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## Problem: Laying Telephone Wire



## Wiring: Naive Approach



Expensive!

## Wiring: Better Approach



Minimize the total length of wire connecting ALL customers

## Spanning trees

- Suppose you have a connected undirected graph:
- Connected: every node is reachable from every other node
- Undirected: edges do not have an associated direction
- ...then a spanning tree of the graph is a connected subgraph which contains all the vertices and has no cycles.


A connected, undirected graph


Four of the spanning trees of the graph








## Spanning trees

- Every spanning tree has n-1 edges.
- Can be shown by induction: use the fact that every tree has a vertex with degree 1.

Complete Graph


All 16 of its Spanning Trees


## Minimum-cost spanning trees

- Suppose you have a connected undirected graph with a weight (or cost) associated with each edge.
- The cost of a spanning tree would be the sum of the costs of its edges.
- A minimum-cost spanning tree is a spanning tree that has the lowest cost.


A connected, undirected graph


A minimum-cost spanning tree

## Minimum Spanning Tree (MST)

A minimum spanning tree is a subgraph of an undirected weighted graph $\boldsymbol{G}$, such that

- it is a tree (i.e., it is acyclic)

Tree $=$ connected graph without cycles

- it covers all the vertices $\boldsymbol{V}$
- contains $|\boldsymbol{V}|-1$ edges
- the total cost associated with tree edges is the minimum among all possible spanning trees
- not necessarily unique.


## How Can We Generate a MST?



## Finding minimum spanning trees

- Kruskal's algorithm
- Idea: consider edges in the order of cheapest edge first.
- Choose an edge unless it forms a cycle with the previous chosen edges.


## Kruskal's algorithm

1. Sort edges in increasing order of cost:

$$
e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m}
$$

2. Maintain a forest F initialized to $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ with no edges.
3. For $\mathrm{i}=1 \ldots \mathrm{~m}$
if adding $e_{i}$ to F does not create a cycle

$$
F \leftarrow F \cup\left\{e_{i}\right\}
$$

## Complete Graph
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Minimum Spanning Tree


## Complete Graph



Visualization of Kruskal's algorithm


## "repeatedly add the cheapest edge that does not create a cycle"

## Time complexity of Kruskal's Algorithm

Naïve Implementation: Maintain F as a graph. Check if an edge creates a cycle can be done by BFS/DFS (O(n) time).

Overall: $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{mn})$ time.

A better "data-structure" :
each connected component is a set of vertices (maintain disjoint sets).

Need to operations:
(i) Given two vertices, do they belong to the same set
(ii) Replace two sets by their union.

UNION-FIND Datastructure: each operation takes $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ time. Oveall O(m $\log n)$ time.

## Proof of Kruskal's Algorithm

Assume all edge lengths are distinct.
Suppose the edges picked by the algorithm (in the order of picking) are

$$
f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n-1}
$$

Consider an optimal solution $T^{*}$, and consider its edges in increasing cost be

$$
g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}
$$

Let $r$ be the first index where they differ, i.e.,

$$
f_{1}=g_{1}, \ldots, f_{r-1}=g_{r-1}, \text { but } f_{r} \neq g_{r} .
$$

## Proof of Kruskal's Algorithm

Add $f_{r}$ to $T^{*}$ : creates a cycle C .

There must be an edge in this cycle which is more expensive than $f_{r}$.

