8(1.9)	
	ADV	Hidden	82.3(2.33)	66.8(5.9)	55.9(3.89)	62.2(10.8)/46.2(9.8)	
	Cutoff	muuen	79.9(5.5)	67.9(0.8)	<b>60.1(1.0)</b>	62.7(9.0)/48.1(3.2)	

Chen, Jiaao, et al. "An empirical survey of data augmentation for limited data learning in NLP." arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.07499 (2021).

~

#### SSL or just augmentation?

	Methods	Types	News C	lassification	<b>Topic Classification</b>		
	memous	Types	AG News	20 Newsgroup	Yahoo Answers	PubMed	
	None	-	78.8(8.9)	65.2(4.8)	56.6(9.4)	63.7(6.1)/49.3(3.9)	
	SR		79.4(5.9)	66.1(2.5)	56.0(10.1)	62.4(5.7)/48.3(3.9)	
	LM		76.8(5.1)	60.0(14.4)	56.2(8.4)	60.9(3.0)/47.4(2.5)	
ed	RI	Talaan	79.5(4.9)	66.6(0.6)	57.3(12.0)	63.7(4.2)/49.4(2.1)	
vis	RD	Token	79.6(5.0)	66.8(3.0)	58.0(8.3)	63.4(5.0)/49.3(1.5)	
per	RS		79.5(5.3)	64.8(10.8)	57.1(10.3)	63.8(7.4)/49.5(3.3)	
Sul	WR		79.7(2.0)	67.5(4.2)	<b>59.3(8.9)</b>	64.9(4.9)/49.4(2.5)	
	RT	Sentence	80.1(4.3)	65.1(7.9)	57.1(9.6)	60.2(5.1)/46.3(6.4)	
	ADV		78.2 (5.3)	65.5(1.6)	53.8(4.89)	37.4(2.6)/19.9(10.6)	
	Cutoff	Hidden	79.3(5.0)	66.6(1.4)	57.3(9.3)	60.5(8.3)/46.6(9.4)	
	Mixup		80.0 (6.52)	65.9(3.1)	57.8(4.19)	51.4(19.3)/39.8(3.2)	
	SR		69.6(29.3)	65.7(1.8)	51.4(9.4)	59.3(5.9)/43.1(11.9)	
ed	LM		68.5(13.7)	68.3(2.1)	53.2(6.3)	61.5(6.6)/46.4(4.4)	
vis.	RI	Token	65.8(5.5)	66.7(1.1)	50.5(3.2)	61.4(11.3)/44.4(17.4)	
pei	RD		73.2(14.0)	66.1(3.3)	51.5(7.5)	59.3(7.1)/46.0(3.8)	
Su	RS		71.6(16.6)	65.0(2.0)	51.1(7.1)	64.2(12.1)/46.7(11.5)	
imi	WR		74.1(12.3)	69.3(2.5)	55.6(5.9)	60.4(7.5)/43.7(14.2)	١
Š	RT	Sentence	82.1(8.2)	68.8(2.4)	59.8(3.9)	64.3(1.2)/49.8(1.9)	∖ No "best"
	ADV Cutoff	Hidden	<b>82.3(2.33)</b> 79.9(5.5)	66.8(5.9) 67.9(0.8)	55.9(3.89) <b>60.1(1.0</b> )	62.2(10.8)/46.2(9.8) 62.7(9.0)/48.1(3.2)	augmentatior

Chen, Jiaao, et al. "An empirical survey of data augmentation for limited data learning in NLP." arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.07499 (2021).

#### The problem with unlabeled data...

- Some problems (e.g. *machine translation*) are meant to be applied to any text; unlabeled data is abundant
- Some problems (e.g. *sentiment analysis*) only apply to certain kinds of text (e.g. all product reviews but not all tweets)
- For some problems (e.g. *natural language inference*), it is unreasonable to expect that a large amount of unlabeled data is available it's nearly as hard to collect data as it is to label it.

#### SentAugment



#### SentAugment

**BioNLP query**: A single gene on chromosome 7 makes a protein called the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR). **Nearest neighbor**: Cystic Fibrosis A mutation in the gene cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) in chromosome 7.

**Financial Query**: Google has entered into an agreement to buy Nest Labs for \$3.2 billion. **Nearest neighbor**: In January Google (NASDAQ:GOOG) reached an agreement to buy Nest Labs for \$3.2 billion in cash.

Hate-speech Query: Average sentence embeddings of the "hateful" class of IMP Nearest neighbor: fuzzy you are such a d\* f\* piece of s\* just s\* your g\* d\* mouth. – All you n\* and s\* are fucking ret\*

Movie review Query: Average sentence embeddings of the "bad movie" class of SST-5 Nearest neighbor: This movie was terribly boring, but so forgettable as well that it didn't stand out for how awful it was..

**Product review Query**: Average sentence embeddings of the "positive" class of CR **Nearest neighbor**: The phone is very good looking with superb camera setup and very lightweight.

**Question type Query**: Average sentence embeddings of the "location" class of TREC **Nearest neighbor**: Lansing is the capital city of which state?