Amdahl's and Gustafson's laws

Jan Zapletal

VŠB - Technical University of Ostrava jan.zapletal@vsb.cz

November 23, 2009



2 Introduction

3 Amdahl's law

Gustafson's law

5 Equivalence of laws

6 References

Performance analysis

How does the parallelization improve the performance of our program?



Metrics used to desribe the performance:

- execution time,
- speedup,
- efficiency,
- cost..

Performance analysis

How does the parallelization improve the performance of our program?



Metrics used to desribe the performance:

- execution time,
- speedup,
- efficiency,
- cost...

Metrics

Execution time

- The time elapsed from when the first processor starts the execution to when the last processor completes it.
- On a parallel system consists of computation time, communication time and idle time.

Speedup

Defined as



where T_1 is the execution time for a sequential system and $T_
ho$ for the parallel system.

Metrics

Execution time

- The time elapsed from when the first processor starts the execution to when the last processor completes it.
- On a parallel system consists of computation time, communication time and idle time.

Speedup

Defined as

$$S=\frac{T_1}{T_p},$$

where T_1 is the execution time for a sequential system and T_p for the parallel system.

Gene Myron Amdahl (born November 16, 1922)

- worked for IBM,
- best known for formulating Amdahl's law uncovering the *limits of parallel computing*.

Let T_1 denote the computation time on a sequential system. We can split the total time as follows

$$T_1 = t_s + t_p,$$

where

 \cdot t_s - computation time needed for the sequential part.

t_p - computation time needed for the parallel part.

Clearly, if we parallelize the problem, only t_p can be reduced. Assuming *ideal* parallelization we get

$$T_p = t_s + \frac{t_p}{N},$$

where

▶ *N* - number of processors.

Gene Myron Amdahl (born November 16, 1922)

- worked for IBM,
- best known for formulating Amdahl's law uncovering the *limits of parallel computing*.

Let T_1 denote the computation time on a sequential system. We can split the total time as follows

$$T_1=t_s+t_p,$$

where

- t_s computation time needed for the sequential part.
- t_p computation time needed for the parallel part.

Clearly, if we parallelize the problem, only t_p can be reduced. Assuming *ideal* parallelization we get

$$T_p = t_s + \frac{t_p}{N},$$

where

► *N* - number of processors.

Gene Myron Amdahl (born November 16, 1922)

- worked for IBM,
- best known for formulating Amdahl's law uncovering the *limits of parallel computing*.

Let T_1 denote the computation time on a sequential system. We can split the total time as follows

$$T_1=t_s+t_p,$$

where

- t_s computation time needed for the sequential part.
- t_p computation time needed for the parallel part.

Clearly, if we parallelize the problem, only t_p can be reduced. Assuming *ideal* parallelization we get

$$T_p = t_s + \frac{t_p}{N},$$

where

► *N* - number of processors.

Thus we get the speedup of

$$S = \frac{T_1}{T_p} = \frac{t_s + t_p}{t_s + \frac{t_p}{N}}.$$

Let f denote the sequential portion of the computation, i.e.

$$f=\frac{t_s}{t_s+t_p}.$$

Thus the speedup formula can be simplified into

$$S = \frac{1}{f + \frac{1-f}{N}} < \frac{1}{f}$$

 Notice that Amdahl assumes the problem size does not change with the number of CPUs.

Wants to solve a fixed-size problem as quickly as possible.

Thus we get the speedup of

$$S = \frac{T_1}{T_p} = \frac{t_s + t_p}{t_s + \frac{t_p}{N}}$$

Let f denote the sequential portion of the computation, i.e.

$$f = \frac{t_s}{t_s + t_p}$$

Thus the speedup formula can be simplified into

$$S = \frac{1}{f + \frac{1-f}{N}} < \frac{1}{f}.$$

 Notice that Amdahl assumes the problem size does not change with the number of CPUs.

Wants to solve a fixed-size problem as quickly as possible.

Jan Zapletal (VŠB-TUO)

Amdahl's and Gustafson's laws

Thus we get the speedup of

$$S = \frac{T_1}{T_p} = \frac{t_s + t_p}{t_s + \frac{t_p}{N}}$$

Let f denote the sequential portion of the computation, i.e.

$$f = \frac{t_s}{t_s + t_p}$$

Thus the speedup formula can be simplified into

$$S = \frac{1}{f + \frac{1-f}{N}} < \frac{1}{f}.$$

- Notice that Amdahl assumes the problem size does not change with the number of CPUs.
- ▶ Wants to solve a fixed-size problem as quickly as possible.

Jan Zapletal (VŠB-TUO)

Amdahl's and Gustafson's laws



John L. Gustafson (born January 19, 1955)

- > American computer scientist and businessman,
- found out that practital problems show much better speedup than Amdahl predicted.

Gustafson's law

- The computation time is constant (instead of the problem size),
- ▶ increasing number of CPUs ⇒ solve bigger problem and get better results in the same time.

Let T_p denote the computation time on a parallel system. We can split the total time as follows

$$T_p = t_s^* + t_p^*,$$

where

 t_s^* - computation time needed for the sequential part

 t_p^* - computation time needed for the parallel part.

John L. Gustafson (born January 19, 1955)

- American computer scientist and businessman,
- found out that practital problems show much better speedup than Amdahl predicted.

Gustafson's law

- The computation time is constant (instead of the problem size),
- ► increasing number of CPUs ⇒ solve bigger problem and get better results in the same time.

Let T_p denote the computation time on a parallel system. We can split the total time as follows

$$T_p = t_s^* + t_p^*,$$

where

- ▶ t_s^* computation time needed for the sequential part.
- ▶ t_p^* computation time needed for the parallel part.

