

---

## Problem Set 4 Solutions

**Problem 1. [20 points]** Define the family of functions  $H: \{0,1\}^{64} \times \{0,1\}^{192} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{128}$  as follows:

```
function  $H_K(x)$ 
   $a \parallel b \leftarrow x$ 
   $y \leftarrow \text{AES}_{K \parallel a}(b)$ 
  return  $y$ 
```

Here,  $a \parallel b \leftarrow x$  means we split  $x$  as  $x = a \parallel b$  with  $|a| = 64$  and  $|b| = 128$ . Show that  $H$  is not collision-resistant by presenting a practical adversary  $A$  such that  $\text{Adv}_H^{\text{cr}}(A)$  is close to one. (The better the attack, the more points you get.)

The first thing to do is look at the definition of collision-resistance. The game shows us that the adversary gets as input the randomly chosen key  $K$  defining the particular instance  $H_K$  of the family  $H$  that it is attacking. Now we use the fact that AES is a block cipher and thus given  $K \parallel a_1$  one can easily compute  $\text{AES}_{K \parallel a_1}^{-1}$ . The adversary with input the key  $K$  proceeds as follows:

**adversary  $A(K)$**

```
Let  $a_1, a_2$  be two different 64-bit strings and let  $b_1$  be any 128-bit string
 $h \leftarrow \text{AES}_{K \parallel a_1}(b_1)$ ;  $b_2 \leftarrow \text{AES}_{K \parallel a_2}^{-1}(h)$ 
 $x_1 \leftarrow a_1 \parallel b_1$ ;  $x_2 \leftarrow a_2 \parallel b_2$ 
return  $x_1, x_2$ 
```

This adversary is very practical, using only two AES or  $\text{AES}^{-1}$  computations. We claim that the  $x_1, x_2$  it returns is a collision for  $H_K$ , which means that  $\text{Adv}_H^{\text{cr}}(A) = 1$ . The claim is true because

$$\text{AES}_{K \parallel a_2}(b_2) = \text{AES}_{K \parallel a_2}(\text{AES}_{K \parallel a_2}^{-1}(h)) = h = \text{AES}_{K \parallel a_1}(b_1),$$

and also  $a_1, a_2$  being different implies  $x_1 \neq x_2$ .

---

**Problem 2. [30 points]** Let  $h: \mathcal{K} \times \{0,1\}^{2b} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^b$  be a compression function. Define  $H: \mathcal{K} \times \{0,1\}^{4b} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^b$  as follows:

```
function  $H(K, M)$ 
   $M_1 \parallel M_2 \leftarrow M$ 
   $V_1 \leftarrow h(K, M_1)$ ;  $V_2 \leftarrow h(K, M_2)$ 
   $V \leftarrow h(K, V_1 \parallel V_2)$ 
  return  $V$ 
```

**adversary  $A_h(K)$** 


---

```

Run  $A_H(K)$  to get its output  $(y_1, y_2)$ 
Parse  $y_1$  as  $M_{1,1} \parallel M_{1,2}$  where  $|M_{1,1}| = |M_{1,2}| = 2b$ 
Parse  $y_2$  as  $M_{2,1} \parallel M_{2,2}$  where  $|M_{2,1}| = |M_{2,2}| = 2b$ 
 $V_{1,1} \leftarrow h(K, M_{1,1})$ ;  $V_{1,2} \leftarrow h(K, M_{1,2})$ 
 $V_{2,1} \leftarrow h(K, M_{2,1})$ ;  $V_{2,2} \leftarrow h(K, M_{2,2})$ 
 $V_1 \leftarrow h(K, V_{1,1} \parallel V_{1,2})$ 
 $V_2 \leftarrow h(K, V_{2,1} \parallel V_{2,2})$ 
If  $(V_1 \neq V_2 \text{ OR } y_1 = y_2)$  return FAIL //  $A_H$  did not find a collision, so neither will  $A_h$ 
If  $V_{1,1} \parallel V_{1,2} \neq V_{2,1} \parallel V_{2,2}$  then return  $(V_{1,1} \parallel V_{1,2}, V_{2,1} \parallel V_{2,2})$ 
If  $M_{1,1} \neq M_{2,1}$  then return  $(M_{1,1}, M_{2,1})$ 
If  $M_{1,2} \neq M_{2,2}$  then return  $(M_{1,2}, M_{2,2})$ 

```

Figure 1: Adversary  $A_h$  for the proof of the theorem.

---

Here,  $M_1 \parallel M_2 \leftarrow M$  means we split  $M$  as  $M = M_1 \parallel M_2$  with  $|M_1| = |M_2| = 2b$ . Show that if  $h$  is collision-resistant then so is  $H$ . Do this by stating and proving an analogue of the Theorem in class, which also appears as Theorem 6.8 in the course notes.

