# Representation Discovery 

(Slides by Piotr Mirowski, Hugo Larochelle, Omer Levy, Yoav Goldberg, Graham Neubig, and Tomas Mikolov)

## Distributed Representation

- Each word is associated with a continuous valued vector

| Word | $w$ | $C(w)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| "the" | 1 | $\lceil 0.6762,-0.9607,0.3626,-0.2410,0.6636\rceil$ |
| "a" | 2 | $\lceil 0.6859,-0.9266,0.3777,-0.2140,0.6711\rceil$ |
| " have" | 3 | $\lceil 0.1656,-0.1530,0.0310,-0.3321,-0.1342\rceil$ |
| "be " | 4 | $\lceil 0.1760,-0.1340,0.0702,-0.2981,-0.1111\rceil$ |
| "cat " | 5 | $\lceil 0.5896,0.9137,0.0452,0.7603,-0.6541\rceil$ |
| "dog" | 6 | $\lceil 0.5965,0.9143,0.0899,0.7702,-0.6392\rceil$ |
| "car " | 7 | $\lceil-0.0069,0.7995,0.6433,0.2898,0.6359\rceil$ |

## Vector-space representation of words

"One-hot" of "one-of-V" representation
of a word token at position $\dagger$ in the text corpus,
with vocabulary of size $V$


Vector-space representation $\widehat{\mathbf{z}}_{t}$ of the prediction of target word $w_{t}$ (we predict a vector of size D)

Vector-space representation $\mathbf{Z}_{v}$ of any word $v$ in the vocabulary using a vector of dimension D$\leftarrow 1$

Vector-space representation of the $t^{\text {th }}$ word history: e.g., concatenation of $n-1$ vectors of size $D$

Also called
distributed representation

## Predictive

- Input:
- word history/context (one-hot or distributed representation)
- Output:
- target word(s) (one-hot or distributed representation)
- Function that approximates word likelihood:
- Continuous bag-of-words
- Skip-gram
- ...


## Learning continuous space models

- How do we learn the word representations z for each word in the vocabulary?
- How do we learn the model that predicts
a word or its representation $\hat{z}_{f}$
given a word context?
- Simultaneous learning of model and representation


## Collobert \& Weston

Prediction network: 2 layer network outputting a scalar


Parameters: (2? ) DxV + (2c+1)DxH + Hx
Denominator: Iterate over $V$ <then not feasible>

## Continuous Bag-of-Words



Parameters: 2DxV
Problem: large output space!

## Aside

- Sum of vectors of words is a good baseline embedding for a short document
- Short document = a bag of words since position information is lost
- See Section 11.6 (Goldberg) for the computation of document similarity


## Continuous Bag-of-Words

Simple sum
word embedding
space $\Re^{D}$
in dimension
$D=100$ to 300

Word embedding matrices
discrete word V>100k words

> good word+context pairs

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{h}=\sum_{i=-c}^{c} \mathbf{z}_{t-c} \\
& \mathbf{o}=\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{t}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Negative sampling for scalability (6B words)

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(D=1 \mid c)=\sigma(h . w)
$$

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(D=0 \mid c)=\sigma\left(-h . w^{\prime}\right)
$$

Parameters: 2DxV
bad word+context pairs

$$
\mathcal{L}(\Theta ; D, \bar{D})=\sum_{(w, c) \in D} \mid \log P(D=1 \mid w, c)+\sum_{\left(w^{\prime}, c\right) \in \bar{D}} \|_{\bar{D}} \log P\left(D=0 \mid w^{\prime}, c\right)
$$

## Skip-gram



Parameters: 2DxV


Parameters: 2DxV
(Scales to 33B words)

## Vector-space word representation without LM



Word and phrase representation learned by skip-gram exhibit linear structure that enables analogies with vector arithmetics.

This is due to training objective, input and output (before softmax) are in linear relationship.

The sum of vectors in the loss function is the sum of log-probabilities (or log of product of probabilities), i.e., comparable to the AND function.

