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This lecture's notes covers the last lecture on preliminary knowledge of probability needed to study random graphs and introduction to Random Graph Model \& its properties.

### 13.1 Preliminaries continued

Lemma 13.1 Let $\mathbf{X}$ be a random variable with $\mathbf{a} \leq \mathbf{X} \leq \mathbf{b}$ for some $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{R}$. Suppose $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}]=0$, then for any $t>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{t X}\right] \leq \mathrm{e}^{\frac{t^{2}(b-a)^{2}}{8}} \tag{13.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{t X}\right]$ is also known as the Moment Generating Function.
Proof: The function $\mathrm{e}^{t x}$ is convex on $x$. Thus, as it can be seen from the graph below, for $x \in[a, b]$ we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{t x} \leq\left[\frac{(x-a)}{(b-a)} \mathrm{e}^{t b}+\frac{(x-a)}{(b-a)} \mathrm{e}^{t a}\right] \tag{13.2}
\end{equation*}
$$



Now, taking expectation of both sides we get,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{t x}\right] & \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{(x-a)}{(b-a)} \mathrm{e}^{t b}+\frac{(x-a)}{(b-a)} \mathrm{e}^{t a}\right] \\
& \leq \frac{(\mathbb{E}[x]-a)}{(b-a)} \mathrm{e}^{t b}+\frac{(\mathbb{E}[x]-b)}{(b-a)} \mathrm{e}^{t a}  \tag{13.3}\\
& \leq \frac{b \mathrm{e}^{t a}-a \mathrm{e}^{t b}}{b-a} \tag{13.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Now consider, suitable function $h(t)$ s.t.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{h(t)}=\frac{b \mathrm{e}^{t a}-a \mathrm{e}^{t b}}{b-a} \tag{13.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be seen that for

$$
f(x)=-p x+\ln \left((1-p)+p \mathrm{e}^{x}\right)
$$

where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\frac{a}{b-a} \tag{13.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get for $\hat{t}=t(b-a)$ and $h(t)=f(\hat{t}), \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{t})$ satisfies the the eq. 13.4
we are done if $h(t) \leq \frac{t^{2}(b-a)^{2}}{8}$, which can be proven using taylor expansion of $f$ at 0 .

$$
h(t)=f(\hat{t})=f(0)+\frac{f^{\bullet}(0)}{1!} \hat{t}+\frac{f^{" \prime}(\eta)}{2!} \hat{t}^{2}: \eta \in[0, \hat{t}]
$$

Now, $\left.\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{0})=\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{f}^{‘}(\mathbf{0})=\mathbf{0}\right)$ and $\mathbf{f}^{\prime \prime}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{p}) \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{x}}}{\left(\mathbf{p}+(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{p}) \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{x}}\right)^{\mathbf{2}}}$
As $h(t)=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(\eta)}{2} \hat{t}^{2}$, It will be enough to show that $\mathbf{f} "(\mathbf{x}) \leq \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{4}}$
as then, $h(t) \leq \frac{\hat{t}^{2}}{8}=\frac{t^{2}(b-a)^{2}}{8}$
$f^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{p(1-p) e^{x}}{\left(p+(1-p) e^{x}\right)^{2}}$ can be written as $\frac{\alpha \beta}{(\alpha+\beta)^{2}}$ for $\alpha=p, \beta=(1-p) e^{x}$
By using A.M. $\geq$ G.M.we get $\sqrt{\alpha \beta} \leq \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2} \Rightarrow \alpha \beta \leq \frac{(\alpha+\beta)^{2}}{4}$ Thus proved

Proof of theorem 12.9 Hoeffdings inequality Let independent and indentically distributed random variables $X_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n$, be uniformly bounded as $a_{i} \leq X_{i} \leq b_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Also, let $X=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$ and $c_{i}=b_{i}-c_{i}$. Then for $\lambda \geq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[P((X-\mathbb{E}[X]) \geq \lambda) \leq 2 e^{\frac{-2 \lambda^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}}}\right. \tag{13.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof:: Let $t>0$, then using $g(x)=e^{t x}$ in Markov's inequality (Theorem 12.7) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{P}(X-\mathbb{E}[X] \geq \lambda) & \geq e^{-t \lambda} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{t(X-\mathbb{E}[X])}\right] \\
& =e^{-t \lambda} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{t \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}}\right] \\
& =e^{-t \lambda} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{t \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}-\mathbf{E}\left[X_{i}\right]}\right. \\
& =e^{-t \lambda} \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{t X_{i}-\mathbf{E}\left[X_{i}\right]}\right] \\
& =e^{-t \lambda} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{t X_{i}-\mathbf{E}\left[X_{i}\right]}\right] \\
& \leq e^{-t \lambda} \cdot e^{\left.\frac{1}{8} t \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right)^{2}\right]} \quad \text { using lemma 12.1 proved above } \\
& \leq e^{\left.-t \lambda+\frac{t^{2}}{8}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(c_{i}\right)^{2}\right]} \quad \text { solving quadratic in } t \text { for minima } \\
& \leq e^{\frac{-2 \lambda^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(c_{i}\right)^{2}}} \tag{13.8}
\end{align*}
$$

### 13.2 Basics of Random Graph Model G(n,p)

Let $p \in[0,1]$ and let $V=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2} \ldots v_{n}\right\} \simeq\{1,2 \ldots, n\}$ be set of nodes in a graph. For every potential edge $e=(i, j)$ toss a $p$-biasied coin independently for all edges to decide whether edge $e(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})$ is in graph or not. The outcome of this random experiment is a graph and this generation process $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p})$ is called Random Graph Model. The random graph is genrated by sequence of $p$-bernouli random variable $X\left(e\right.$ for $e \in 2^{V}$. Formally, $G(n, p)$ is finite probability space, with sample space $\Omega$ being set of all graphs on V , for a graph $\mathbf{G}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}(\{G\})=p^{|E(G)|}(1-p)^{N-|E(G)|} \tag{13.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{G})$ is set of edges of G and $N=\frac{n(n-1)}{2}=\left|2^{V}\right|$

Definition 13.2 Let $\boldsymbol{Q}$ be a graph property. We say that graph from $G(n, p)$ has a property $Q$ assymototically almost surely (a.a.s) if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}(\text { graph from } G(n, p) \text { has property } Q) \longrightarrow 1
$$

Proposition 13.3 Graph from $G(n, p)$ do not have isolated vertices is assymototically almost surely (a.a.s)

Proof: For $v \in V$, define R.V

$$
X_{v}= \begin{cases}1 & : \text { if } \mathbf{v} \text { is isolated }  \tag{13.10}\\ 0 & : \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Let,

$$
X=\sum_{v \in V} X_{v}
$$

Then desired event is $X=0$, while bad event being $X \geq 1$ Now,

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[X_{v}\right]=\mathbb{P}\left(X_{v}=1\right)=(1-p)^{n-1}
$$

And,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{P}(X \geq 1) & \leq \frac{\mathbf{E}[X]}{1} \\
& =\mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{v \in V} X_{v}\right] \\
& =\sum_{v \in V} \mathbf{E}\left[X_{v}\right] \\
& =n(1-p)^{n-1} \tag{13.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Now using L-Hospital rule it can be shown that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n(1-p)^{n-1} \longrightarrow 0
$$

by writting it as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{(1-p)^{1-n}} \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(1-p)^{n-1} \cdot \ln (1-p)
\end{gathered}
$$

### 13.2.1 Further reading

L'Hospital's Rule http://mathworld.wolfram.com/LHospitalsRule.html

