CSL 356: Analysis and Design of Algorithms

Ragesh Jaiswal

CSE, IIT Delhi

Network Flow

Strongly polynomial time algorithm for max-flow





- Let d_f(s, v) denote the hop-length of the shortest path from s to v in G_f.
- <u>Claim 1</u>: For all $v \neq s, t, d_f(s, v)$ either remains same or increases with each flow augmentation.

- Let d_f(s, v) denote the hop-length of the shortest path from s to v in G_f.
- <u>Claim 1</u>: For all $v \neq s, t, d_f(s, v)$ either remains same or increases with each flow augmentation.
- Proof:
 - Let f be the flow just before the first augmentation that decreases the shortest distance of some vertex. Let f' be the flow after this augmentation.
 - Let v be the vertex with minimum value of $d_{f'}(s, v)$ whose shortest path length was reduced.
 - Let u be the vertex just before ${\bf v}$ in the shortest path from ${\bf s}$ to v in $G_{f'.}$

- <u>Claim 1</u>: For all $v \neq s, t, d_f(s, v)$ either remains same or increases with each flow augmentation.
- Proof:
 - Let f be the flow just before the first augmentation that decreases the shortest distance of some vertex. Let f' be the flow after this augmentation.
 - Let v be the vertex with minimum value of $d_{f'}(s, v)$ whose shortest path length was reduced.
 - Let u be the vertex just before v in the shortest path from s to v in $G_{f'}$.
 - We have:
 - $d_{f'}(s, u) = d_{f'}(s, v) 1$
 - $d_{f'}(s, u) \ge d_f(s, u)$
 - <u>Claim</u>: (u, v) is not present in G_f .
 - <u>Proof</u>: Since otherwise, $d_f(s,v) \leq d_f(s,u) + 1 \leq d_{f'}(s,u) + 1 = d_{f'}(s,v).$

- <u>Claim 1</u>: For all $v \neq s, t, d_f(s, v)$ either remains same or increases with each flow augmentation.
- Proof:
 - Let f be the flow just before the first augmentation that decreases the shortest distance of some vertex. Let f' be the flow after this augmentation.
 - Let v be the vertex with minimum value of $d_{f'}(s, v)$ whose shortest path length was reduced.
 - Let u be the vertex just before v in the shortest path from s to v in $G_{f'}$.
 - We have:
 - $d_{f'}(s, u) = d_{f'}(s, v) 1$ • $d_{f'}(s, u) \ge d_f(s, u)$
 - <u>Claim</u>: (u, v) is not present in G_f .
 - <u>Proof</u>: Since otherwise,

 $d_f(s,v) \leq d_f(s,u) + 1 \leq d_{f'}(s,u) + 1 = d_{f'}(s,v).$

• This means that (v, u) was in the augmenting path. This means: $d_f(s, v) = d_f(s, u) - 1 \le d_{f'}(s, u) - 1 \le d_{f'}(s, v) - 2$

- <u>Claim 2</u>: The total number of flow augmentations in the Edmonds–Karp algorithm is O(nm).
- Proof:
 - An edge is said to be critical while augmentation if it is the bottleneck edge.
 - <u>Claim</u>: Any edge can become critical at most (n/2) times.
 - <u>Proof</u>:
 - Consider any edge (u, v). Let f be the flow just before (u, v) becomes critical. The we have

$$d_f(s, v) = d_f(s, u) + 1$$
 (1)

• After this the edge (u, v) disappears. Let f' be the flow just before the augmentation that brings back edge (u, v). Then we have

$$d_{f'}(s,u) = d_{f'}(s,v) + 1$$
 (2)

- <u>Claim 2</u>: The total number of flow augmentations in the Edmonds-Karp algorithm is O(nm).
- Proof:
 - An edge is said to be critical while augmentation if it is the bottleneck edge.
 - <u>Claim</u>: Any edge can become critical at most (n/2) times.
 - <u>Proof</u>:
 - Consider any edge (u, v). Let f be the flow just before (u, v) becomes critical. The we have

$$d_f(s, v) = d_f(s, u) + 1$$
 (1)

• After this the edge (u, v) disappears. Let f' be the flow just before the augmentation that brings back edge (u, v). Then we have

$$d_{f'}(s,u) = d_{f'}(s,v) + 1$$
 (2)

- Using (1) and (2) we get: $d_{f'}(s,u) = d_{f'}(s,v) + 1 \ge d_f(s,v) + 1 = d_f(s,u) + 2$ • The last table is the las
- The shortest distance has increased by 2 between the instances when (u, v) becomes critical.

- <u>Claim 2</u>: The total number of flow augmentations in the Edmonds–Karp algorithm is O(nm).
- <u>Theorem</u>: The running time of Edmonds-Karp algorithm is $O(nm^2)$.

Applications of Network Flow

Bipartite Matching

Bipartite Matching

<u>Problem(Bipartite matching)</u>: Given a bipartite graph G = (L, R, E) give a maximum *matching* in the graph.
<u>Example</u>:



• <u>Matching</u>: A subset *M* of edges such that each node appears in at most one edge in *M*.

Bipartite Matching

- <u>Problem(Bipartite matching)</u>: Given a bipartite graph G = (L, R, E) give a maximum *matching* in the graph.
 - <u>Example</u>:



- <u>Claim 1</u>: Suppose there is an integer flow of value k in the network graph. Then the bipartite graph has a matching of size k.
- <u>Claim 2</u>: Suppose the bipartite graph has a matching of size *k*. Then there is integer flow of value *k* in the network graph.

Hall's Theorem

• Given a bipartite graph G = (X, Y, E), consider the matching problem. We say that G has *perfect matching* if all vertices are present in a matching.

• Given a bipartite graph G = (X, Y, E), consider the matching problem. We say that G has *perfect matching* if all vertices are present in a matching.

 $\bullet |X| = |Y|$

- Given a bipartite graph G = (X, Y, E), consider the matching problem. We say that G has *perfect matching* if all vertices are present in a matching.
 - $\bullet |X| = |Y|$
 - For a subset A of X let N(A) denote the neighboring vertices of A in G. There is no perfect matching if there is an A, |A| > |N(A)|.

• Is the converse also true?

- Given a bipartite graph G = (X, Y, E), consider the matching problem. We say that G has *perfect matching* if all vertices are present in a matching.
 - $\bullet |X| = |Y|$
 - For a subset A of X let N(A) denote the neighboring vertices of A in G. There is no perfect matching if there is an A,
 |A| > |N(A)|.
 - Is the converse also true?
- <u>Hall's Theorem</u>: Given any bipartite graph G = (X, Y, E), there is a perfect matching in G if and only if for every subset A of vertices of X, we have $|A| \leq |N(A)|$.

End