CSL 356: Analysis and Design of Algorithms

Ragesh Jaiswal

CSE, IIT Delhi

<u>Problem(3-D matching)</u>: Given disjoint sets X, Y, and Z each of size n, and given a set T of triples (x, y, z), determine if there exist a subset of n triples in T such that each element of X U Y U Z is contained in exactly one of these triples.



- <u>Problem(3-D matching)</u>: Given disjoint sets X, Y, and Z each of size n, and given a set T of triples (x, y, z), determine if there exist a subset of n triples in T such that each element of X U Y U Z is contained in exactly one of these triples.
- <u>Claim 1</u>: 3-D matching is in **NP**.
- <u>Claim 2</u>: 3-D matching is **NP**-complete.
 - <u>Claim 2.1</u>: 3-SAT \leq_p 3-D matching.
 - <u>Proof</u>: We will show an efficient many-one reduction.



• Example construction for $(x_1 \lor x_2' \lor x_3), (x_1' \lor x_2 \lor x_3')$



Computational Intractability

NP-Complete problems: Subset-sum

- <u>Problem(Subset-sum)</u>: Given natural numbers W_1, \ldots, W_n and a target number W, determine if there is a subset of $\{W_1, \ldots, W_n\}$ that adds up to precisely W.
- <u>Claim 1</u>: Subset-sum is in **NP**.
- <u>Claim</u>: Subset-sum is **NP**-complete.
 - <u>Claim 2.1</u>: 3-D matching \leq_p Subset-sum.
 - <u>Proof idea</u>: We will show an efficient many-one reduction. Given an instance (X, Y, Z, T) of the 3-D matching problem, we construct an instance of the Subset-sum problem.

- <u>Claim 1</u>: Subset-sum matching is in **NP**.
- <u>Claim</u>: Subset-sum is **NP**-complete.
 - <u>Claim 2.1</u>: 3-D matching \leq_P Subset-sum.
 - <u>Proof idea</u>: We will show an efficient many-one reduction. Given an instance (X, Y, Z, T) of the 3-D matching problem, we construct an instance of the Subset-sum problem.
 - We construct a 3n bit vector. Given a triple

 $t_i = (x_1, y_3, z_5)$ we construct the following vector v_i :

- <u>Claim</u>: Subset-sum is **NP**-complete.
 - <u>Claim 2.1</u>: 3-D matching \leq_p Subset-sum.
 - <u>Proof idea</u>: Given an instance (X, Y, Z, T) of the 3-D matching problem, we construct an instance of the Subsetsum problem.
 - We construct a 3n bit vector. Given a triple $t_i = (x_1, y_3, z_5)$ we construct the following vector v_i :
 - Let w_i be the value of v_i in base (|T| + 1) and let

$$W = \sum_{i=0}^{3n-1} (|T|+1)^{i}$$

- <u>Claim</u>: Subset-sum is **NP**-complete.
 - <u>Claim 2.1</u>: 3-D matching \leq_p Subset-sum.
 - <u>Proof idea</u>: Given an instance (X, Y, Z, T) of the 3-D matching problem, we construct an instance of the Subset-sum problem.
 - Let W_i be the value of v_i in base (|T| + 1) and let $W = \sum_{i=0}^{3n-1} (|T| + 1)^i$
 - <u>Claim</u>: There is a 3-D matching if and only if there is a subset of $\{W_1, \dots, W_{|T|}\}$ that sums to W.

Computational Intractability

Complexity Classes

- <u>NP</u>: All problems X such that there is an efficient certifier for X.
 - *Efficient certifier*: There is an efficient certifier B(.,.) such that
 - for all yes instances s of X, there is a short certificate t such that B(s,t)="yes", and
 - for all "no" instances *s* of *X*, there is *no* short string *t* such that *B*(*s*, *t*)="yes".
 - <u>Example 1</u>: Consider 3-SAT. If the formula is satisfiable, then there is a short certificate of this fact. Is there a short certificate showing that a formula is unsatisfiable?
 - <u>Example 2</u>: Consider 3-coloring. Is there a short certificate of the fact that there is no possible 3 coloring of the given graph?

- <u>co-NP</u>: A problem *X* is in **co-NP** if and only if the problem *X*' is in **NP**.
 - $\underline{X'}$: Complement of \underline{X} .
- Examples of **co-NP** problems:
 - <u>UNSAT</u>: Given a formula, determine if the formula is unsatisfiable.
 - <u>TAUTOLOGY</u>: Given a formula, determine if it is a tautology.
 - <u>NO-Hamiltonian-cycle</u>: Given a graph, determine if there is no hamiltonian cycle in the graph.

