Name:

Entry number:

- Always try to give algorithm with best possible running time. The points that you obtain will depend on the running time of your algorithm. For example, a student who gives an O(n) algorithm will receive more points than a student who gives an $O(n^2)$ algorithm.
- You are required to give proofs of correctness whenever needed. For example, if you give an algorithm using network flow for some problem, then you should also give a proof why this algorithm outputs optimal solution.
- You may use any of the following known NP-complete problems to show that a given problem is NP-complete: 3-SAT, INDEPENDENT-SET, VERTEX-COVER, SUBSET-SUM, 3-COLORING, 3D-MATCHING, SET-COVER, CLIQUE.
- Use of unfair means will be severely penalized.

There are 8 questions for a total of 30 points.

(4) 1. Recall the deterministic algorithm for finding the k^{th} smallest number in an array containing distinct numbers:

The algorithm considers groups of 5 elements. It picks the middle element from each group and then finds the median element of these middle numbers. Let p denote the median of the middle numbers. It then partitions the array into two parts: left part consisting of numbers < p and right part consisting of numbers > p. It then looks for the appropriate number in either the left or right part depending on the size of these parts.

Suppose the algorithm considers groups of 3 elements instead of groups of 5 elements. Analyze the running time of this algorithm?

(2) 2. Consider the following problem:

FACTOR: Given integers N, x, determine if N has a non-trivial factor less than x.

It is known that FACTOR $\in NP$ but it is not known if FACTOR is NP-complete. State whether the following statements are true or false or unknown with reasons:

- (a) If $P \neq NP$, then FACTOR cannot be solved in polynomial time.
- (b) If P = NP, then any 1024 bit number can be factored within an hour.
- (3) 3. Solve the following linear program using the simplex algorithm. Give the value of the variables that maximizes the objective function.

```
Maximize 3x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3,
Subject to:
x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \le 4
2x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 \le 6
-x_1 + 2x_3 \le 3
x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \le 8
x_1, x_2, x_3 \ge 0.
```

(4) 4. Given n integers $x_1, ..., x_n$ and an integer P, a set $S = \{(i, j) : i < j \text{ and } x_i + x_j \ge P\}$ is said to be valid pair set if each i is present in at most one pair in S. Design an algorithm that outputs a valid pair set with largest cardinality.

The Makespan problem Recall the minimum makespan problem that we discussed in class. Consider the following variant of the problem:

k-MAKESPAN: Given n jobs with integer durations $d_1, ..., d_n$ and an integer D, determine if these jobs can be scheduled on k machines such that the maximum finishing time of any job is $\leq D$.

Let us start analyzing the above problem for different values of k. We note that the 1-MAKESPAN and n-MAKESPAN problems are very easy. The next question asks you to show that the 3-MAKESPAN problem in NP-complete.

(5) 5. Show that 3-MAKESPAN is NP-complete.

Now that we have proved that 3-MAKESPAN is NP-complete, we know that a polynomial time algorithm is unlikely. However, we know that all instances of the problem might not be hard. For example, what about when D is small? The next question asks to give a polynomial time algorithm for problem instances where D is small.

(4) 6. Suppose you are given problem instances of 3-MAKESPAN where D = O(n). Give a polynomial time algorithm for solving the problem. Discuss the running time of your algorithm.

Now consider the optimization version of the problem.

MIN-3-MAKESPAN: Given n jobs with duration $d_1, ..., d_n$, determine a schedule of these n jobs on 3 machines that minimizes the maximum finishing time of any job.

The optimization version of a problem is usually harder than the decision version. The next question asks you to show this formally.

(2) 7. Show that MIN-3-MAKESPAN is NP-hard.

We have seen that one way to deal with NP-hard problems is to give efficient approximation algorithms. In the lectures we looked at a greedy algorithm that gives 2-approximation. The next question asks you to analyze the approximation factor of a variant of this algorithm.

(6) 8. Consider the following greedy algorithm for the MIN-3-MAKESPAN problem: Sort the jobs in decreasing order of their duration. Consider jobs in this order and schedule a job on a machine with the smallest current load. Show that this algorithm gives a (3/2) approximation factor.