

- You have to discuss the running time of your algorithms. Always try to give algorithm with best possible running time.
- You are required to give proofs of correctness whenever needed.
- **Use of unfair means will be severely penalized.**

There are 1 questions for a total of 50 points.

- (50) 1. (*Approximation using LP*) Consider the optimization version of the Vertex Cover problem. That is, given a graph $G = (V, E)$ find a vertex cover of G of minimum size. We know that this problem is NP-hard. Consider the following Integer LP for solving this problem: there are n variables, one for each vertex. Let the variable corresponding to v be denoted by x_v .

LP1
 Minimize : $\sum_{v \in V} x_v$,
 subject to : $x_u + x_v \geq 1$ for every edge $(u, v) \in E$
 $x_v \in \{0, 1\}$ for every vertex $v \in V$

We know that the optimal solution to the above Integer LP would give the minimum sized vertex cover of G . The problem in using this LP to solve the Minimum Vertex Cover problem is that Integer Linear Program is also NP-Hard. Suppose we relax the integer constraint in the above linear program. That is, we consider the following *relaxed* LP:

LP2
 Minimize : $\sum_{v \in V} x_v$,
 subject to : $x_u + x_v \geq 1$ for each edge $(u, v) \in E$
 $x_v \geq 0$ for every vertex $v \in V$

Show the following:

1. Let (o_1, \dots, o_n) be an optimal solution of LP2 (these are assignments to the variables). Argue that for all $v \in V, 0 \leq o_v \leq 1$.
2. Suppose we consider the following subset of vertices:

$$S = \{v : o_v \geq 1/2\}$$

Argue that S is a vertex cover of the graph G .

3. Argue that S is at most twice the size of the minimum vertex cover of G . Note that this shows that we have an approximation algorithm for the vertex cover problem that gives approximation factor of 2.
4. Show that the approximation factor of 2 is tight. Recall, we defined tightness when we talked about approximation algorithms using greedy technique.