CSL851: A	lgorithmic	Graph	Theory
-----------	------------	-------	--------

Semester I 2013-14

Lecture 14: September 16

Lecturer: Prof. Anand

 $Scribes:\ Abhishek\ Gupta$

Note: LaTeX template courtesy of UC Berkeley EECS dept.

Disclaimer: These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications. They may be distributed outside this class only with the permission of the Instructor.

This lecture's notes illustrate some uses of various IATEX macros. Take a look at this and imitate.

14.1 Some theorems and stuff

Proposition 14.1 Let $\alpha(n)$ be a function such that $\alpha(n) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, then for a random graph G(n,p) it holds

$$pN - \alpha(n)n \le e(G) \le pN + \alpha(n)n$$

almost asymptotically surely (a.a.s).

Proof: Let

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \sum_{e \in 2^v} X_e \\ X &= Np \\ Var(X) &= Npq \end{aligned}$$

where v is the number of vertices, and p and q holds their standard meanings (q = 1 - p)Applying Chebyshev's inequality,

$$P(|X - Np|) \ge \alpha(n)n) \le \frac{Var(X)}{(\alpha(n))^2 n^2}$$
$$P(|X - Np|) \ge \alpha(n)n) \le \frac{Npq}{(\alpha(n))^2 n^2}$$
$$P(|X - Np|) \ge \alpha(n)n) \le \frac{pq}{(\alpha(n))^2}$$

where pq is a constant. Thus, $\frac{pq}{\alpha(n)^2} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

14.2 Thresholds for connectivity

Definition 14.2 Let Q be a graph property and let r, s, $t : N \to \mathbb{R}$ be functions. We say that

- 1. t is a lower threshold function for the graph property Q in the random graph model G(n,p) if for any $p \le t \forall n \ G(n,p)$ doesn't have property Q a.a.s
- 2. s is an upper threshold function for the graph property Q in the random graph model G(n,p) if for any $p \ge s \forall n \ G(n,p)$ doesn't have property Q a.a.s

Note that s and t may coincide. Without loss of generality we can assume that $t \leq s$. Our aim is to bridge the gap between t and s to get better results.

We need a technical result which handles the situation of non-independent random variables. Let X_1, \ldots, X_k be random variables. Define

$$\Delta_1 = \sum_{\substack{i,j \in \{i,\dots,k\}\\ i \neq k}} E(X_i, X_j)$$

We say $X_i \sim X_j$, $i \neq j$ if X_i and X_j are not independent.

$$\Delta_2 = \sum_{\substack{i,j \in \{i,\dots,k\}\\i \sim k}} E(X_i, X_j)$$

Theorem 14.3 Let X_1, \ldots, X_k be random variables (not necessarily independent) and let $X = \sum_{i=1} kX_i$. We have

1.
$$Var(X) = \sum_{i} kVar(X_i) + \sum_{\substack{i,j \in \{i,\dots,k\}\\i \neq k}} Cov(X_i, X_j)$$

2. If X_i 's are Bernoulli random variables then

$$\begin{array}{ll} (a) \ Var(X) = E(X) - E(X)^2 + \Delta_1 \\ (b) \ Var(X) \leq E(X) + \Delta_2 \\ (c) \ P(X=0) \leq \frac{1}{E(X)} - 1 + \frac{\Delta_1}{(E(X))^2} \\ (d) \ P(X=0) \leq \frac{1}{E(X)} + \frac{\Delta_2}{(E(X))^2} \end{array}$$

Note: (a) and (b) can be concluded using straight-forward calculations. (c) and (d) can be derived from (a) and (b) respectively, using Chebyshev's inequality.

Theorem 14.4 Let

$$s(n) = \frac{\ln(n) - \alpha(n)}{n}$$
$$t(n) = \frac{\ln(n) + \alpha(n)}{n}$$

where $\alpha(n) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ and $\alpha(n) \le \ln(n)$.

s is a lower threshold function and t in an upper threshold function for the property that G(n,p) has no isolated vertices.

Proof:

1. Upper threshold

Consider $p \ge t$

We proved that the probability that G(n,p) has isolated vertices is at most n(1-p)(n-1). This approaches zero as $n \to \infty$ when p is constant. But here p is a function of n. Now,

$$n(1-p)^{(n-1)} \le n(1-t)^{(n-1)} \le \frac{n}{1-t}(1-t)^n \le \frac{n}{1-t}e^{(-t)}$$
$$n(1-p)^{(n-1)} \le \frac{n}{1-t}e^{(-ln(n)-\alpha(n))} \le \frac{1}{1-t}e^{-\alpha(n)}$$

As $n \to \infty$, $t \to 0$.

$$\frac{1}{1-t}e^{-\alpha(n)} \to 0$$

Hence proved.

2. Lower threshold

Let $p \leq s$. Let X be the random variable which counts the number of isolated vertices. We need to prove that

$$P(X = 0) \le \frac{1}{E(X)} - 1 + \frac{\Delta_1}{(E(X))^2}$$

and further prove that $R.H.S \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$E(X) = n(1-p)^{(n-1)} \ge n(1-s)^{(n-1)} = \frac{n}{1-s}(1-s)^n \ge \frac{n}{1-s}e^{-n(s+s^2)}$$

(Using $1 - x \ge e^{(-x - x^2)} for x \in [0, 1/3]$)

 $E(X) = \frac{1}{1-s}e^{\alpha(n)+\beta(n)}$, where $\beta(n) = \frac{ln^2(n)-2ln(n)+\alpha(n)}{n}$

Now, $\beta n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ $\implies E(X) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ $\implies \frac{1}{E(X)} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ Now consider, $\frac{\Delta_1}{E(X)^2}$

$$\Delta_1 = \sum_{\substack{v, w \in V v \neq w}} P(X_v = 1 | not X_w = 1)$$
$$\implies \Delta_1 = \sum_{\substack{v, w \in V v \neq w}} (1 - p)^{2(n-2)+1}$$

Using the fact that $(1-p)^{2(n-2)+1}$ edges are likely to exist if no edge exists with a vertex either from v or w.

$$\Delta_1 = n(n-1)(1-p)^{2n-3}$$
$$\frac{\Delta_1}{E(X)^2} = \frac{n(n-1)(1-p)^{2n-3}}{n^2(1-p)^{2(n-1)}} = (1-\frac{1}{n}) * \frac{1}{1-p}$$

This tends to 1, as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, we have $\frac{1}{E(X)} - 1 + \frac{\Delta_1}{(E(X))^2} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ Hence Proved. 14-3