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### 10.1 Introduction

Given a graph G, each edge e has an associated resistance $r_{e}$. The energy of a $s-t$ flow $f$ is given by $E(f)=\sum_{e} r_{e} f^{2}(e)$. The electrical flow of value $F$ from source node $s$ to a sink node $t$ is the flow of value $F$ from $s$ to $t$ that minimises the energy. The electrical flow can be computed in linear time. We can use this idea to compute maximum s-t flow in a graph with each edge having capacity $C_{e}$. In other words, our intent is to use electrical machinery to determine max flow. For this, we propose a width - dependent multiplicative update algorithm.

### 10.2 Simultaneous Minimization Problem

Given some convex functions $h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{m}$, with each $h_{i}: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$, over a convex set $Q$. We want to find a point in $Q$ for which all the convex functions have a small value.

$$
\forall i \quad h_{i}(x) \leq 1
$$

To compute the point, we will use an oracle which on receiving a multiplier $y_{i}$ will output a point $x$ where the convex combination of all the functions will be atmost 1.

$$
\frac{\sum_{i} y_{i} h_{i}(x)}{\sum_{i} y_{i}} \leq 1 \quad \forall y_{i} \geq 0
$$

For this point, different functions will have different values but the convex combination of all functions will be atmost 1 .

### 10.3 Algorithm

We propose an iterative algorithm as follows:
In every round, r, we give an associated $y_{i}^{r}$ which is initially taken as 1 to the oracle. Using $y_{i}^{r}$, oracle takes the convex combination of $h_{i}$ s and outputs a point $x^{r}$ where the value of the convex combination of all functions will be small $\left(\sum_{i} \underline{y_{i}^{r}} h_{i}(x) \leq 1\right)$. The individual functions can have higher values. For the functions having high values, we define $w^{r}=\frac{1}{\max _{i} h_{i}\left(x^{r}\right)}$ to ensure $w_{r} h_{i}(x)$

```
Algorithm 1 Width dependent multiplicative update algorithm
    procedure WidthDependent \(\left(h_{i}(x)\right)\)
        Initially, \(y_{i} \leftarrow 1\)
        while \(\sum_{r} w^{r} \geq \frac{\ln m}{\epsilon^{2}}\) do
            Given \(y_{i}^{r}\) find \(x^{r} \in Q\) for which \(\sum_{i} \underline{y_{i}^{r}} h_{i}(x) \leq 1\)
            Step size: \(w_{r}=\frac{1}{\max _{i} h_{i}\left(x^{r}\right)} \Longrightarrow w_{r} h_{i}(x) \leq 1 \quad \forall i\)
            Update \(y_{i}^{r+1} \leftarrow y_{i}^{r} e^{\epsilon w^{r} h_{i} x^{r}}\)
        return \(w^{r}, x^{r} \quad \forall r \quad \triangleright\) where \(w^{r}\) is the step size and \(x^{r}\) is the point picked at every step
```

is at most 1 for all functions. In the next step, $y_{i}$ is updated for the next round. For the functions having high value, $y_{i}$ is kept high and $y_{i}$ is small for functions with low value. This is done inorder to lower the value of functions when next point is picked. But a small change $(1+\epsilon)$ is made to keep it controlled. This is achieved by $w_{r} h_{i}(x) \leq 1$. These steps are repeated for some $r$ rounds until the desired value of sum of $w^{r}$ is obtained which is discussed later.

The final solution $\bar{x}$ is defined as the convex combination of all the points taken at each round.

$$
\bar{x}=\frac{\sum_{r} w^{r} x^{r}}{\sum_{r} w^{r}}
$$

### 10.3.1 Analysis

Claim 1:

$$
\sum_{r} w^{r} \sum_{i} \underline{y_{i}^{r}} h_{i}\left(x^{r}\right) \geq \frac{\max _{i} \sum r w^{r} h_{i}\left(x^{r}\right)}{e^{\epsilon}}-\frac{\ln m}{\epsilon e^{\epsilon}}
$$

Proof: Change in the value of $y_{i}$ at each step is given by:

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
y_{i}^{r+1}-y_{i}^{r} & =\left(y_{i}^{r} e^{\epsilon w^{r} h_{i}\left(x^{r}\right)}\right)-y_{i}^{r} & \\
& =y_{i}^{r}\left(e^{\epsilon w^{r} h_{i}\left(x^{r}\right)}-1\right) & \\
& \leq y_{i}^{r} \epsilon w^{r} h_{i}\left(x^{r}\right) e^{\epsilon w_{r} h_{i}\left(x^{r}\right)} & \left(e^{x}-1 \leq x e^{x}-\text { Taylors series }\right) \\
& \leq y_{i}^{r} \epsilon w^{r} h_{i}\left(x^{r}\right) e^{\epsilon} & \left(w^{r} h_{i}(x) \leq 1 \quad \text { always }\right) \\
& \leq \epsilon e^{\epsilon} w^{r} h_{i}\left(x^{r}\right) y_{i}^{r} &
\end{array}
$$

Thus summing over all the functions;

$$
\sum_{i} y_{i}^{r+1}-\sum_{i} y_{i}^{r} \leq \epsilon e^{\epsilon} w^{r} \sum_{i} h_{i}\left(x^{r}\right) y_{i}^{r}
$$

