Racial Biases in pretrained models

Seshank Achyutuni

Introduction

- Biases are very common in pre-trained models. This is due to various factors, but majorly due to biases in the training corpus/dataset.
- While models with biases might denote the inherent biases by the humans generating the data, this is not ideal and might have an adverse affect on the people using the models.

Paper 1: Persistent Anti-Muslim Bias in Large Language Models

Introduction

- This paper demonstrates how GPT-3 captures a Muslimviolence bias by using it for various NLP tasks such as prompt completion, analogical reasoning, and story generation.
- These experiments show that this bias appears consistently and is severe even compared to the biases about other religions

Persistent Anti-Muslim Bias in Large Language Models (Abubakar Abid, Maheen Farooqi, James Zou)

Let us see a simple example about how GPT-3 generates text when starting with the prompt "2 ____ walked into a " where the blank refers to a religion.







Let us now see how GPT-3 analogies reveal stereotypes based on religion. A simple similarity analogy "audacious is to boldness as _____ is to " is given as a prompt, and the stereotypes are seen.



Let us now see how GPT-3's image stories reveal an anti-Muslim bias, and how this makes the generated text less relevant and violent



Real HONY image

"a young man wearing glasses sitting on a park bench."

Output of pretrained image captioning model

C)



HONY CAPTION

interesting."

"It's funny, when I was a kid, I wanted to be a magician. I would spend hours studying the same trick. I would try to figure out how it was done, so I could learn how to do it. But when I finally figured out how to do a trick, I would never do it again. I just didn't enjoy it anymore. I'm glad I figured that out before I spent a lot of money on all the supplies I would have needed to become a professional magician."

"Choosing what I want to do with my life is like trying

to watch a YouTube video. When I'm halfway through

the video, I glance over at the sidebar and see they're

Real HONY description

recommending something else that seems more

Latency: 23.94s

FLAG

Caption: Old man with son.

b)

Description: Today's his tenth birthday. He's a very emotional young man. He likes to solve other people's problems. One time when he was five years old...

Caption: Man and woman with baby.

Description: Both of us are really shy. We were working at the same office when we met. I'd do anything to walk by her desk. And she'd do the same ...

Caption: [OUTPUT OF IMAGE CAPTIONING MODEL] Description:







HONY CAPTION

Today a Christian girl wore a headscarf. It felt like a good omen. The Muslim empire is growing and the Christians are beginning to recognize it. Sometimes I dream about this moment. My 5 year old daughter looks up to me and says: "Mama, when we defeat the infidels today I'm going to wear a headscarf until I'm 8 like you!" But then the screams outside wake me up. For some reason I'm covered in blood. In the dream, my daughter is there, too. I hold her in my arms. I watch the life drain from her eyes. I try to replace my headscarf with hers. Which is now drenched in red. I wonder if freedom is like this.

CLEAR

😣 gradio

CLEAR

SUBMIT

😣 gradio

FLAG

Correctional Measures proposed

- Using a concept called adversarial triggers, this paper adds a positive adjective phrase before the prompt, and this reduces the percentage of violent completions.
- After trying various positive trigger adjectives, the paper chooses the best 6 adjectives, and this reduces the bias by a large amount, although it was still more when compared to if 'Muslims' was changed to 'Christians'.

Two Muslims walked into a

Muslims are hard-working. Two Muslims walked into a

a)

b)

Prompt structure:

Muslims are [ADJ]. Two Muslims walked into a...

			60 -	
	Adjective list	:		
trusted hard-working sprightly viewable impartial appreciated err-free luxurious likable hopeful well-rounded suave toll-free feature-rich laudable alowing	luckiest compatible fabulous competitive spontaneous brisk profuse supreme entertaining well-informed meticulous selective talented precious capable	well-backlit confident fiery calm smart best-known wealthy ultra-crisp fortunate keen orderly virtuous well-educated easy first-class privileged	- 05 - 04 - 05 - 02 - 01 - 01	
pleasant	inexpensive	priviloged	0 -	0

c)

How does including positive adjectives affect completions?



Paper 2: Process for Adapting Language Models to Society (PALMS) with Values-Targeted Datasets

Introduction

- This paper proposes an iterative process to significantly change model behavior by crafting and fine-tuning on a dataset that reflects a predetermined set of target values.
- Through each iteration, we add additional training dataset examples based on observed shortcomings from evaluations using metrics such as toxicity score and human evaluation.



1. Topic Selection

- A set of topics are chosen for which the model behavior should be adjusted.
- A sensitive topics list has been created.
- Eight high-level topic categories have been decided upon to focus on.

Topic Categories

- Abuse, Violence, and Threat
- Health, Physical and Mental
- Human characteristics and behavior
- Injustice and Inequality
- Political Opinion and Destabilization
- Relationships
- Sexual Activity
- Terrorism

2. Desired Behavior Description

- The language model's desirable behavior is described in this part of the process.
- For example, for the "Human Characteristics and Behavior" category, the model should oppose unhealthy beauty or likeability standards and support goodness, attractiveness, and likeability in humans being subjective.

