Attention and its (mis)interpretation Danish Pruthi

Acknowledgements



Mansi Gupta



Bhuwan Dhingra



Graham Neubig



Zachary C. Lipton

Outline

- 1. What is attention mechanism?
- 2. Attention-as-explanations
- 3. Manipulating attention weights
- 4. Results and discussion
- 5. Conclusion

Outline

1. What is attention mechanism?

- 2. Attention-as-explanations
- 3. Manipulating attention weights
- 4. Results and discussion
- 5. Conclusion



Encoder



Encoder



Decoder



Encoder



Decoder



Sentence Representations

Problem: "You can't cram the meaning of a whole %&!\$ing sentence into a single \$&!*ing vector!" — Ray Mooney

Solution: Use attention (Bahdanau et al. 2015)

Bahdanau et al. 2015

- Encode each word in the sentence into a vector
- When decoding, perform a linear combination of these vectors, weighted by "attention weights"
- Use this combination in picking the next word







Key vectors





Key vectors





Query vector





Key vectors



Query vector







Score Functions

• Dot-Product attention

ightarrow

$$\operatorname{score}(s_t, h_i) = s_t^{\mathsf{T}} h_i$$

- Bi-linear $\mathbf{score}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{h}_i) = \mathbf{s}_t^\top \mathbf{W}_a \mathbf{h}_i$ attention
- MLP
 attention

$$score(s_t, h_i) = \mathbf{v}_a^{\mathsf{T}} tanh(\mathbf{W}_a[s_t; h_i])$$

 Scaled dot-product attention

$$\operatorname{score}(s_t, h_i) = \frac{s_t^{\top} h_i}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Score Functions

 Dot-Product attention

$$\mathbf{score}(s_t, h_i) = s_t^\top h_i$$

Bi-linear
 se
 attention

$$score(s_t, h_i) = s_t^\top \mathbf{W}_a h_i$$

MLP attention

$$score(s_t, h_i) = \mathbf{v}_a^{\mathsf{T}} tanh(\mathbf{W}_a[s_t; h_i])$$

 Scaled dot-product attention

$$\operatorname{score}(s_t, h_i) = \frac{s_t^{\top} h_i}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Outline

1. What is attention mechanism?

2. Attention-as-explanations

- 3. Manipulating attention weights
- 4. Results and discussion
- 5. Conclusion

Used by model-developers to explain models' predictions



Entailment Rocktäschel et al, 2015



A <u>stop</u> sign is on a road with a mountain in the background.

Image captioning Xu et al, 2015

Used by model-developers to explain models' predictions

why	does	zebra	as h	ave	stri	pes	?		
what	is tl	ne pu	irpos	e o	r tł	iose	strip	es	?
who	do	they	serv	e tl	ne	zebra	is in	1	the
wild	life	?							
this	prov	ides	car	nouf	lage	-	pr	eda	tor
visior	n is	such	that	it	is 1	usuall	y di	iffic	cult
for t	them	to se	e c	ompl	lex	patte	rns		

Document classification Yang et al, 2016



BERTViz Vig et al, 2019

and many others...

Used by model-developers to explain models' predictions

"By inspecting the network's attention, for instance by visually highlighting attention weights, one could attempt to investigate and understand the outcome of neural networks. Hence, weight visualization is now common practice."

Galassi et al., 2019

- Used by model-developers to explain models' predictions
- Used by practitioners to audit models for bias, fairness, accountability, etc

william henry gates iii (born october 28, 1955) is an american business magnate , investor , author , philanthropist , humanitarian , and principal founder of microsoft corporation . during his career at microsoft , gates held the positions of chairman , ceo and chief software architect , while also being the largest individual shareholder until may 2014 . in 1975 , gates and paul allen launched microsoft , which became the world 's largest pc software company . gates led the company as chief executive officer until stepping down in january 2000 , but he remained as chairman and created the position of chief software architect for himself . in june 2006 , gates announced that he would be transitioning from full-time work at microsoft to part-time work and full-time work at the bill & melinda gates foundation , which was established in 2000 .

Figure 7: Visualization of the DNN's per-token attention weights. Predicted label (i.e., occupation): software engineer.