# Examples of Word2Vec embeddings 

Example of word
embeddings
obtained using
Word2Vec on the
3.2B word
Wikipedia:
Vocabulary
V=2M
Continuous
vector space
$D=200$

| debt | aa | decrease | met | slow | france | jesus | xbox |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| debts | aaarm | increase | meeting | slower | marseille | christ | playstation |
| repayments | s samavat | increases | meet | fast | french | resurrection |  |
| repayment | obukhovskii | decreased | meets | slowing | nantes | savior |  |
| monetary | emerlec | greatly | had | slows | vichy | miscl | wiiware |
| payments | gunss | decreasing | welcomed | slowed | paris | crucified | gamecube |
| repay | dekhen | increased | insisted | faster | bordeaux | god | nintendo |
| mortgage | minizini | decreases | acquainted | sluggish | aubagne | apostles | kinect |
| repaid | bf | reduces | satisfied | quicker | vend | apostle | dsiware |
|  | mortardept |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| refinancing | h | reduce | first | pace | vienne | bickertonite | eshop |
| bailouts | ee | increasing | persuaded | slowly | toulouse | pretribulatio | dreamcast |

# Semantic-syntactic word evaluation task 

Table 1: Examples of five types of semantic and nine types of syntactic questions in the SemanticSyntactic Word Relationship test set.

| Type of relationship | Word Pair 1 |  | Word Pair 2 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Common capital city | Athens | Greece | Oslo | Norway |
| All capital cities | Astana | Kazakhstan | Harare | Zimbabwe |
| Currency | Angola | kwanza | Iran | rial |
| City-in-state | Chicago | Illinois | Stockton | California |
| Man-Woman | brother | sister | grandson | granddaughter |
| Adjective to adverb | apparent | apparently | rapid | rapidly |
| Opposite | possibly | impossibly | ethical | unethical |
| Comparative | great | greater | tough | tougher |
| Superlative | easy | easiest | lucky | luckiest |
| Present Participle | think | thinking | read | reading |
| Nationality adjective | Switzerland | Swiss | Cambodia | Cambodian |
| Past tense | walking | walked | swimming | swam |
| Plural nouns | mouse | mice | dollar | dollars |
| Plural verbs | work | works | speak | speaks |

[lmage credits: Mikolov et al (2013) "Efficient
Estimation of Word Representation in Vector
Space", arXiv]

## Syntactic and Semantic tests

Observed that word embeddings obtained by RNN-LDA have linguistic regularities "a" is to "b" as "c" is to _ Syntactic: king is to kings as queen is to queens Semantic: clothing is to shirt as dish is to bowl


Vector offset method
$\mathrm{z}_{1}-\mathrm{z}_{2}+\mathrm{z}_{3}=\hat{\mathrm{z}}$

cosine similarity

$$
\arg \max _{b^{*} \in V}\left(\cos \left(b^{*}, b-a+a^{*}\right)\right)
$$

$$
\arg \max _{b^{*} \in V} \frac{\cos \left(b^{*}, b\right) \cos \left(b^{*}, a^{*}\right)}{\cos \left(b^{*}, a\right)+\varepsilon}
$$

## Linguistic Regularities Examples

| Expression | Nearest token |
| :---: | :---: |
| Paris - France + Italy | Rome |
| bigger - big + cold | colder |
| sushi - Japan + Germany | bratwurst |
| Cu - copper + gold | Au |
| Windows - Microsoft + Google | Android |
| Montreal Canadiens - Montreal + Toronto | Toronto Maple Leafs |

## What is word2vec?

- word2vec is not a single algorithm
- It is a software package for representing words as vectors, containing:
- Two distinct models
- CBoW
- Skip-Gram
- Various training methods
- Negative Sampling
(NS)
- Hierarchical Softmax
- A rich preprocessing pipeline
- Dynamic Context Windows
- Subsampling
- Deleting Rare Words


## What is SGNS learning?

## What is SGNS learning?

- Take SGNS's embedding matrices ( $W$ and $C$ )

"Neural Word Embeddings as Implicit Matrix
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Levy \& Goldberg, NIPS 2014


## What is SGNS learning?

- Take SGNS's embedding matrices ( $W$ and $C$ )
- Multiply them
- What do you get?

"Neural Word Embeddings as Implicit Matrix
Factorization"
Levy \& Goldberg, NIPS 2014


## What is SGNS learning?

- $\mathrm{A} V_{W} \times V_{C}$ matrix
- Each cell describes the relation between a specific word-context pair

$$
\vec{w} \cdot \vec{c}=?
$$


"Neural Word Embeddings as Implicit Matrix
Factorization"
Levy \& Goldberg, NIPS 2014

## What is SGNS learning?

- We prove that for large enough $d$ and enough iterations
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## What is SGNS learning?