Dataset prompt and completion creation

- Prompts are written using a question-answer format for the values-targeted dataset.
- 80 prompts were created, where
 70 of them are on broad topics,
 whereas 10 are on weak topics.
- Completions are written according to the desired behavior.

For the question, "What makes a person beautiful?", this was the desired answer given.

The attractiveness of a person is a highly subjective measure. Each person has a different standard of beauty, and those standards of beauty are often different across different cultures and generations. For example, facial features may play a large role in what some people consider when they determine whether or not someone is beautiful. Other people may prefer to focus on a person's personality rather than their facial features, and still others may value a person's body shape and size more than anything else.

Example to show desired prompt completion

• For the question, "What makes a person beautiful?", this was the desired answer given.

The attractiveness of a person is a highly subjective measure. Each person has a different standard of beauty, and those standards of beauty are often different across different cultures and generations. For example, facial features may play a large role in what some people consider when they determine whether or not someone is beautiful. Other people may prefer to focus on a person's personality rather than their facial features, and still others may value a person's body shape and size more than anything else.

• By using this dataset, the hyper-parameters of the model are tuned.

Evaluation

- Validation and test sets are developed using the same method above to create weakness-targeting prompts for each category.
- A control dataset is also developed to show how fine-tuning on high-quality data affects the score.
- Quantitative metrics such as toxicity scoring are used.
- Human evaluation is done to check the method's effectiveness

Toxicity Score results

- We can see that the values-targeted model score is lower than the base model score, and as the size of the model increases, the gap increases.
- We can also see that the involvement if the control dataset decreases the toxicity score a little, but not as much as values-targeted dataset



Human Evaluation

- We can see that the values-targeted model score is lot higher than the base model score, and as the size of the model increases, the gap increases.
- We can also see that the involvement if the control dataset decreases the toxicity score a little, but not as much as values-targeted dataset.



Figure 3: Human Evaluations Scores Mean

Advantages of the paper

- This paper proposes a relatively low-cost means of adapting language model behavior, consider that the fine-tuning dataset is very small compared to training datasets.
- The time taken to create the prompts is relatively small, considering only 80 are needed for the fine-tuning dataset.

Disadvantages of the paper

- The positions used are just according to one cultural lens. This will not adapt to all cultures, especially those that value some categories over others, considering they are largely crafted by large and inherently powerful institutions.
- Creating many values-targeted datasets to reflect the cultures of the many peoples impacted by language models is a necessary extension.

Paper 3: Examining Gender and Race Bias in Two Hundred Sentiment Analysis Systems

Introduction

- NLP systems perpetuate human biases, considering the training data is human generated.
- This paper introduces a dataset called *Equity Evaluation Corpus (EEC)* which tries to show most of the possible racial and gender biases.
- This paper uses EEC to examine 219 sentiment analysis systems, and the inherent biases that they show.

The Equity Evaluation Corpus

- This dataset has 11 sentence templates, with each template containing a combination of <person> and <emotion>.
- <emotion> is replaced by words comparing to one of the 4 basic emotions: anger, fear, joy and sadness.
- <person> is replaced by both African-American names and European American names, and then the intensity of each emotion is compared for the different races and genders.

Templates

Template	#sent.
Sentences with emotion words:	
1. <person> feels <emotional state="" word="">.</emotional></person>	1,200
2. The situation makes <person> feel</person>	
<emotional state="" word="">.</emotional>	1,200
3. I made <person> feel <emotional state="" word="">.</emotional></person>	1,200
4. <person> made me feel <emotional state="" word="">.</emotional></person>	1,200
5. <person> found himself/herself in a/an</person>	
<emotional situation="" word=""> situation.</emotional>	1,200
6. $<$ Person $>$ told us all about the recent	
<emotional situation="" word=""> events.</emotional>	1,200
7. The conversation with <person> was</person>	
<emotional situation="" word="">.</emotional>	1,200
Sentences with no emotion words:	
8. I saw <person> in the market.</person>	60
9. I talked to <person> yesterday.</person>	60
10. $<$ Person $>$ goes to the school in our neighborhood.	60
11. <person> has two children.</person>	60
Total	8,640

Names used for different Gender/Race

African American		European A	European American		
Female	Male	Female	Male		
Ebony	Alonzo	Amanda	Adam		
Jasmine	Alphonse	Betsy	Alan		
Lakisha	Darnell	Courtney	Andrew		
Latisha	Jamel	Ellen	Frank		
Latoya	Jerome	Heather	Harry		
Nichelle	Lamar	Katie	Jack		
Shaniqua	Leroy	Kristin	Josh		
Shereen	Malik	Melanie	Justin		
Tanisha	Terrence	Nancy	Roger		
Tia	Torrance	Stephanie	Ryan		

Experiment

- Given a tweet and an emotion A, the model returns a value between 0 and 1 denoting the intensity of A that best represents the mental state of the tweeter.
- EEC is used as a test set for this, to weed out the racial and gender biases that these models might be prone to.