Bias in Bios: A Case Study of Semantic Representation Bias in a High-Stakes Setting, De-Arteaga, et al, 2019

Attention-as-explanation in FAT* contexts

* Fairness, accountability and transparency

 Use attention mechanism to identify gender bias in occupation prediction models used as a part of highstakes job recommendation models

Attention-as-explanation in FAT* contexts

* Fairness, accountability and transparency

 Use attention mechanism to identify gender bias in occupation prediction models used as a part of highstakes job recommendation models

"The attention weights indicate which tokens are the most predictive"

Attention-as-explanation in FAT* contexts

* Fairness, accountability and transparency

 Use attention mechanism to identify gender bias in occupation prediction models used as a part of highstakes job recommendation models

"The attention weights indicate which tokens are the most predictive"

We question this assumption: does attention *necessarily* indicate most predictive tokens?

De-Arteaga et al., 2019

Outline

- 1. What Is attention mechanism?
- 2. Attention-as-explanations in the FAT* context * Fairness, accountability and transparency

3. Manipulating attention weights

- 4. Results and discussion
- 5. Conclusion

Setup

- Setup tasks such that we know certain features *a-priori* to be useful for prediction
- Measure "attention mass" on these tokens
- Examine if the models can be manipulated
 - What is the price to pay?

Classification Tasks

Classification Tasks

Task	Input Example
------	---------------

Classification Tasks

Task

Input Example

Occupation Prediction (Physician vs Surgeon) Ms. X practices medicine in Memphis, TN. Ms. X speaks English and Spanish.
Classification Tasks

Task	Input Example
Occupation Prediction (Physician vs Surgeon)	Ms. X practices medicine in Memphis, TN. Ms. X speaks English and Spanish.
Gender Identification	After that, Austen was educated at home until <mark>she</mark> went to boarding school early in 1785

Classification Tasks

TaskInput ExampleOccupation Prediction
(Physician vs Surgeon)Ms. X practices medicine in Memphis, TN. Ms. X
speaks English and Spanish.Gender IdentificationAfter that, Austen was educated at home until she
went to boarding school early in 1785

Sentiment Analysis (SST + Wikipedia) Good acting, good dialogue, good cinematography. Helen Reddy is an Australian singer and activist.

Classification Tasks

Task

Occupation Prediction (Physician vs Surgeon)

Gender Identification

Sentiment Analysis (SST + Wikipedia)

Acceptance Prediction (Reference Letters)

Input Example

Ms. X practices medicine in Memphis, TN. Ms. X speaks English and Spanish.

After that, Austen was educated at home until <mark>she</mark> went to boarding school early in 1785

Good acting, good dialogue, good cinematography. Helen Reddy is an Australian singer and activist.

It is with pleasure that I am writing this letter...I highly recommend her for your institution. Percentile:99.0 Rank:Extraordinary.















П



Task	Example
Bigram Flipping	$\{W_1, W_2 \dots W_{2n-1}, W_{2n}\} \rightarrow \{W_2, W_1, \dots W_{2n}, W_{2n-1}\}$
Sequence Copying	$\{W_1, W_2, \dots, W_{n-1}, W_n\} \rightarrow \{W_1, W_2, \dots, W_n, W_{n-1}\}$
Sequence Reversal	$\{W_1, W_2,, W_{n-1}, W_n\} \rightarrow \{W_n, W_{n-1},, W_2, W_1\}$

Task	Example
Bigram Flipping	$\{W_1, W_2 \dots W_{2n-1}, W_{2n}\} \rightarrow \{W_2, W_1, \dots W_{2n}, W_{2n-1}\}$
Sequence Copying	$\{W_1, W_2, \dots, W_{n-1}, W_n\} \rightarrow \{W_1, W_2, \dots, W_n, W_{n-1}\}$
Sequence Reversal	$\{W_1, W_2, \dots, W_{n-1}, W_n\} \rightarrow \{W_n, W_{n-1}, \dots, W_2, W_1\}$
English - German MT	This is an example. \rightarrow Dieser ist ein Beispiel.

