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### 15.1 Matching in Bipartite Graphs

We want to check if bipartite graph $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ has a perfect matching, where $|U|=|V|=\mathrm{n}$.
Consider the $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ matrix A , whose entries are given as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A(i, j)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
x_{i j}, & (i, j) \in E \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right. \\
& V\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \ldots & a_{1 n} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \ldots & a_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{n 1} & a_{n 2} & \ldots & a_{n n}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\operatorname{Det}(\mathrm{A})$ is a multivariate polynomial ( m variables) with degree n . Each term in the determinant (ignoring the sign) can be thought of as a permutation, with exactly 1 element of every row and 1 from every column. Each of these terms (monomials) has a degree n.

All permutations appear in the determinant (with some sign). This can be proved through induction. For a $2 \times 2$ matrix, only 2 permutations are possible. When we calculate the determinant of $3 \times 3$ matrices, we choose the first element of the permutation and take all possibilities, given that we chose that first element. This argument can be extended for all n . Let $S_{n}$ be the set of all permutations.

$$
\operatorname{Det}(A)=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}}(-1)^{\operatorname{sign}(\sigma)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} A(i, \sigma(i))
$$

The determinant is not the zero polynomial iff $\exists$ a perfect matching in G.
If there is a perfect matching, we can set all the variables corresponding to the edges in the matching to be 1 and all others 0 . Then the determinant $=1$ or $=-1$ since there is only 1 non-zero term in the determinant. If the determinant is non-zero $\Longrightarrow$ there is atleast one term which is not cancelled $\Longrightarrow$ taking all the edges corresponding to this term (permutation) will make a perfect matching.

### 15.1.1 Schwartz-Zippel Lemma

Consider $\mathrm{p}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ to be a non-zero degree d polynomial in n variables over a field $\mathbb{F}$. Let S be a subset of $\mathbb{F}$. Let $x_{i}$ be picked randomly and independently from $S$.

$$
P\left[p\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0\right] \leq \frac{d}{|S|}
$$

Take S to be the field $\mathbb{Z} \bmod \mathrm{p}$ for some $p \geq n^{3}$. Let each $x_{i j}$ be picked randomly and independently from S and its value substituted in $A$ to give $A^{\prime} . \operatorname{Det}(A)$ is a multivariate polynomial ( $m$ variables) with degree $n$.


Probability that $G$ has a perfect matching but $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)=0 \leq \frac{n}{n^{3}}=\frac{1}{n^{2}}$
Time required for computing determinant of an $n \times n$ matrix, inverting an $n \times n$ matrix, multiplying two $n$ $\times n$ matrices is $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{\omega}\right)$. The current best known algorithm for matrix multiplication has value of $\omega=2.373$

### 15.1.2 How to find a perfect matching: Algorithm 1

Take an edge at random. Remove it. If determinant $=0$, the edge was in the matching (wrong with probability $\frac{1}{n^{2}}$ ), else, when determinant $\neq 0$, the edge is not necessarily in the matching (always correct) (there is a perfect matching in G-e, so we can remove e). This algorithm will take $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{m} n^{\omega}\right)$ time.


Error: Since the matching has n edges, we go in the wrong conditon at most n times. The algorithm fails when there was a perfect matching but we could not find it.

$$
P(\text { Algorithmfails }) \leq \frac{1}{n}
$$

### 15.1.3 How to find a perfect matching: Algorithm 2

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l} 
\\
u_{1} \\
u_{2} \\
\vdots \\
u_{n}
\end{array} \begin{array}{cccc}
v_{1} & v_{2} & \ldots & v_{n} \\
a_{11} & a_{12} & \ldots & a_{1 n} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \ldots & a_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{n 1} & a_{n 2} & \ldots & a_{n n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We are trying to find $v_{j}$ such that $\left(u_{1}, v_{j}\right) \in \mathrm{E}$ and $\operatorname{Det}\left(A_{-1,-j}^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$.

$$
\operatorname{Det}\left(A^{\prime}\right)=x_{11} \operatorname{Det}\left(A_{-1,-1}^{\prime}\right) \pm x_{12} \operatorname{Det}\left(A_{-1,-2}^{\prime}\right) \pm \ldots \pm x_{1 n} \operatorname{Det}\left(A_{-1,-n}^{\prime}\right)
$$

If we have an $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ such that the $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$, atleast one of these terms is non-zero. Take any of these non-zero terms, say, $x_{1 j} \operatorname{Det}\left(A_{-1,-j}^{\prime}\right)$. Now, the edge represented by $x_{1 j}$ is in the perfect matching and we continue the process on $A_{-1,-j}^{\prime}$. This can be done since $\operatorname{Det}\left(A_{-1,-j}^{\prime}\right) \neq 0 \Longrightarrow \exists$ a perfect matching in $A_{-1,-j}^{\prime}$.

There is only one step in the algorithm where we can make a mistake, the first step that is finding an $A^{\prime}$ such that $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$.

$$
P[\text { error }]=\frac{1}{n^{2}}
$$

If we find that the determinant is still 0 even after k tries

$$
P[\text { error }]=\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right)^{k}
$$

To find the non-zero terms of $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)$, we can use Cramer's Rule, which states that the $j^{\text {th }}$ element of the first column of $\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ when multiplied to the $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)$ gives the value of $\operatorname{Det}\left(A_{-1,-j}^{\prime}\right)$. We can therefore use the following algorithm:

```
matching \(\leftarrow \phi\);
while size of matching \(\neq n\) do
    If \(\operatorname{Det}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)=0\), exit;
    else \(\mathrm{B}=\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\)
    find j such that \(B_{j 1} \neq 0\) and \(A_{1 j}^{\prime} \neq 0\)
    Include ( \(1, \mathrm{j}\) ) in matching
    \(\mathrm{A}^{\prime}=A_{-1,-j}^{\prime}\)
end
```

This algorithm will take $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{\omega+1}+\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)=\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{\omega+1}\right)$ time with success probability (probability that it found a perfect matching, given that it existed $)=1-\frac{1}{n^{2}}$

### 15.2 Red Blue Matching Problem

Consider a bipartite graph $G=(U, V, E)$ such that each edge $e \in E$ is either blue or red. Find a perfect matching with exactly k red edges. Does there exist a perfect matching with exactly k red edges?

Assumption: If there does exist a perfect matching with exactly k red edges, it is unique.
Consider the following matrix A.

$$
A(i, j)= \begin{cases}y, & (i, j) \in \mathrm{E} \text { and is red } \\ 1, & (i, j) \in \mathrm{E} \text { and is blue } \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

$\operatorname{Det}(\mathrm{A})$ is a polynomial in y of degree at most n . Coefficient of $y^{k}$ is non-zero iff G has a red-blue perfect matching.

We can compute $\operatorname{Det}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)$ at $\mathrm{n}+1$ points to find out the coefficients of $\operatorname{Det}(\mathrm{A})$.

