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## Linear Programming

Solving an LP

## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- To be able to design an algorithm for solving LP problems, it will be useful if we define problems more precisely in some standard format.
- Standard form: A Linear Program is said to be standard form if the following holds:

1. The linear objective function should be maximized.
2. All variables have non-negativity constraint. i.e., for all $i, x_{i} \geq 0$.
3. All the remaining linear constraints are of the following form: $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \cdot x_{j} \leq b_{j}$

## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- Standard form: A Linear Program is said to be standard form if the following holds:

1. The linear objective function should be maximized.
2. All variables have non-negativity constraint.
i.e., for all $i, x_{i} \geq 0$.
3. All the remaining linear constraints are of the following form:
$\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \cdot x_{j} \leq b_{j}$

- Question: Is there a way to convert any LP problem to an equivalent standard form?
- Equivalence of LP's: Two LP problems P1 and P2 are said to be equivalent if for any feasible solution for P 1 with objective value $Z$, there is a feasible solution of P 2 with the same objective value and vice versa.


## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- Standard form: A Linear Program is said to be standard form if the following holds:

1. The linear objective function should be maximized.
2. All variables have non-negativity constraint.
i.e., for all $i, x_{i} \geq 0$.
3. All the remaining linear constraints are of the following form: $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \cdot x_{j} \leq b_{j}$

- A general LP problem might not be in standard for because it might have:

1. Equality constraints $(=)$ rather than inequality $(\leq)$.
2. $\geq$ instead of $\leq$.
3. Variables without non-negativity constraints.
4. Minimization rather than maximization.
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- Idea: $a=b$ can be written as $a \leq b$ and $a \geq b$.

2. $\geq$ instead of $\leq$.
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## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- A general LP problem might not be in standard form because it might have:

1. Equality constraints $(=)$ rather than inequality $(\leq)$.

- Idea: $a=b$ can be written as $a \leq b$ and $a \geq b$.

2. $\geq$ instead of $\leq$.

- Idea: $a \geq b$ can be written as $-a \leq-b$.

3. Variables without non-negativity constraints.

- Idea: Replace a variable $x$ (that has no non-negativity constraint) with ( $x^{\prime}-x^{\prime \prime}$ ) everywhere and put $x^{\prime} \geq 0$ and $x^{\prime \prime} \geq 0$.

4. Minimization rather than maximization.

- Idea: Replace "Minimize $\sum c_{i} . x_{i}$ " with "Maximize $\sum\left(-c_{i}\right) \cdot x_{i}$ ".


## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- Example:
- Minimize $-2 x_{1}+3 x_{2}$
- subject to
- $x_{1}+x_{2}=7$
- $x_{1}-2 x_{2} \leq 4$
- $x_{1} \geq 0$


## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- Example: Minimize to Maximize
- Maximize $2 x_{1}-3 x_{2}$
- subject to
- $x_{1}+x_{2}=7$
- $x_{1}-2 x_{2} \leq 4$
- $x_{1} \geq 0$


## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- Example: non-negativity constraint for $x_{2}$
- Maximize $2 x_{1}-3\left(x_{2}^{\prime}-x_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$
- subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cdot x_{1}+\left(x_{2}^{\prime}-x_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)=7 \\
& \cdot x_{1}-2\left(x_{2}^{\prime}-x_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq 4 \\
& \cdot x_{1} \geq 0, x_{2}^{\prime} \geq 0, x_{2}^{\prime \prime} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- Example: non-negativity constraint for $x_{2}$
- Maximize $2 x_{1}-3 x_{2}{ }^{\prime}+3 x_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$
- subject to
- $x_{1}+x_{2}{ }^{\prime}-x_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}=7$
- $x_{1}-2 x_{2}{ }^{\prime}+2 x_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime} \leq 4$
- $x_{1} \geq 0, x_{2}^{\prime} \geq 0, x_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime} \geq 0$


## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- Example: renaming variables
- Maximize $2 x_{1}-3 x_{2}+3 x_{3}$
- subject to
- $x_{1}+x_{2}-x_{3}=7$
- $x_{1}-2 x_{2}+2 x_{3} \leq 4$
- $x_{1} \geq 0, x_{2} \geq 0, x_{3} \geq 0$


## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- Example: Equality to inequality
- Maximize $2 x_{1}-3 x_{2}+3 x_{3}$
- subject to
- $x_{1}+x_{2}-x_{3} \leq 7$
- $-x_{1}-x_{2}+x_{3} \leq-7$
- $x_{1}-2 x_{2}+2 x_{3} \leq 4$
- $x_{1} \geq 0, x_{2} \geq 0, x_{3} \geq 0$


## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- Standard form: A Linear Program is said to be standard form if the following holds:

1. The linear objective function should be maximized.
2. All variables have non-negativity constraint.
i.e., for all $i, x_{i} \geq 0$.
3. All the remaining linear constraints are of the following form:

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \cdot x_{j} \leq b_{j}
$$

- It will be useful to further convert an LP in standard for to an equivalent LP in Slack form.
- Slack form: For every inequality $\sum_{j} a_{j} x_{j} \leq b_{j}$, we introduce a slack variable $s_{j}$ and replace $\sum_{j} a_{j} x_{j} \leq b_{j}$ with $s_{j}=b_{j}-\sum_{j} a_{j} x_{j}$ and $s_{j} \geq 0$.


## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- Example:
- Maximize $2 x_{1}-3 x_{2}+3 x_{3}$
- subject to
- $x_{1}+x_{2}-x_{3} \leq 7$
- $-x_{1}-x_{2}+x_{3} \leq-7$
- $x_{1}-2 x_{2}+2 x_{3} \leq 4$
- $x_{1} \geq 0, x_{2} \geq 0, x_{3} \geq 0$


## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- Example: Standard form to slack form.
- $z=2 x_{1}-3 x_{2}+3 x_{3}$
- $x_{4}=7-x_{1}-x_{2}+x_{3}$
- $x_{5}=-7+x_{1}+x_{2}-x_{3}$
- $x_{6}=4-x_{1}+2 x_{2}-2 x_{3}$
- $x_{1} \geq 0, x_{2} \geq 0, x_{3} \geq 0, x_{4} \geq 0, x_{5} \geq 0, x_{6} \geq 0$.
- The variables on the LHS are called basic variables and those on the RHS are called non-basic variables.
- Basic solution: Set all non-basic variables to 0 and compute the value of the basic variables.


## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- The variables on the LHS are called basic variables and those on the RHS are called non-basic variables.
- Basic solution: Set all non-basic variables to 0 and compute the value of the basic variables.
- Simplex algorithm:
- Repeat:
- Pivot: Rewrite the LP in an equivalent slack form such that the objective value of the basic solution increases.


## Linear Programming:

The Simplex Algorithm

## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- Simplex algorithm:
- Repeat:
- Pivot: Rewrite the LP in slack form such that the objective value of the basic solution increases.
- Example:
- $z=3 x_{1}+x_{2}+2 x_{3}$
- $x_{4}=30-x_{1}-x_{2}-3 x_{3}$
- $x_{5}=24-2 x_{1}-2 x_{2}-5 x_{3}$
- $x_{6}=36-4 x_{1}-x_{2}-2 x_{3}$
- Use $x_{1}=\left(9-x_{6} / 4-x_{2} / 4-x_{3} / 2\right)$


## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- Simplex algorithm:
- Repeat:
- Pivot: Rewrite the LP in slack form such that the objective value of the basic solution increases.
- Example:
- $z=3\left(9-x_{6} / 4-x_{2} / 4-x_{3} / 2\right)+x_{2}+2 x_{3}$
- $x_{4}=30-\left(9-x_{6} / 4-x_{2} / 4-x_{3} / 2\right)-x_{2}-3 x_{3}$
- $x_{5}=24-2\left(9-x_{6} / 4-x_{2} / 4-x_{3} / 2\right)-2 x_{2}-5 x_{3}$
- $x_{1}=\left(9-x_{6} / 4-x_{2} / 4-x_{3} / 2\right)$


## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- Simplex algorithm:
- Repeat:
- Pivot: Rewrite the LP in slack form such that the objective value of the basic solution increases.
- Example:
- $z=27+x_{2} / 4+x_{3} / 2-3 x_{6} / 4$
- $x_{4}=21-3 x_{2} / 4-5 x_{3} / 2+x_{6} / 4$
- $x_{5}=6-3 x_{2} / 2-4 x_{3}+x_{6} / 2$
- $x_{1}=9-x_{2} / 4-x_{3} / 2-x_{6} / 4$
- Now $x_{2}, x_{3}$, and $x_{6}$ are the non-basic variables and $x_{1}, x_{4}$, and $x_{5}$ are the basic variables.
- The objective value of the basic solution is now 27.
- Claim: If the basic solution is feasible for the LP before pivoting, then the basic solution for the LP after pivoting is also feasible.


## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- Simplex algorithm:
- Repeat:
- Pivot: Rewrite the LP in slack form such that the objective value of the basic solution increases.
- Example:
- $z=27+x_{2} / 4+x_{3} / 2-3 x_{6} / 4$
- $x_{4}=21-3 x_{2} / 4-5 x_{3} / 2+x_{6} / 4$
- $x_{5}=6-3 x_{2} / 2-4 x_{3}+x_{6} / 2$
- $x_{1}=9-x_{2} / 4-x_{3} / 2-x_{6} / 4$
- Use $x_{3}=3 / 2-3 x_{2} / 8-x_{5} / 4+x_{6} / 8$


## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- Simplex algorithm:
- Repeat:
- Pivot: Rewrite the LP in slack form such that the objective value of the basic solution increases.
- Example:
- $z=111 / 4+x_{2} / 16-x_{5} / 8-11 x_{6} / 16$
- $x_{4}=69 / 4+3 x_{2} / 16+5 x_{5} / 8-x_{6} / 16$
- $x_{1}=33 / 4-x_{2} / 16+x_{5} / 8-5 x_{6} / 16$
- $x_{3}=3 / 2-3 x_{2} / 8-x_{5} / 4+x_{6} / 8$
- Now $x_{2}, x_{5}$, and $x_{6}$ are the non-basic variables and $x_{1}, x_{3}$, and $x_{4}$ are the basic variables.
- The objective value of the basic solution is now $111 / 4$.


## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- Simplex algorithm:
- Repeat:
- Pivot: Rewrite the LP in slack form such that the objective value of the basic solution increases.
- Example:
- $z=111 / 4+x_{2} / 16-x_{5} / 8-11 x_{6} / 16$
- $x_{4}=69 / 4+3 x_{2} / 16+5 x_{5} / 8-x_{6} / 16$
- $x_{1}=33 / 4-x_{2} / 16+x_{5} / 8-5 x_{6} / 16$
- $x_{3}=3 / 2-3 x_{2} / 8-x_{5} / 4+x_{6} / 8$
- Use $x_{2}=4-8 x_{3} / 3-2 x_{5} / 3+x_{6} / 3$


## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- Simplex algorithm:
- Repeat:
- Pivot: Rewrite the LP in slack form such that the objective value of the basic solution increases.
- Example:
- $z=28-x_{3} / 6-x_{5} / 6-2 x_{6} / 3$
- $x_{1}=8+x_{3} / 6+x_{5} / 6-x_{6} / 3$
- $x_{2}=4-8 x_{3} / 3-2 x_{5} / 3+x_{6} / 3$
- $x_{4}=18-x_{3} / 2+x_{5} / 2$
- Now the basic solution is the optimal solution.
- The optimal objective value for the initial LP is 28 and the value of the variables are $x_{1}=8, x_{2}=4$, and $x_{3}=0$.


## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- Simplex algorithm:
- Repeat:
- Pivot: Rewrite the LP in slack form such that the objective value of the basic solution increases.
- We looked at a contrived example devoid of any complications. Here are some of the complications that could arise:

1. What if the initial basic solution is not a feasible solution?
2. What if the LP is unbounded? How and where do we detect this?
3. What if after a pivoting step the objective value of the basic solution does not increase? What is the running time of the Simplex algorithm?

## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- Complications:

1. What if the initial basic solution is not a feasible solution?

- We will determine this in a preprocessing step. If the LP has a feasible solution, then we will rewrite it in a form where the basic solution is feasible.

2. What if the LP is unbounded? How and where do we detect this?

- We will check this while pivoting.

3. What if after a pivoting step the objective value of the basic solution does not increase? What is the running time of the Simplex algorithm?

- This is indeed a problem with Simplex. The algorithm might cycle without increasing the objective value. Simplex is actually not a polynomial time algorithm but it is still used in practice because it works very well on real world instances.


## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- (Complication 2) What if the LP is unbounded? How and where do we detect this?
- Consider the following general slack LP that we obtain while running Simplex:
- $z=v+c_{1} x_{1}+c_{2} x_{2}+\ldots+c_{n} x_{n}$
- $x_{n+1}=b_{1}-a_{11} x_{1}-a_{12} x_{2}-\ldots-a_{1 n x n}$
- $x_{n+2}=b_{2}-a_{21} x_{1}-a_{22} x_{2}-\ldots-a_{2 n \times n}$
- $x_{n+m}=b_{m}-a_{m 1} x_{1}-a_{m 2} x_{2}-\ldots-a_{m n} x_{n}$
- Claim: Suppose $c_{i}>0$ and $a_{1 i}, a_{2 i}, a_{3 i}, \ldots, a_{m i} \leq 0$. Then the LP is unbounded.


## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- (Complication 3) What if after a pivoting step the objective value of the basic solution does not increase? What is the running time of the Simplex algorithm?
- Consider the following example:
- $z=8+x_{3}-x_{4}$
- $x_{1}=8-x_{2}-x_{4}$
- $x_{5}=x_{2}-x_{3}$
- We have to pivot using $x_{3}=x_{2}-x_{5}$ but that gives us
- $z=8+x_{2}-x_{4}-x_{5}$
- $x_{1}=8-x_{2}-x_{4}$
- $x_{3}=x_{2}-x_{5}$
- The objective value of the basic solution does not change.


## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- (Complication 3) What if after a pivoting step the objective value of the basic solution does not increase? What is the running time of the Simplex algorithm?
- So, the Simplex may cycle between slack forms without increasing the objective value of the basic solution.
- Claim: Each slack form is uniquely determined by the set of basic and non-basic variables.
- Question: What is the upper bound on the number of slack forms that the Simplex cycles without increasing the objective value of the basic solution?


## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- (Complication 3) What if after a pivoting step the objective value of the basic solution does not increase? What is the running time of the Simplex algorithm?
- So, the Simplex may cycle between slack forms without increasing the objective value of the basic solution.
- Claim: Each slack form is uniquely determined by the set of basic and non-basic variables.
- Question: What is the upper bound on the number of slack forms that the Simplex cycles without increasing the objective value of the basic solution?
- ${ }^{n+m} C_{m}$. This is the upper bound on the number of different slack forms.


## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- (Complication 3) What if after a pivoting step the objective value of the basic solution does not increase? What is the running time of the Simplex algorithm?
- So, the Simplex may cycle between slack forms without increasing the objective value of the basic solution.
- Claim: Each slack form is uniquely determined by the set of basic and non-basic variables.
- Claim: If the Simplex fails to terminate in ${ }^{n+m} C_{m}$ steps, then it cycles.
- There is a way (Bland's rule) to choose the pivoting variables so that Simplex always terminates.


## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- (Complication 1) What if the initial basic solution is not a feasible solution?
- We construct the following LP, $L^{\prime}$ in slack form:
- $z=-x_{0}$
- $x_{n+1}=b_{1}-a_{11} x_{1}-a_{12} x_{2}-\ldots-a_{1 n x n}+x_{0}$
- $x_{n+2}=b_{2}-a_{21} x_{1}-a_{22} x_{2}-\ldots-a_{2 n} x_{n}+x_{0}$
- $x_{n+m}=b_{m}-a_{m 1} x_{1}-a_{m 2} x_{2}-\ldots-a_{m n} x_{n}+x_{0}$
- Claim: The given LP has a feasible solution if and only if the optimal objective value of $L^{\prime}$ is 0 .
- So, all we need to do is to solve $L^{\prime}$. This seems to bring us back to the original problem. However, we see that $L^{\prime}$ is a simple LP.


## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- (Complication 1) What if the initial basic solution is not a feasible solution?
- We construct the following LP, $L^{\prime}$ in slack form:
- $z=-x_{0}$
- $x_{n+1}=b_{1}-a_{11} x_{1}-a_{12} x_{2}-\ldots-a_{1 n \times n}+x_{0}$
- $x_{n+2}=b_{2}-a_{21} x_{1}-a_{22} x_{2}-\ldots-a_{2 n x n}+x_{0}$
- $x_{n+m}=b_{m}-a_{m 1} x_{1}-a_{m 2} x_{2}-\ldots-a_{m n} x_{n}+x_{0}$
- Claim: The given LP has a feasible solution if and only if the optimal objective value of $L^{\prime}$ is 0 .
- Claim: $L^{\prime}$ is feasible.
- The basic solution might not be a feasible solution since some $b_{i}<0$.


## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- (Complication 1) What if the initial basic solution is not a feasible solution?
- $L^{\prime}$ :
- $z=-x_{0}$
- $x_{n+1}=b_{1}-a_{11} x_{1}-a_{12} x_{2}-\ldots-a_{1 n \times n}+x_{0}$
- $x_{n+2}=b_{2}-a_{21} x_{1}-a_{22} x_{2}-\ldots-a_{2 n \times n}+x_{0}$
- $x_{n+m}=b_{m}-a_{m 1} x_{1}-a_{m 2} x_{2}-\ldots-a_{m n} x_{n}+x_{0}$
- The basic solution might not be a feasible solution since some $b_{i}<0$.
- Let $b_{i}$ be the smallest among $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}$. We will pivot using

$$
\mathrm{x}_{n+i}=b_{i}-a_{i 1} x_{1}-\ldots+x_{0}
$$

## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- (Complication 1) What if the initial basic solution is not a feasible solution?
- $L^{\prime}$ :
- $z=-x_{0}$
- $x_{n+1}=b_{1}-a_{11} x_{1}-a_{12} x_{2}-\ldots-a_{1 n \times n}+x_{0}$
- $x_{n+2}=b_{2}-a_{21} x_{1}-a_{22} x_{2}-\ldots-a_{2 n x n}+x_{0}$
- $x_{n+m}=b_{m}-a_{m 1} x_{1}-a_{m 2} x_{2}-\ldots-a_{m n} x_{n}+x_{0}$
- Let $b_{i}$ be the smallest among $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}$. We will pivot using

$$
x_{n+i}=b_{i}-a_{i 1} x_{1}-\ldots+x_{0}
$$

- Claim: The basic solution of the LP obtained after the above pivoting is a feasible solution.


## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- (Complication 1) What if the initial basic solution is not a feasible solution?
- Pre-processing algorithm:
- Given $L$, check if all $b_{i}$ 's are positive. In that case return $L$.
- Consider $L^{\prime}$. Perform the pivoting using the equation with smallest $b_{i}$ to obtain $L^{\prime \prime}$.
- Solve $L^{\prime \prime}$ using Simplex and find the optimal objective value Opt.
- If $(O p t \neq 0)$, then output "LP is infeasible".
- Otherwise, let $L_{S}$ be the LP obtained at the end of the simplex. Do the following:
- If $x_{0}$ is a basic variable in $L_{S}$, then perform a pivoting step to obtain $L_{S}{ }^{\prime}$.
- Remove all instances of $x_{0}$ and rewrite the objective function of $L$ in terms of non-basic variables of $L_{S}{ }^{\prime}$.


## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- (Complication 1) What if the initial basic solution is not a feasible solution?
- Pre-processing algorithm: Example
- $L$ :
- $z=2 x_{1}-x_{2}$
- $x_{3}=2-2 x_{1}+x_{2}$
- $x_{4}=-4-x_{1}+5 x_{2}$
- $L^{\prime}$ :
- $z=$
- $x_{0}$
- $x_{3}=2-2 x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{0}$
- $x_{4}=-4-x_{1}+5 x_{2}+x_{0}$
- $L^{\prime \prime}$ : After Pivot using ( $x_{4}=\ldots$ )
- $z=-4-x_{1}+5 x_{2}-x_{4}$
- $x_{3}=6-x_{1}-4 x_{2}+x_{4}$
- $x_{0}=4+x_{1}-5 x_{2}+x_{4}$


## Linear Programming: Solving LP

- (Complication 1) What if the initial basic solution is not a feasible solution?
- Pre-processing algorithm: Example
- $L$ :
- $z=2 x_{1}-x_{2}$
- $x_{3}=2-2 x_{1}+x_{2}$
- $x_{4}=-4-x_{1}+5 x_{2}$
- $L_{S}$ :
- $z=-x_{0}$
- $x_{2}=4 / 5-x_{0} / 5+x_{1} / 5+x_{4} / 5$
- $x_{3}=14 / 5+4 x_{0} / 5-9 x_{1} / 5+x_{4} / 5$
- $L_{S}$ :
- $z=2 x_{1}-x_{2}=2 x_{1}-\left(4 / 5+x_{1} / 5+x_{4} / 5\right)=-4 / 5+$ $9 x_{1} / 5-x_{4} / 5$
- $x_{2}=4 / 5+x_{1} / 5+x_{4} / 5$
- $x_{3}=14 / 5-9 x_{1} / 5+x_{4} / 5$

End