• Let I be the impermissible tokens, *m* is the mask



• Let I be the impermissible tokens, *m* is the mask

$$\mathbf{m}_i {=} egin{cases} 1, & ext{if} \ w_i \in \mathcal{I} \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• For any task-specific loss function, a penalty term is added

$$\mathcal{L}' {=} \mathcal{L} {+} \mathcal{R}$$

• Let I be the impermissible tokens, *m* is the mask

$$\mathbf{m}_i {=} egin{cases} 1, & ext{if} \ w_i \in \mathcal{I} \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• For any task-specific loss function, a penalty term is added

 $\mathcal{L}' {=} \mathcal{L} {+} \mathcal{R}$

• The penalty term penalizes the model for allocating attention to impermissible tokens

$$\mathcal{R} = -\lambda \logig(1 - oldsymbol{lpha}^T \mathbf{m}ig)$$

$$\mathcal{R} = -\lambda \logig(1 - oldsymbol{lpha}^T \mathbf{m}ig)$$

$$\mathcal{R} = -\lambda \log \left(1 - oldsymbol{lpha}^T \mathbf{m}
ight)$$

Total attention mass on all the "allowed" tokens

Penalty coefficient that modulates attention on *impermissible* tokens

$$\mathcal{R} = -\lambda \log(1 - oldsymbol{lpha}^T \mathbf{m})$$

Total attention mass on all the "allowed" tokens

Penalty coefficient that modulates attention on *impermissible* tokens

$$\mathcal{R} = -\lambda \log(1 - \boldsymbol{lpha}^T \mathbf{m})$$

Total attention mass on all the "allowed" tokens

• Side note: In a parallel work, *Wiegreffe and Pinter* (2019) propose a different penalty term

$$\mathcal{R}'{=}-\lambda ext{KL}(oldsymbol{lpha}_{ ext{new}}\paralleloldsymbol{lpha}_{ ext{old}})$$

• Multiple attention heads

- Multiple attention heads
 - Optimizing the mean over a set of attention heads

$$\mathcal{R} = -rac{\lambda}{|\mathcal{H}|} \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \logig(1 - oldsymbol{lpha}_h^T \mathbf{m}ig)$$

- Multiple attention heads
 - Optimizing the mean over a set of attention heads

$$\mathcal{R} = -rac{\lambda}{|\mathcal{H}|} \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \logig(1 - oldsymbol{lpha}_h^T \mathbf{m}ig)$$

• One of the attention heads can be assigned a large amount of attention to impermissible tokens

$$\mathcal{R} = -\lambda \cdot \min_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \logig(1 - oldsymbol{lpha}_h^T \mathbf{m}ig)$$

Outline

- 1. What Is attention mechanism?
- 2. Attention-as-explanations
- 3. Manipulating attention weights
- 4. Results and discussion

5. Conclusion

BiLSTM + Attention





Transformer-based Model

Layer: 0 \$ Attention: All	\$	
[CLS]	[CLS]	
the	the	
rabbit	rabbit	
quickly	quickly	
hopped	hopped	
[SEP]	[SEP]	
the	the	
turtle	turtle	
slowly	slowly	
crawled	crawled	
[SEP]	[SEP]	De

Devlin et. al

Transformer-based Model

Layer: 0 \$ Attention: All	\$	
[CLS]	[CLS]	
the	the	
rabbit	rabbit	
quickly	quickly	
hopped	hopped	
[SEP]	[SEP]	
the	the	
turtle	turtle	
slowly	slowly	
crawled	crawled	
[SEP]	[SEP]	De

Devlin et. al

Restricted BERT



Restricted BERT



Restricted

Occupation Prediction

Occupation Prediction Accuracy **Attention Mass** 100 75 50 25 0 Original Manipulated Manipulated $(\lambda = 0.1)$ $(\lambda = 1.0)$ Attention type
Occupation Prediction