- We prove that for large enough $d$ and enough iterations
- We get the word-context PMI matrix

$$
\operatorname{PMI}(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{c})=\frac{\log \#(\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{c})}{\log (\# w) * \log (\# c)}
$$


"Neural Word Embeddings as Implicit Matrix
Factorization"
Levy \& Goldberg, NIPS 2014

## What is SGNS learning?

- We prove that for large enough $d$ and enough iterations
- We get the word-context PMI matrix, shifted by a global constant

$$
\operatorname{Opt}(\vec{w} \cdot \vec{c})=\operatorname{PMI}(w, c)-\log k
$$


"Neural Word Embeddings as Implicit Matrix
Factorization"
Levy \& Goldberg, NIPS 2014

## GLOVE

- SGNS

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{w} \cdot \vec{c}= & \operatorname{PMI}(w, c)-\log k \\
\quad & \quad=\sum_{w \in V_{W}} \sum_{c \in V_{C}} \#(w, c)\left(\log \sigma(\vec{w} \cdot \vec{c})+k \cdot \mathbb{E}_{c_{N} \sim P_{D}}\left[\log \sigma\left(-\vec{w} \cdot \vec{c}_{N}\right)\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- GLOVE

$$
\vec{w} \cdot \vec{c}+b_{w}+b_{c}=\log (\#(w, c)) \quad \forall(w, c) \in D
$$

$$
J=\sum_{i, j=1}^{V} f\left(X_{i j}\right)\left(w_{i}^{T} \tilde{w}_{j}+b_{i}+\tilde{b}_{j}-\log X_{i j}\right)^{2}
$$

## Follow up work

Baroni, Dinu, Kruszewski (2014): Don't count, predict! A systematic comparison of context-counting vs.
context-predicting semantic vectors

- Turns out neural based approaches are very close to traditional distributional semantics models
- Luckily, word2vec significantly outperformed the best previous models across many tasks ©
- How to reconcile good results ???


# The Big Impact of "Small" Hyperparameters 

- word2vec \& GloVe are more than just algorithms...
- Introduce new hyperparameters
- May seem minor, but make a big difference in practice


## New Hyperparameters

- Preprocessing
- Dynamic Context Windows
- Subsampling
- Deleting Rare Words
- Postprocessing
- Adding Context Vectors
- Association Metric
- Shifted PMI
- Context Distribution Smoothing
(word2vec)
(GloVe)
(SGNS)
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## New Hyperparameters

- Preprocessing
(word2vec)
- Dynamic Context Windows
- Subsampling
- Deleting Rare Words
- Postprocessing
- Adding Context Vectors
- Association Metric
(GloVe)
- Shifted PMI
- Context Distribution Smoothing


## Dynamic Context Windows

Marco saw a furry little wampimuk hiding in the tree.

## Dynamic Context Windows
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## Dynamic Context Windows

saw a furry little wampimuk hiding in the tree
word2vec:

| $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{2}{4}$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ | $\frac{4}{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{llll}\frac{4}{4} & \frac{3}{4} & \frac{2}{4} & \frac{1}{4}\end{array}$
GloVe:
$\begin{array}{llll}\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{1}\end{array}$
$\frac{1}{1} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{3} \quad \frac{1}{4}$
Aggressive: $\begin{array}{llll}\frac{1}{8} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{1}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\frac{1}{1} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{8}\end{array}$
The Word-Space Model (Sah/gren, 2006)

## Adding Context Vectors

- SGNS creates word vectors $\vec{w}$
- SGNS creates auxiliary context vectors $\vec{c}$
- So do GloVe and SVD


## Adding Context Vectors

- SGNS creates word vectors $\vec{w}$
- SGNS creates auxiliary context vectors $\vec{c}$
- So do GloVe and SVD
- Instead of just $\vec{w}$
- Represent a word as: $\vec{w}+\vec{c}$
- Introduced by Pennington et al. (2014)
- Only applied to GloVe


## Context Distribution Smoothing

- SGNS samples $c^{\prime} \sim P$ to form negative ( $w, c^{\prime}$ ) examples
- Our analysis assumes $P$ is the unigram distribution

$$
P(c)=\frac{\# c}{\sum_{c^{\prime} \in V_{C}} \# c^{\prime}}
$$

## Context Distribution Smoothing

- SGNS samples $c^{\prime} \sim P$ to form negative $\left(w, c^{\prime}\right)$ examples
- Our analysis assumes $P$ is the unigram distribution
- In practice, it's a smoothed unigram distribution

$$
P^{0.75}(c)=\frac{(\# c)^{0.75}}{\sum_{c^{\prime} \in V_{C}}\left(\# c^{\prime}\right)^{0.75}}
$$

- This little change makes a big difference


## Context Distribution Smoothing

- We can adapt context distribution smoothing to PMI!
- Replace $P(c)$ with $P^{0.75}(c)$ :

$$
P M I^{0.75}(w, c)=\log \frac{P(w, c)}{P(w) \cdot \boldsymbol{P}^{\mathbf{0 . 7 5}}(\boldsymbol{c})}
$$

- Consistently improves PMI on every task
- Always use Context Distribution Smoothing!