John L. Gustafson (born January 19, 1955)

- American computer scientist and businessman,
- found out that practital problems show much better speedup than Amdahl predicted.

Gustafson's law

- The computation time is constant (instead of the problem size),
- ► increasing number of CPUs ⇒ solve bigger problem and get better results in the same time.

Let T_p denote the computation time on a parallel system. We can split the total time as follows

$$T_p = t_s^* + t_p^*,$$

where

- t_s^* computation time needed for the sequential part.
- t_p^* computation time needed for the parallel part.

On a sequential system we would get

$$T_1 = t_s^* + N \cdot t_p^*.$$

Thus the speedup will be

$$S = \frac{t_s^* + N \cdot t_p^*}{t_s^* + t_p^*}$$

Let f^* denote the sequential portion of the computation *on the parallel system*, i.e.

$$f^* = \frac{t_s^*}{t_s^* + t_p^*}$$

Then

$$S = f^* + N \cdot (1 - f^*).$$

On a sequential system we would get

$$T_1 = t_s^* + N \cdot t_p^*.$$

Thus the speedup will be

$$S=\frac{t_s^*+N\cdot t_p^*}{t_s^*+t_p^*}.$$

Let f^* denote the sequential portion of the computation *on the parallel system*, i.e.

$$f^* = \frac{t_s^*}{t_s^* + t_p^*}$$

Then

$$S = f^* + N \cdot (1 - f^*).$$

On a sequential system we would get

$$T_1 = t_s^* + N \cdot t_p^*.$$

Thus the speedup will be

$$S=\frac{t_s^*+N\cdot t_p^*}{t_s^*+t_p^*}.$$

Let f^* denote the sequential portion of the computation *on the parallel* system, i.e.

$$f^* = \frac{t_s^*}{t_s^* + t_p^*}$$

Then

$$S = f^* + N \cdot (1 - f^*).$$



What the hell?!

- The bigger the problem, the smaller f serial part remains usualy the same,
- ▶ and $f \neq f^*$.

Amdahl's says:

$$S = \frac{t_s + t_p}{t_s + \frac{t_p}{N}}.$$

Let now f^* denote the sequential portion spent in the parallel computation, i.e.

$$f^* = rac{t_s}{t_s + rac{t_p}{N}} ext{ and } (1 - f^*) = rac{rac{t_p}{N}}{t_s + rac{t_p}{N}}$$

Hence

$$t_s = f^* \cdot \left(t_s + \frac{t_p}{N} \right)$$
 and $t_p = N \cdot (1 - f^*) \cdot \left(t_s + \frac{t_p}{N} \right)$.

What the hell?!

- The bigger the problem, the smaller f serial part remains usualy the same,
- and $f \neq f^*$.

Amdahl's says:

$$S = \frac{t_s + t_p}{t_s + \frac{t_p}{N}}.$$

Let now f^* denote the sequential portion spent in the parallel computation, i.e.

$$f^* = rac{t_s}{t_s + rac{t_p}{N}} ext{ and } (1 - f^*) = rac{rac{t_p}{N}}{t_s + rac{t_p}{N}}$$

Hence

$$t_s = f^* \cdot \left(t_s + \frac{t_p}{N}\right)$$
 and $t_p = N \cdot (1 - f^*) \cdot \left(t_s + \frac{t_p}{N}\right)$.

What the hell?!

- The bigger the problem, the smaller f serial part remains usualy the same,
- ▶ and $f \neq f^*$.

Amdahl's says:

$$S = \frac{t_s + t_p}{t_s + \frac{t_p}{N}}.$$

Let now f^* denote the sequential portion spent in the parallel computation, i.e.

$$f^* = rac{t_s}{t_s + rac{t_p}{N}} ext{ and } (1 - f^*) = rac{rac{t_p}{N}}{t_s + rac{t_p}{N}}$$

Hence

$$t_s = f^* \cdot \left(t_s + rac{t_p}{N}
ight)$$
 and $t_p = N \cdot (1 - f^*) \cdot \left(t_s + rac{t_p}{N}
ight)$.

I see!

• After substituting t_s and t_p into the Amdahl's formula one gets

$$S=\frac{t_s+t_p}{t_s+\frac{t_p}{N}}=f^*+N\cdot(1-f^*),$$

what is exactly what Gustafson derived.

▶ The key is not to mix up the values *f* and *f** - this caused great confusion that lasted over years!

I see!

• After substituting t_s and t_p into the Amdahl's formula one gets

$$S = \frac{t_s + t_p}{t_s + \frac{t_p}{N}} = f^* + N \cdot (1 - f^*),$$

what is exactly what Gustafson derived.

The key is not to mix up the values f and f* - this caused great confusion that lasted over years!

I see!

• After substituting t_s and t_p into the Amdahl's formula one gets

$$S=rac{t_s+t_p}{t_s+rac{t_p}{N}}=f^*+N\cdot(1-f^*),$$

what is exactly what Gustafson derived.

The key is not to mix up the values f and f* - this caused great confusion that lasted over years!



References

- QUINN, Michael Jay. *Parallel programming in C with MPI and OpenMP.* New York : McGraw Hill, 2004. 507 s.
- Amdahl's law [online]. Available at: <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Amdahl's_law>.
- *Gustafson's law [online]*. Available at: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gustafson's_law.

Thank you for your attention!

References

- QUINN, Michael Jay. *Parallel programming in C with MPI and OpenMP.* New York : McGraw Hill, 2004. 507 s.
- Amdahl's law [online]. Available at: <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Amdahl's_law>.
- Gustafson's law [online]. Available at: <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gustafson's_law>.

Thank you for your attention!