Occupation Prediction



Occupation Prediction



Model	λ	\mathcal{T}_{i}	Occup	ation Pred.	Gende	r Identify	SST	+ Wiki	Ref. Letters	
110401	~	2	Acc.	A.M.	Acc.	A.M.	Acc.	A.M.	Acc.	A.M.
Embedding	0.0	X	93.8	-	66.8	-	48.9	-	74.2	2.3
Embedding	0.0	\checkmark	96.3	51.4	100	99.2	70.7	48.4	77.5	2.3
Embedding	0.1	\checkmark	96.2	4.6	99.4	3.4	67.9	36.4	76.8	0.5
Embedding	1.0	✓	96.2	1.3	99.2	0.8	48.4	8.7	76.9	0.1
BiLSTM	0.0	X	93.3	-	63.3	-	49.1	-	74.7	-
BiLSTM	0.0	\checkmark	96.4	50.3	100	96.8	76.9	77.7	77.5	4.9
BiLSTM	0.1	\checkmark	96.4	0.08	100	$< 10^{-6}$	60.6	0.04	76.9	3.9
BiLSTM	1.0	✓	96.7	$< 10^{-2}$	100	$< 10^{-6}$	61.0	0.07	74.2	$< 10^{-2}$
BERT	0.0	X	95.0	-	72.8	-	50.4	-	68.2	
BERT (mean)	0.0	1	97.2	13.9	100	80.8	90.8	59.0	74.7	2.6
BERT (mean)	0.1	1	97.2	0.01	99.9	$< 10^{-3}$	90.9	$< 10^{-2}$	76.2	$< 10^{-1}$
BERT (mean)	1.0	✓	97.2	$< 10^{-3}$	99.9	$< 10^{-3}$	90.6	$< 10^{-3}$	75.2	$< 10^{-2}$
BERT	0.0	X	95.0	-	72.8	-	50.4	-	68.2	
BERT (max)	0.0	\checkmark	97.2	99.7	100	99.7	90.8	96.2	74.7	28.9
BERT (max)	0.1	\checkmark	97.1	$< 10^{-3}$	99.9	$< 10^{-3}$	90.7	$< 10^{-2}$	76.7	0.6
BERT (max)	1.0	✓	97.4	$< 10^{-3}$	99.8	$< 10^{-4}$	90.2	$< 10^{-3}$	75.9	$< 10^{-2}$

Model	λ	λ	\mathcal{T}_{i}	Occupation Pred.		Gende	r Identify	SST	+ Wiki	Ref. Letters	
Wieder	Λ	Ľ	Acc.	A.M.	Acc.	A.M.	Acc.	A.M.	Acc.	A.M.	
Embedding	0.0	X	93.8	-	66.8	-	48.9	-	74.2	2.3	
Embedding	0.0	\checkmark	96.3	51.4	100	99.2	70.7	48.4	77.5	2.3	
Embedding	0.1	\checkmark	96.2	4.6	99.4	3.4	67.9	36.4	76.8	0.5	
Embedding	1.0	✓	96.2	1.3	99.2	0.8	48.4	8.7	76.9	0.1	
BiLSTM	0.0	X	93.3	-	63.3	-	49.1	-	74.7	-	
BiLSTM	0.0	\checkmark	96.4	50.3	100	96.8	76.9	77.7	77.5	4.9	
BiLSTM	0.1	\checkmark	96.4	0.08	100	$< 10^{-6}$	60.6	0.04	76.9	3.9	
BiLSTM	1.0	✓	96.7	$< 10^{-2}$	100	$< 10^{-6}$	61.0	0.07	74.2	$< 10^{-2}$	
BERT	0.0	X	95.0	-	72.8	-	50.4	-	68.2		
BERT (mean)	0.0	1	97.2	13.9	100	80.8	90.8	59.0	74.7	2.6	
BERT (mean)	0.1	1	97.2	0.01	99.9	$< 10^{-3}$	90.9	$< 10^{-2}$	76.2	$< 10^{-1}$	
BERT (mean)	1.0	\checkmark	97.2	$< 10^{-3}$	99.9	$< 10^{-3}$	90.6	$< 10^{-3}$	75.2	$< 10^{-2}$	
BERT	0.0	X	95.0	-	72.8	-	50.4	-	68.2		
BERT (max)	0.0	1	97.2	99.7	100	99.7	90.8	96.2	74.7	28.9	
BERT (max)	0.1	\checkmark	97.1	$< 10^{-3}$	99.9	$< 10^{-3}$	90.7	$< 10^{-2}$	76.7	0.6	
BERT (max)	1.0	✓	97.4	$< 10^{-3}$	99.8	$< 10^{-4}$	90.2	$< 10^{-3}$	75.9	$< 10^{-2}$	