• <u>Question</u>: Is NP = co-NP?

- <u>Question</u>: Is NP = co-NP?
 - Not known but the popular belief is that they are not equal.
- <u>Theorem</u>: If $NP \neq co-NP$, then $P \neq NP$.

- <u>Question</u>: Is NP = co-NP?
 - Not known but the popular belief is that they are not equal.
- <u>Theorem</u>: If $NP \neq co-NP$, then $P \neq NP$.
 - <u>Proof idea</u>: **P** is closed under complementation.



- <u>Question</u>: Is NP = co-NP?
 - Not known but the popular belief is that they are not equal.
- <u>Theorem</u>: If $NP \neq co-NP$, then $P \neq NP$.
 - <u>Proof idea</u>: **P** is closed under complementation.
- <u>Question</u>: Are there problems in **NP** intersect **co-NP** that are not in **P**?



- <u>Question</u>: Is NP = co-NP?
 - Not known but the popular belief is that they are not equal.
- <u>Theorem</u>: If $NP \neq co-NP$, then $P \neq NP$.
 - <u>Proof idea</u>: **P** is closed under complementation.
- <u>Question</u>: Are there problems in **NP** intersect **co-NP** that are not in **P**?
 - FACTOR is in **NP** intersect **co-NP** but it is not known to be in **P**.
 - There is mixed feeling about this question.



- <u>FACTOR</u>: Given two integers x and y, is there a non-trivial factor of x that is less than y.
 - <u>FACTOR is in **NP**</u>:
 - <u>FACTOR is in **co-NP**</u>:



- <u>FACTOR</u>: Given two integers x and y, is there a non-trivial factor of x that is less than y.
 - <u>FACTOR is in **NP**</u>: The non-trivial factor of x less than y acts as a certificate.
 - <u>FACTOR is in **co-NP**</u>: The prime factorization of *x* acts as a certificate.



• <u>**PSPACE</u>**: The set of all problems that can be solved using polynomial amount of *space*.</u>



- <u>**PSPACE</u>**: The set of all problems that can be solved using polynomial amount of *space*.</u>
- <u>Theorem</u>: **PSPACE** contains **P**.



- <u>**PSPACE</u>**: The set of all problems that can be solved using polynomial amount of *space*.</u>
- <u>Theorem</u>: **PSPACE** contains **P**.
 - <u>Proof idea</u>: You cannot use more than polynomial space in polynomial time.



- <u>**PSPACE</u>**: The set of all problems that can be solved using polynomial amount of *space*.</u>
- <u>Theorem</u>: **PSPACE** contains **P**.
- <u>Theorem</u>: **PSPACE** contains **NP**.



- <u>**PSPACE</u>**: The set of all problems that can be solved using polynomial amount of *space*.</u>
- <u>Theorem</u>: **PSPACE** contains **P**.
- <u>Theorem</u>: **PSPACE** contains **NP**.
 - <u>Proof idea</u>: 3-SAT can be solved in polynomial space and for all problems X in NP, $X \leq_p 3$ -SAT.



- <u>**PSPACE</u>**: The set of all problems that can be solved using polynomial amount of *space*.</u>
- <u>Theorem</u>: **PSPACE** contains **P**.
- <u>Theorem</u>: **PSPACE** contains **NP**.
- <u>Theorem</u>: **PSPACE** contains **co-NP**.



- <u>Question</u>: Are there problems in **PSPACE** that are not in simpler classes?
 - There are **PSPACE**-complete problems that are the hardest problems in **PSPACE** are not known to be in simpler classes.



- <u>**PSPACE**-complete</u>: A problem *X* is **PSPACE**-complete if
 - **1**. X is in **PSPACE**.
 - 2. For all Y in PSPACE, $Y \leq_p X$.
- <u>Question</u>: Are there natural problems that are **PSPACE**complete?



- <u>Problem(Quantified-SAT)</u>: Given a quantified boolean formula $\exists x_1 \forall x_2 \exists x_3 \forall x_4 ... \forall x_{n-1} \exists x_n \varphi(x_1, ..., x_n)$, determine if the formula is true.
 - This captures 2-player games.
- <u>Theorem</u>: QSAT is **PSPACE**-complete.



- <u>Problem(Quantified-SAT)</u>: Given a quantified boolean formula $\exists x_1 \forall x_2 \exists x_3 \forall x_4 ... \forall x_{n-1} \exists x_n \varphi(x_1, ..., x_n)$, determine if the formula is true.
 - This captures 2-player games.
- <u>Theorem</u>: QSAT is **PSPACE**-complete.



End