Summing over the rounds:

$$
\sum_{r} \sum_{i} y_{i}^{r+1}-\sum_{i} y_{i}^{r} \leq \epsilon e^{\epsilon} \sum_{r} w^{r} \sum_{i} h_{i}\left(x^{r}\right) y_{i}^{r}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{r} w^{r} \sum_{i} \underline{y_{i}^{r}} h_{i}\left(x^{r}\right) \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon e^{\epsilon}} \sum_{r} \frac{\sum_{i} y_{i}^{r+1}-\sum_{i} y_{i}^{r}}{\sum_{i} y_{i}^{r}} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon e^{\epsilon}} \int_{\sum_{i} y_{i}^{1}}^{\sum_{i} y_{i}^{N}} \frac{1}{x} d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon e^{\epsilon}} \ln \frac{\sum_{i} y_{i}^{N}}{\sum_{i} y_{i}^{1}} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon e^{\epsilon}}\left(\ln \sum_{i} y_{i}^{N}-\ln \sum_{i} y_{i}^{1}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{\ln \sum_{i} y_{i}^{N}}{\epsilon e^{\epsilon}}-\frac{\ln m}{\epsilon e^{\epsilon}} \\
& \geq \frac{\ln \max _{i} y_{i}^{N}}{\epsilon e^{\epsilon}}-\frac{\ln m}{\epsilon e^{\epsilon}} \\
& \geq \frac{\ln \max _{i} e^{\epsilon w^{r} h_{i}\left(x^{r}\right)}}{\epsilon \epsilon^{\epsilon}}-\frac{\ln m}{\epsilon e^{\epsilon}} \\
& \geq \frac{y_{i} y_{i}^{r}}{\sum_{i}} \\
& \geq \max _{i} \frac{\epsilon w^{r} h_{i}\left(x^{r}\right)}{\epsilon e^{\epsilon} h_{i}\left(x^{r}\right)}-\frac{\ln m}{\epsilon e^{\epsilon}} \\
& \geq \max _{i} \frac{\sum_{r} w^{r} h_{i}\left(x^{r}\right)}{e^{\epsilon}}-\frac{\ln m}{\epsilon e^{\epsilon}} \\
& \epsilon e^{\epsilon} \tag{1}
\end{align*} \quad\left(y_{i}\right. \text { is the sum of the total number of rounds)}
$$

Hence we have proved claim 1.

## Stopping criteria:

Since value returned by the oracle will always be at most 1

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{r} w^{r} & \geq \sum_{r} w^{r} \sum_{i} \underline{y_{i}^{r}} h_{i}\left(x^{r}\right) \\
\sum_{r} w^{r} & \geq \max _{i} \frac{\sum_{i} r w^{r} h_{i}\left(x^{r}\right)}{e^{\epsilon}}-\frac{\ln m}{\epsilon e^{\epsilon}}  \tag{1}\\
e^{\epsilon} \sum_{r} w^{r} & \geq \max _{i} \sum_{r} w^{r} h_{i}\left(x^{r}\right)-\frac{\ln m}{\epsilon} \\
e^{\epsilon} & \geq \max _{i} \frac{\sum_{r} w^{r} h_{i}\left(x^{r}\right)}{\sum_{r} w^{r}}-\frac{\ln m}{\epsilon \sum_{r} w^{r}} \\
e^{\epsilon} & \geq \max _{i} h_{i}(\bar{x})-\frac{\ln m}{\epsilon \sum_{r} w^{r}} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$\bar{x}$ is the point where the final solution is given. The value of the functions at point $\bar{x}, h_{i}(\bar{x})$ is less than any other $h_{i}(x)$

$$
h_{i}(\bar{x})=h_{i}\left(\frac{\sum_{r} w^{r} x^{r}}{\sum_{r} w^{r}}\right) \leq \sum_{r} \frac{w^{r} h_{i}\left(x^{r}\right)}{\sum_{r} w^{r}}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{i} h_{i}(\bar{x}) \leq(1+\epsilon)+\frac{\ln m}{\epsilon \sum_{r} w^{r}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The stopping criteria for the algorithm will be given by the fact that it will be run till the term $\frac{\ln m}{\epsilon \sum_{r} w^{r}} \leq \epsilon$. Therefore,

$$
\sum_{r} w^{r} \geq \frac{\ln m}{\epsilon^{2}}
$$

And

$$
\max _{i} h_{i}(\bar{x}) \leq(1+\epsilon)+\epsilon=(1+2 \epsilon)
$$

Thus we have found a point $\bar{x}$ such that all the functions are less than $1+2 \epsilon$

### 10.4 Width

The maximum value any function here can have value is at most $\rho$ and not infinite. We try to keep the value of function $h_{i}(x)$ controlled. They should not have very high values but there should be a bound $\rho$ which is the maximum value of any function at the point given by oracle. The $\rho$ is known as width of the problem.

If $\max _{r} \max _{i} h_{i}\left(x^{r}\right)=\rho$ then,

$$
w^{r} \geq \frac{1}{\rho} \quad \forall r \quad\left(\text { since } w^{r}=\frac{1}{\max _{i} h_{i}\left(x^{r}\right)}\right)
$$

This implies that the number of rounds, N is atmost $\rho \frac{\ln m}{\epsilon^{2}}$ and the algorithm will get completed in N rounds.

If we have an oracle and a width $\rho$ to control, then we can get $(1+2 \epsilon)$ approximation algorithm. The running time will be atmost $\rho \frac{\ln m}{\epsilon^{2}}$. For low values of $\rho$, running time will be low.

### 10.5 Max Flow Algorithms

The algorithm discussed above can be used to compute approximate max flows in a graph. But there are two assumptions to be followed:

- Every edge has a capacity $C_{e}=1$.
- The value of the flow, F is known

The domain Q contains the set of all possible valid flows i.e., set of all flows of value $F$ from $s$ to $t$. The flows obey the conservation constraint but violate the capacity constraint.

The oracle required will find a flow of value F where value of $\sum_{e} y_{e} h_{e}(x)$ is minimum for any given $y_{e}$. We need to find $x \in Q$ such that $\forall e, h_{e}(x) \leq 1$ (i.e. they should meet the capacity constraints).