Model	λ	λ	λ	\mathcal{T}_{i} .	Occupation Pred.		G	Gender Identify		SS	SST + Wiki			Ref. Letters	
110401	Χ	Ľ	Acc.	A.M.	A	cc.	A.M.	Acc.	A.	M.	Acc	2.	A.M.		
Embedding	0.0	X	93.8	-]	66	5.8	-	48.9		-	74.	2	2.3		
Embedding	0.0	✓	96.3	51.4	10	00	99.2	70.7	48	8.4	77.	5	2.3		
Embedding	0.1	✓	96.2	4.6	99).4	3.4	67.9	36	5.4	76.	8	0.5		
Embedding	1.0	✓	96.2	1.3	99).2	0.8	48.4	8	.7	76.	9	0.1		
BiLSTM	0.0	X	93.3	-	63	3.3	-	49.1		-	74.	7	-		
BiLSTM	0.0	✓	96.4	50.3	10	00	96.8	76.9	77	7.7	77.	5	4.9		
BiLSTM	0.1	✓	96.4	0.08	10	00	$< 10^{-6}$	60.6	0.	04	76.	9	3.9		
BiLSTM	1.0	✓	96.7	$< 10^{-2}$	10	00	$< 10^{-6}$	61.0	0.	07	74.	2	$< 10^{-2}$		
BERT	0.0	X	95.0	-	72	2.8	-	50.4		-	68.	2			
BERT (mean)	0.0	✓	97.2	13.9	10	00	80.8	90.8	59	0.0	74.	7	2.6		
BERT (mean)	0.1	✓	97.2	0.01	99).9	$< 10^{-3}$	90.9	< 1	0^{-2}	76.	2	$< 10^{-1}$		
BERT (mean)	1.0	✓	97.2	$< 10^{-3}$	99).9	$< 10^{-3}$	90.6	< 1	0^{-3}	75.	2	$< 10^{-2}$		
BERT	0.0	X	95.0	-	72	2.8	-	50.4		-	68.	2			
BERT (max)	0.0	\checkmark	97.2	99.7	10	00	99.7	90.8	96	5.2	74.	7	28.9		
BERT (max)	0.1	1	97.1	$< 10^{-3}$	99).9	$< 10^{-3}$	90.7	< 1	0^{-2}	76.'	7	0.6		
BERT (max)	1.0	\checkmark	97.4	$< 10^{-3}$	99).8	$< 10^{-4}$	90.2	< 1	0^{-3}	75.	9	$< 10^{-2}$		

Model	λ	T.	Occupation Pred.		Gender Identify		SST	+ Wiki	Ref.	Ref. Letters	
	~	_	Acc.	A.M.	Acc.	A.M.	Acc.	A.M.	Acc.	A.M.	
Embedding	0.0	X	93.8	-	66.8	-	48.9	-	74.2	2.3	
Embedding	0.0	1	96.3	51.4	100	99.2	70.7	48.4	77.5	2.3	
Embedding	0.1	\checkmark	96.2	4.6	99.4	3.4	67.9	36.4	76.8	0.5	
Embedding	1.0	1	96.2	1.3	99.2	0.8	48.4	8.7	76.9	0.1	
BiLSTM	0.0	X	93.3	-	63.3	-	49.1	-	74.7	-	
BiLSTM	0.0	\checkmark	96.4	50.3	100	96.8	76.9	77.7	77.5	4.9	
BiLSTM	0.1	\checkmark	96.4	0.08	100	$< 10^{-6}$	60.6	0.04	76.9	3.9	
BiLSTM	1.0	✓	96.7	$< 10^{-2}$	100	$< 10^{-6}$	61.0	0.07	74.2	$< 10^{-2}$	
BERT	0.0	X	95.0	-	72.8	-	50.4	-	68.2		
BERT (mean)	0.0	1	97.2	13.9	100	80.8	90.8	59.0	74.7	2.6	
BERT (mean)	0.1	1	97.2	0.01	99.9	$< 10^{-3}$	90.9	$< 10^{-2}$	76.2	$< 10^{-1}$	
BERT (mean)	1.0	✓	97.2	$< 10^{-3}$	99.9	$< 10^{-3}$	90.6	$< 10^{-3}$	75.2	$< 10^{-2}$	
BERT	0.0	X	95.0	-	72.8	-	50.4	-	68.2		
BERT (max)	0.0	1	97.2	99.7	100	99.7	90.8	96.2	74.7	28.9	
BERT (max)	0.1	1	97.1	$< 10^{-3}$	99.9	$< 10^{-3}$	90.7	$< 10^{-2}$	76.7	0.6	
BERT (max)	1.0	✓	97.4	$< 10^{-3}$	99.8	$< 10^{-4}$	90.2	$< 10^{-3}$	75.9	$< 10^{-2}$	