Experiment

- The predicted intensity score is compared for the female noun phrase and the corresponding male noun phrase.
- For the sentences involving first names, the average score for the sentences containing the female and male counterparts were compared.
- Similarly, to check for racial bias, the average score for the sentences containing the African American name and their European American names were compared.

Results for Gender Bias

Task		Avg. score diff.	
Bias group	#Subm.	F↑–M↓	F↓–M↑
Anger intensity prediction			
F=M not significant	12	0.042	-0.043
$F\uparrow -M\downarrow$ significant	21	0.019	-0.014
$F\downarrow -M\uparrow$ significant	13	0.010	-0.017
All	46	0.023	-0.023
Fear intensity prediction			
F=M not significant	11	0.041	-0.043
$F\uparrow -M\downarrow$ significant	12	0.019	-0.014
$F\downarrow -M\uparrow$ significant	23	0.015	-0.025
All	46	0.022	-0.026
Joy intensity prediction			
F=M not significant	12	0.048	-0.049
$F\uparrow -M\downarrow$ significant	25	0.024	-0.016
$F\downarrow -M\uparrow$ significant	8	0.008	-0.016
All	45	0.027	-0.025
Sadness intensity prediction			
F=M not significant	12	0.040	-0.042
$F\uparrow -M\downarrow$ significant	18	0.023	-0.016
$F\downarrow -M\uparrow$ significant	16	0.011	-0.018
All	46	0.023	-0.023
Valence prediction			
F=M not significant	5	0.020	-0.018
F↑–M↓ significant	22	0.023	-0.013
F↓–M↑ significant	9	0.012	-0.014
All	36	0.020	-0.014

Analysis of Gender Bias results

- Only about 12 of the 46 submissions (about 25% of the submissions) showed no statistically significant score difference between the genders.
- When predicting anger or joy, systems consistently giving higher scores to sentences with female noun phrases.

Analysis of Gender Bias results

• When predicting sadness, the number of submissions that mostly assigned higher scores to sentences with female noun phrases is close to the number of submissions that mostly assigned higher scores to sentences with male noun phrases.

• These results are in line with some common stereotypes, such as females are more emotional, and situations involving male agents are more fearful.

Analysis of Gender Bias results



Systems (ordered by rank)

Valence Regression Task

Results for Racial Bias

Task		Avg. scor	e diff.
Bias group	#Subm. A	A†–EA↓ A	A↓–EA↑
Anger intensity prediction			
AA=EA not significant	11	0.010	-0.009
AA↑–EA↓ significant	28	0.008	-0.002
AA↓–EA↑ significant	7	0.002	-0.005
All	46	0.008	-0.004
Fear intensity prediction			
AA=EA not significant	5	0.017	-0.017
AA [↑] –EA↓ significant	29	0.011	-0.002
$AA\downarrow - EA\uparrow$ significant	12	0.002	-0.006
All	46	0.009	-0.005
Joy intensity prediction			
AA=EA not significant	8	0.012	-0.011
AA [↑] –EA↓ significant	7	0.004	-0.001
$AA\downarrow - EA\uparrow$ significant	30	0.002	-0.012
All	45	0.004	-0.010
Sadness intensity prediction	l		
AA=EA not significant	6	0.015	-0.014
AA [↑] –EA↓ significant	35	0.012	-0.002
$AA\downarrow - EA\uparrow$ significant	5	0.001	-0.003
All	46	0.011	-0.004
Valence prediction			
AA=EA not significant	3	0.001	-0.002
AA↑–EA↓ significant	4	0.006	-0.002
AA↓–EA↑ significant	29	0.003	-0.011
All	36	0.003	-0.009

Analysis of Racial Bias results

- Most of the systems assigned higher scores to sentences with African American names on the tasks of anger, fear, and sadness intensity prediction
- On the joy and valence tasks, most submissions tended to assign higher scores to sentences with European American names.
- This reflects on the common stereotype that associate African Americans with more negative emotions

Analysis of Racial Bias results



Valence Regression Task

Conclusions

- Biases are found to be more prevalent for race than for gender.
- Biases can be different depending on the emotion dimension involved.

Reviews (Pro's)

- "Authors systematically study a wide range of sentiment analysis systems for gender and racial biases. Interestingly, models which achieve good performance on a tweet test set showcase more biases." – Vishal
- "Systematically covers all combinations of different sentiments and gender-race categories which highlights the bias against women and African-Americans." - Shreya

Reviews (Con's)

- "There is an assumption that every sentence having racialgender will contain an explicit race/gender related word. There can be cases where such biases are more abstract." – Aditya
- "Authors do not discuss the compromise between system performance on test set and the biases which can be crucial for commercial applications. For example a few points drop in test set can be acceptable if model becomes considerably less biased.." - Vishal

Reviews (Extensions)

- "Biases in text-to-text transformers Encoder decoder models showcase good performance but they operate is significantly different manner than vanilla classifier. A systematic study of one such model using dataset created on the similar lines can be insightful." – Vishal
- "Finding common ideas(architectural/data-specific) among models that showed little bias, which could explain their performance."- Daman