**Theorem:** Let  $h, H$  be as above. Suppose we are given an adversary  $A_H$  that attempts to find collisions in  $H$ . Then we can construct an adversary  $A_h$  that attempts to find collisions in  $h$ , and

$$\mathbf{Adv}_H^{\text{cr}}(A_H) \leq \mathbf{Adv}_h^{\text{cr}}(A_h). \quad (1)$$

Furthermore, the running time of  $A_h$  is that of  $A_H$  plus the time to perform 6 computations of  $h$ . ■

This theorem says that if  $h$  is collision-resistant then so is  $H$ . Why? Let  $A_H$  be a practical adversary attacking  $H$ . Then  $A_h$  is also practical, because its running time is that of  $A_H$  plus a little more, namely the time for 6 computations of  $h$ . But  $h$  is collision-resistant so we know that  $\mathbf{Adv}_h^{\text{cr}}(A_h)$  is low. Equation (1) then tells us that  $\mathbf{Adv}_H^{\text{cr}}(A_H)$  is low, meaning  $H$  is collision-resistant as well.

**Proof of theorem:** We follow the proof of Theorem 6.8 in the notes. Adversary  $A_h$ , taking input a key  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ , is depicted in Fig. 1. It runs  $A_H$  on input  $K$  to get a pair  $(y_1, y_2)$  of messages, each  $4b$  bits long. We claim that if  $y_1, y_2$  is a collision for  $H_K$  then  $A_h$  will return a collision for  $h_K$ .

Adversary  $A_h$  computes  $V_1 = H_K(y_1)$  and  $V_2 = H_K(y_2)$ . If  $y_1, y_2$  is a collision for  $H_K$  then we know that  $V_1 = V_2$ . Let us assume this. Now, let us look at the inputs to the application of  $h_K$  that yielded these outputs. These are  $V_{1,1} \parallel V_{1,2}$  and  $V_{2,1} \parallel V_{2,2}$ . If these inputs are different, they form a collision for  $h_K$ , and  $A_h$  outputs them.

If they are not different then we know that  $V_{1,1} = V_{2,1}$  and  $V_{1,2} = V_{2,2}$ . That  $V_{1,1} = V_{2,1}$  means that  $h(K, M_{1,1}) = h(K, M_{2,1})$ . So  $M_{1,1}, M_{2,1}$  form a collision for  $h$  unless they happen to be equal. Similarly, that  $V_{1,2} = V_{2,2}$  means that  $h(K, M_{1,2}) = h(K, M_{2,2})$  and so  $M_{1,2}, M_{2,2}$  form a collision for  $h$  unless they happen to be equal. Adversary  $A_h$  checks for these equalities and returns an unequal pair. The key point is that we cannot have *both*  $M_{1,1} = M_{2,1}$  and  $M_{1,2} = M_{2,2}$  since that would imply  $y_1 = y_2$ , but we know that  $y_1 \neq y_2$  because it is a collision for  $H_K$ . ■

---

**Problem 3. [20 points]** Let  $\text{sha1}: \{0, 1\}^{672} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{160}$  be the compression function underlying the SHA1 hash function. We define a message authentication scheme  $\mathcal{MA} = (\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{V})$  as follows. The key generation algorithm returns a random 160 bit string as the key  $K$ , and the tagging and verifying algorithms are:

<pre> Algorithm <math>\mathcal{T}_K(M)</math>   <math>M[1] \dots M[n] \leftarrow M</math>   <math>C[0] \leftarrow K</math>   For <math>i = 1, \dots, n</math> do     <math>C[i] \leftarrow \text{sha1}(C[i-1] \parallel M[i])</math>   Return <math>C[n]</math> </pre>	<pre> Algorithm <math>\mathcal{V}_K(M, \sigma)</math>   If <math>\sigma = \mathcal{T}_K(M)</math> then return 1   Else return 0 </pre>
--	--

Above,  $M[1] \dots M[n] \leftarrow M$  means we break  $M = M[1] \dots M[n]$  into 512-bit blocks. The message space is the set of all strings whose length is a positive multiple of 512. Present a practical chosen-message attack that succeeds in forgery using one query to the tagging oracle.

**adversary  $A$**

```

 $x \leftarrow 0^{512}$ 
 $y \leftarrow \mathbf{Tag}(x)$ 
 $T \leftarrow \text{sha1}(y \parallel 1^{512})$ 
return  $(0^{512} \parallel 1^{512}, T)$ 

```

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 y &= \text{sha1}(K \parallel 0^{512}) \\
 T &= \text{sha1}(y \parallel 1^{512}) \\
 &= \mathcal{T}_K(0^{512} \parallel 1^{512})
 \end{aligned}$$

So  $\mathbf{Adv}_{\mathcal{MA}}^{\text{uf-cma}}(A) = 1$ .