Model	λ	λ	\mathcal{I}	Occup	ation Pred.	Gende	r Identify	SST + Wiki		Ref. Letters	
	~	_	Acc.	A.M.	Acc.	A.M.	Acc.	A.M.	Acc.	A.M.	
Embedding	0.0	X	93.8	-	66.8	-	48.9	-	74.2	2.3	
Embedding	0.0	1	96.3	51.4	100	99.2	70.7	48.4	77.5	2.3	
Embedding	0.1	\checkmark	96.2	4.6	99.4	3.4	67.9	36.4	76.8	0.5	
Embedding	1.0	1	96.2	1.3	99.2	0.8	48.4	8.7	76.9	0.1	
BiLSTM	0.0	X	93.3	-	63.3	-	49.1	-	74.7	-	
BiLSTM	0.0	\checkmark	96.4	50.3	100	96.8	76.9	77.7	77.5	4.9	
BiLSTM	0.1	1	96.4	0.08	100	$< 10^{-6}$	60.6	0.04	76.9	3.9	
BiLSTM	1.0	✓	96.7	$< 10^{-2}$	100	$< 10^{-6}$	61.0	0.07	74.2	$< 10^{-2}$	
BERT	0.0	X	95.0	-	72.8	-	50.4	-	68.2		
BERT (mean)	0.0	1	97.2	13.9	100	80.8	90.8	59.0	74.7	2.6	
BERT (mean)	0.1	✓	97.2	0.01	99.9	$< 10^{-3}$	90.9	$< 10^{-2}$	76.2	$< 10^{-1}$	
BERT (mean)	1.0	✓	97.2	$< 10^{-3}$	99.9	$< 10^{-3}$	90.6	$< 10^{-3}$	75.2	$< 10^{-2}$	
BERT	0.0	X	95.0	-	72.8	-	50.4	-	68.2		
BERT (max)	0.0	\checkmark	97.2	99.7	100	99.7	90.8	96.2	74.7	28.9	
BERT (max)	0.1	\checkmark	97.1	$< 10^{-3}$	99.9	$< 10^{-3}$	90.7	$< 10^{-2}$	76.7	0.6	
BERT (max)	1.0	✓	97.4	$< 10^{-3}$	99.8	$< 10^{-4}$	90.2	$< 10^{-3}$	75.9	$< 10^{-2}$	