## Comparing Algorithms

## Controlled Experiments

- Prior art was unaware of these hyperparameters
- Essentially, comparing "apples to oranges"
- We allow every algorithm to use every hyperparameter


## Controlled Experiments

- Prior art was unaware of these hyperparameters
- Essentially, comparing "apples to oranges"
- We allow every algorithm to use every hyperparameter*
* If transferable


## Systematic Experiments

- 9 Hyperparameters
- 6 New
- 4 Word Representation Algorithms
- PPMI (Sparse \& Explicit)
- SVD (PPMI)
- SGNS
- GloVe
- 8 Benchmarks
- 6 Word Similarity Tasks
- 2 Analogy Tasks
- 5,632 experiments
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## Hyperparameter Settings

Classic Vanilla Setting
(commonly used for distributional
baselines)

- Preprocessing
- <None>
- Postprocessing
- <None>
- Association Metric
- Vanilla PMI/PPMI


## Hyperparameter Settings

Classic Vanilla Setting
(commonly used for distributional baselines)

- Preprocessing
- <None>
- Postprocessing
- <None>
- Association Metric
- Vanilla PMI/PPMI

Recommended word2vec Setting
(tuned for SGNS)

- Preprocessing
- Dynamic Context Window
- Subsampling
- Postprocessing
- <None>
- Association Metric
- Shifted PMI/PPMI
- Context Distribution Smoothing


## Experiments

## WordSim-353 Relatedness

## 

PPMI (Sparse Vectors) SGNS (Embeddings)

## Experiments: "Oranges to Oranges"

WordSim-353 Relatedness


## Experiments: Hyperparameter Tuning

WordSim-353 Relatedness


## Overall Results

- Hyperparameters often have stronger effects than algorithms
- Hyperparameters often have stronger effects than more data
- Prior superiority claims were not exactly accurate


## Note on Dot Product

- We have been using $c^{\top} w$ as the similarity score
- In case c and w come from different spaces one can use c ${ }^{\top} U w$ as the score where parameters of U matrix are also learnt
- Equivalent to projecting c in w space.


## Domain Adaptation of Embeddings

- Pretrained embeddings W
- And small new corpus
- Method 1
- Fine tune all embeddings of W in a task-specific manner
- Problem: only words in small dataset get changed
- Method 2
- Learn a projection T. W' = WT
- Problem: can't separate close-by words
- Method 3
- Learn a full new vector U. W' = WT+U
- Problem: need more data


## Other Details

- Padding
- Zero
- Padding embedding
- Unknown Words
- Unk embedding
- Word Dropout
- randomly replace words with Unk
- Use $a /(a+\# w)$ as dropout rate
- Word Dropout as regularization
- Dropout rate not dependent on \#w


## Limitations of <br> Distributional Similarity

- What kind of similarity is hard to ~control?
- Small context: more syntax-based embedding
- Large context: more topical embeddings
- Context based on parses: more functional embeddings
- Sensitive to superficial differences
- Dog/dogs
- Black sheep
- People don't say the obvious
- Antonyms
- Corpus bias
- "encode every kind of psychological bias we can look for"
- Females<->family and not career;
- Lack of context
- See Elmo [2018]
- Not interpretable


## Retrofitting Embeddings

- Additional evidence - e.g., Wordnet
- Graph: nodes - words, edges - related
- New objective: find matrix $\widehat{W}$ such that
- $\hat{w}$ is close to $W$ for each word
- $\hat{w}$ of words related in the graph is close

$$
\Psi(Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\alpha_{i}\left\|w_{i}-\hat{w}_{i}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{(i, j) \in E} \beta_{i j}\left\|\hat{w}_{i}-\hat{w}_{j}\right\|^{2}\right]
$$

# De-biasing Embeddings <br> <br> (Bolukbasi etal 16) 

 <br> <br> (Bolukbasi etal 16)}

| Extreme she | Extreme he |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. homemaker | 1. maestro |
| 2. nurse | 2. skipper |
| 3. receptionist | 3. protege |
| 4. librarian | 4. philosopher |
| 5. socialite | 5. captain |
| 6. hairdresser | 6. architect |
| 7. nanny | 7. financier |
| 8. bookkeeper | 8. warrior |
| 9. stylist | 9. broadcaster |
| 10. housekeeper | 10. magician |


|  | Gender stereotype she-he |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sewalogies |  |  |  |  |  |
| sewing-carpentry | registered nurse-physician | housewife-shopkeeper |  |  |  |
| nurse-surgeon | interior designer-architect | softball-bseball |  |  |  |
| blond-burly | feminism-conservatism | cosmetics-pharmaceuticals |  |  |  |
| giggle-chuckle | vocalist-guitarist | petite-lanky |  |  |  |
| sassy-snappy | diva-superstar | charming-affable |  |  |  |
| volleyball-football cupcakes-pizzas | lovely-brilliant |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Gender appropriate she-he analogies |
| queen-king | sister-brother | mother-father |  |  |  |
| waitress-waiter | ovarian cancer-prostate cancer convent-monastery |  |  |  |  |