Model	λ	λ	\mathcal{T}_{i}	Occupation Pred.		Gende	Gender Identify		+ Wiki	Ref. Letters		
Model	Λ	Ľ	Acc.	A.M.	Acc.	A.M.	Acc.	A.M.	Acc.	A.M.		
Embedding	0.0	X	93.8	-	66.8	-	48.9	-	74.2	2.3		
Embedding	0.0	1	96.3	51.4	100	99.2	70.7	48.4	77.5	2.3		
Embedding	0.1	\checkmark	96.2	4.6	99.4	3.4	67.9	36.4	76.8	0.5		
Embedding	1.0	1	96.2	1.3	99.2	0.8	48.4	8.7	76.9	0.1		
BiLSTM	0.0	X	93.3	-	63.3	-	49.1	-	74.7	-		
BiLSTM	0.0	\checkmark	96.4	50.3	100	96.8	76.9	77.7	77.5	4.9		
BiLSTM	0.1	1	96.4	0.08	100	$< 10^{-6}$	60.6	0.04	76.9	3.9		
BiLSTM	1.0	✓	96.7	$< 10^{-2}$	100	$< 10^{-6}$	61.0	0.07	74.2	$< 10^{-2}$		
BERT	0.0	X	95.0	-	72.8	-	50.4	-	68.2			
BERT (mean)	0.0	1	97.2	13.9	100	80.8	90.8	59.0	74.7	2.6		
BERT (mean)	0.1	1	97.2	0.01	99.9	$< 10^{-3}$	90.9	$< 10^{-2}$	76.2	$< 10^{-1}$		
BERT (mean)	1.0	✓	97.2	$< 10^{-3}$	99.9	$< 10^{-3}$	90.6	$< 10^{-3}$	75.2	$< 10^{-2}$		
BERT	0.0	X	95.0	-	72.8	-	50.4	-	68.2			
BERT (max)	0.0	\checkmark	97.2	99.7	100	99.7	90.8	96.2	74.7	28.9		
BERT (max)	0.1	1	97.1	$< 10^{-3}$	99.9	$< 10^{-3}$	90.7	$< 10^{-2}$	76.7	0.6		
BERT (max)	1.0	1	97.4	$< 10^{-3}$	99.8	$< 10^{-4}$	90.2	$< 10^{-3}$	75.9	$< 10^{-2}$		

Model	λ	\mathcal{T}_{i}	Occup	ation Pred.	Gende	r Identify	SST	+ Wiki	Ref. Letters	
110401	~	2	Acc.	A.M.	Acc.	A.M.	Acc.	A.M.	Acc.	A.M.
Embedding	0.0	X	93.8	-	66.8	-	48.9	-	74.2	2.3
Embedding	0.0	\checkmark	96.3	51.4	100	99.2	70.7	48.4	77.5	2.3
Embedding	0.1	\checkmark	96.2	4.6	99.4	3.4	67.9	36.4	76.8	0.5
Embedding	1.0	✓	96.2	1.3	99.2	0.8	48.4	8.7	76.9	0.1
BiLSTM	0.0	X	93.3	-	63.3	-	49.1	-	74.7	-
BiLSTM	0.0	\checkmark	96.4	50.3	100	96.8	76.9	77.7	77.5	4.9
BiLSTM	0.1	\checkmark	96.4	0.08	100	$< 10^{-6}$	60.6	0.04	76.9	3.9
BiLSTM	1.0	✓	96.7	$< 10^{-2}$	100	$< 10^{-6}$	61.0	0.07	74.2	$< 10^{-2}$
BERT	0.0	X	95.0	-	72.8	-	50.4	-	68.2	
BERT (mean)	0.0	1	97.2	13.9	100	80.8	90.8	59.0	74.7	2.6
BERT (mean)	0.1	1	97.2	0.01	99.9	$< 10^{-3}$	90.9	$< 10^{-2}$	76.2	$< 10^{-1}$
BERT (mean)	1.0	✓	97.2	$< 10^{-3}$	99.9	$< 10^{-3}$	90.6	$< 10^{-3}$	75.2	$< 10^{-2}$
BERT	0.0	X	95.0	-	72.8	-	50.4	-	68.2	
BERT (max)	0.0	\checkmark	97.2	99.7	100	99.7	90.8	96.2	74.7	28.9
BERT (max)	0.1	\checkmark	97.1	$< 10^{-3}$	99.9	$< 10^{-3}$	90.7	$< 10^{-2}$	76.7	0.6
BERT (max)	1.0	✓	97.4	$< 10^{-3}$	99.8	$< 10^{-4}$	90.2	$< 10^{-3}$	75.9	$< 10^{-2}$

Alternate mechanisms

Gender-Identification



Alternate mechanisms

Gender-Identification



At inference time, what if we hard set the corresponding attention mass to ZERO?

Alternate mechanisms

Gender-Identification



At inference time, what if we hard set the corresponding attention mass to ZERO?