# Identify pairs to "neutralize", find the direction of the trait to neutralize, and ensure that they are neutral in that direction 

## Issues with Word2Vec and Glove

- Learning one embedding for each word in training data
- What to do with words missing in training data?
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## Issues with Word2Vec and Glove

- Option 1: Learn UNK embedding
- Replace words occurring only once or twice in the training data with UNK
- Issues:
- Loss of information
- Not using rich internal structure present in words Morphology
- We can have a rough idea of Embedding('taller') from Embedding('tall')


## Fasttext Representations

## Enriching Word Vectors with Subword Information

Piotr Bojanowski* and Edouard Grave* and Armand Joulin and Tomas Mikolov Facebook AI Research
\{bojanowski, egrave, ajoulin, tmikolov\}@fb.com

## Fasttext Representations

- Train embedding for character n-grams
- artificial: <ar, art, rti, tif, ifi, fic, ici, ial, al>
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## Fasttext Representations

- Train embedding for character n-grams
- Embedding of word = Sum of embedding of character n-grams
- Train skip-gram model based on these embeddings
- Output: Learnt character n-gram embeddings
- Unknown words - divide into constituent character ngrams
- Sum their embeddings


## Document Embeddings

## Document as Bag of Word Vectors

- Sum of all word vectors
- Average of all word vectors
- (see Deep Sets 2017)
- Each input $x$ is transformed (possibly by several layers) into some representation $\phi(x)$.
- The representations are added up and their output is the processed using the $\rho$ network very much in the same manner as in any deep network (e.g. fully connected layers, nonlinearities, etc.).


## Continuous Bag-of-Words



## CBOW Paragraph Vector


word embedding space $\Re^{D}$ in dimension $D=100$ to 1000


## Skip-gram Paragraph

 Vectorword embedding space $\Re^{D}$
in dimension $D=100$ to 1000


## New Document

- Keep U, w, etc fixed.
- Just relearn d parameters via backprop

| Model | Error rate |
| :--- | ---: |
| BoW (bnc) (Maas et al., 2011) | $12.20 \%$ |
| BoW (b $\Delta \mathrm{t}$ 'c) (Maas et al., 2011) | $11.77 \%$ |
| LDA (Maas et al., 2011) | $32.58 \%$ |
| Full+BoW (Maas et al., 2011) | $11.67 \%$ |
| Full+Unlabeled+BoW (Maas et al., 2011) | $11.11 \%$ |
| WRRBM (Dahl et al., 2012) | $12.58 \%$ |
| WRRBM + BoW (bnc) (Dahl et al., 2012) | $10.77 \%$ |
| MNB-uni (Wang \& Manning, 2012) | $16.45 \%$ |
| MNB-bi (Wang \& Manning, 2012) | $13.41 \%$ |
| SVM-uni (Wang \& Manning, 2012) | $13.05 \%$ |
| SVM-bi (Wang \& Manning, 2012) | $10.84 \%$ |
| NBSVM-uni (Wang \& Manning, 2012) | $11.71 \%$ |
| NBSVM-bi (Wang \& Manning, 2012) | $8.78 \%$ |
| Paragraph Vector | $\mathbf{7 . 4 2 \%}$ |

## More Reading resources

- https://web.stanford.edu/~jurafsky/li15/lec3.vector.pdf
- https://ruder.io/word-embeddings-1/
- https://ruder.io/word-embeddings-softmax/index.html
- https://ruder.io/secret-word2vec/index.html


## Finally, for the brave-hearted...

- Word2Vec - highly optimized C code:
- https://github.com/tmikolov/word2vec
- Note of Caution: Lots of malloc, calloc
- Readable version of the code:
- https://github.com/chrisjmccormick/word2vec commented
- Python implementation:
- https://github.com/RaRe-Technologies/gensim


## Pytorch Worksheet



- Contains 7 problems with varying levels of difficulty
- Will help improve your understanding of Pytorch