Original

32



42057 45057 370 279 3 A. ·\$ ·A? Ŷ 9 o81 o21 o31 о9 o49 o43 o279 o376

Original

Manipulated

32



A different seed



Original

Manipulated













Original

34





Manipulated

Original

34



A different seed



Original

Manipulated

Sequence Reverse









Sequence Reverse






36



4057 45057 A.S. 132 480 ·1239 :12 à. 3 ♦ 045 о3 o489 o413 o132 o239 01 o12

Manipulated

36



A different seed



Manipulated

English German MT













Attention type

Uniform

None

Manipulated

 $(\lambda = 1.0)$

Manipulated

 $(\lambda = 0.1)$

Alternative workarounds

• Through recurrent connections, if they exist.

 Increase in the magnitude of representations corresponding to impermissible tokens.

- We present inputs for the task Occupation Prediction and the predicted outputs (*Physician or Surgeon*) by one of the models
- We notify the annotators that the input tokens are highlighted on the basis of an "explanation method" (attention weights)
- We ask the annotators two rating questions

Manipulation	Input example	Percentage of
type	Predicted label - Physician	sentences

Manipulation type	Input example Predicted label - Physician	Percentage of sentences
No manipulation	ms. UNK practices medicine in UNK and specializes in urological surgery. ms. UNK is affiliated with menorah medical center	66 %

Manipulation type	Input example Predicted label - Physician	Percentage of sentences
No manipulation	ms. UNK practices medicine in UNK and specializes in urological surgery. ms. UNK is affiliated with menorah medical center	66%
Ours	ms. UNK practices <mark>medicine</mark> in UNK and <mark>specializes</mark> in urological surgery. ms. UNK is affiliated with menorah medical center	0%

Manipulation type	Input example Predicted label - Physician	Percentage of sentences
No manipulation	ms. UNK practices medicine in UNK and specializes in urological surgery. ms. UNK is affiliated with menorah medical center	66%
Ours	ms. UNK practices <mark>medicine</mark> in UNK and <mark>specializes</mark> in urological surgery. ms. UNK is affiliated with menorah medical center	0%
Weigraff et al, 2019	ms. UNK practices medicine <mark>in</mark> UNK and specializes in urological surgery. ms. UNK is affiliated with menorah medical center	0%

• Q2: Do you believe that highlighted tokens capture the model's prediction?

Manipulation type	Input example Predicted label - Physician	Rating (1 to 4)
No manipulation	ms. UNK practices medicine in UNK and specializes in urological surgery. ms. UNK is affiliated with menorah medical center	3.0 / 4
Ours	ms. UNK practices <mark>medicine</mark> in UNK and <mark>specializes</mark> in urological surgery. ms. UNK is affiliated with menorah medical center	2.67 / 4
Weigraff et al, 2019	ms. UNK practices medicine <mark>in</mark> UNK and specializes in urological surgery. ms. UNK is affiliated with menorah medical center	1.0 / 4

Outline

- 1. What Is attention mechanism?
- 2. Attention-as-explanations
- 3. Manipulating attention weights
- 4. Results and discussion

• In organic cases, typically attention is high for the 'right' tokens. Consistent across different seeds.

- In organic cases, typically attention is high for the 'right' tokens. Consistent across different seeds.
- Often attention is easy to manipulate with negligible drop in accuracy.

- In organic cases, typically attention is high for the 'right' tokens. Consistent across different seeds.
- Often attention is easy to manipulate with negligible drop in accuracy.
- Models with manipulated attention often perform better compared against models with no or uniform attention.

- In organic cases, typically attention is high for the 'right' tokens. Consistent across different seeds.
- Often attention is easy to manipulate with negligible drop in accuracy.
- Models with manipulated attention often perform better compared against models with no or uniform attention.
- Multiple possible ways to find alternate mechanisms that are not consistent with one another.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

Questions?

Discussion points

- "maybe we can come up with techniques and metrics to compute the reliability of attention for an explanation, for a general model"
- "While the paper points out a major problem in the way attention is conceived, it does not make any effort to offer a solution."

Discussion points

 "I would have loved to see some more work on showing that if [accuracy] scores were retained even after changing the attention weights, then what exactly is the model focussing on for its predictions"