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Abstract

Sign Board detection and Information Extraction is one of the important task for guidance and safety of visually challenged people. Warning beforehand can be very helpful for the safety of visually impaired people. This thesis address Sign Board detection and information extraction from sign boards using image recognition Tesseract.

All the dataset are taken from IIT Delhi campus and nearby areas. The frames are taken by Pivot Head camera. All experiments and implementation are done using both c++, tesseract and OpenCV.

In recent times, there have been an increase in Optical Character Recognition (OCR) solutions for recognizing the text from scanned document images and scene-texts taken with the mobile devices. Many of these solutions works very good for individual script or language. But in multi-lingual environment such as in India, where a document image or scene-images may contain more than one language, tesseract has worked quite well. Hence, in order to recognize texts in the multilingual document image or scene-image, we have used tesseract and made modifications such as noise removal in image, processing skewed image with signboard at some angle and then feeding to the tesseract for better output accuracy.

All the dataset are taken from IIT Delhi campus and nearby areas. The frames are taken by PivotHead camera. In case of tesseract the output of signboard detection is processed and given as input to tesseract on multi-lingual OCR detection after processing. All experiments and implementation are done using both C++ and OpenCV.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Introduction to MAVI SYSTEM

The two important problems faced by the visually impaired include Obstacle Detection and Independent Navigation. With the advent of technology, cost effective solutions like Smart Cane, have been developed to solve the obstacle detection problem. However independent Navigation especially in the Outdoor environment, is still an unresolved issue for the visually impaired. In general, Safety issues, Navigation problems in an unknown area and social inclusion are the major issues of concern. MAVI system attempts to solve these issues by integrating various modules which work to solve problems posed by outdoor navigation independently. MAVI stands for Mobility assistant for visually impaired. The overview of the MAVI system is shown in the below figure 1.1.

![Fig.1.1 Overview of MAVI system](image)

The MAVI system constitutes of a central controller that binds the four modules of MAVI system together. These modules are Texture detection, Animal detection, signboard Detection, Face detection and recognition. The controller also has the information from the GPS+IMU localisation module and depth sensor. It also interacts with the server and MAVI app to provide information to the user.
1.2 Motivation and Objective

Eyes are one of the most important sense organ human body have to interact with their surrounding environment. Because of visual impairment, the ability for interacting with surrounding is very difficult and limited. i.e it become very difficult or almost impossible to walk, find places and social inclusion for visually challenged people. So, visual impairment is one of the severe type of disabilities a person must endure. In India mostly visually impaired people have to rely on traditional cane. The cane is very limited in terms of providing interaction and independence to its users. Now days Computer Vision is one of the biggest and most active research area and it can be very helpful for the visually impaired people as their eyes. So we team MAVI decided to design prototype that consist of Texture Detection , Pothole Detection, Face Detection, Signboard Detection and location information. Objective of this thesis is to develop signboard detection and information and extraction which aids the visually impaired in navigation.

1.3 Overview of signboards detection and info extraction

Signboards serve as navigation aids in an unknown location. The information present on them becomes extremely important for a person who is not the native of that place. For visually impaired people, this information remains unavailable unless a module is employed exclusively to provide the information. Hence signboard detection and Information extraction module focuses to assist the user in navigating seamlessly in an outdoor environment. The overall implementation of the Sign Board detection module is as shown above.

1.4 Thesis Contribution

This thesis address problem of signboard detection and information extraction using tesseract OCR and adding improvements to tesseract. This module is one of the four sensors of the MAVI. I’ve continued Dedeepya’s work (Last year Student). She had worked on detection algorithm and ocr for image character recognition in matlab. The accuracy for the latter was too poor so tried to enhance the same but failed, so switched to Tesseract. Firstly i started with the conversion of code base from matlab to C++ as per Xilings requirement of C/C++. Later tried improvements in already implemented character recognition algorithm but realised its too low yet. So shifted to Tesseract OCR and tried compiling the code on ZedBoard. Finally
added improvements to Tesseract for skewed images. Time and distance analysis were done for Tesseract and signboard detection.

1.5 Thesis Outline

The body of the thesis is organised into 7 chapters out of which the first chapter is the introduction. The remainder of the thesis is organised as follows: The chapter 2 includes the introduction to OpenCV and briefly outlines the Detection Algorithms that are viable for the detection of sign boards. The chapter 3 discusses the algorithm employed for signboard detection in MAVI system and its associated results, followed by chapter 4 which discusses the information extraction with the help of OCR Engine. The chapter 5 describes software only implementation on Zed board and the performance estimation results for this implementation. Chapter 6 is about the energy estimation for signboard detection module in various modes. Chapter 7 discusses the profiling results. Chapter 8 is about the conclusion and future work.
Chapter 2

Background

2.1 Introduction to opencv

OpenCV (Open Source Computer Vision Library) is a library of programming functions mainly aimed at real time computer vision, developed by Intel. It is free for use under the open source BSD license. The library is cross-platform. It focuses mainly on real-time image processing. The library was originally written in C and this C interface makes OpenCV portable to some specific platforms such as digital signal processors. Wrappers for languages such as C hash, Python, Ruby and Java (using JavaCV) have also been developed. However, since version 2.0, OpenCV includes both its traditional C interface as well as a new C++ interface. This new interface seeks to reduce the number of lines of code necessary to code up computer vision functionality as well as reduce common programming errors such as memory leaks (through automatic data allocation and de-allocation) that can arise when using OpenCV in C. Most of the new developments and algorithms in OpenCV are now developed in the C++ interface. Unfortunately, it is much more difficult to provide wrappers in other languages to C++ code as opposed to C code; therefore the other language wrappers are generally lacking some of the newer OpenCV 2.0 features. A CUDA-based GPU interface has been in progress since September 2010.

2.2 Object detection algorithms

2.2.1 Template Matching:

Template matching is a technique in which a portion of the image or sub-image, called template is searched in a given input image containing the sub-image to find its occurrence. Algorithm:

- It takes an source image and template image as input
- The identification of the matching region the template is compared with the source image by sliding it.
- The identification of the matching region the template is compared with the source image by sliding it.
- Sliding, refers to moving one pixel at a time from left to right, up to down. At each location the measurement of match is done by calculating a metric.
- For each location of T over I, the metric is calculated and stored in the result matrix (R). Here the match metric TMCCORRNORMED is used. method=CVTMCCORRNORMED.

2.2.2 Colour based detection using opencv

Detecting objects based on their colour is one of the prominent way of object detection. In this case the the colour of the object should significantly different from the colour of the background. Algorithm: 
1. Create an image window. 
2. Create track bars in the window for Hue, Saturation, Value. 
3. Read the image in BGR/RGB
format. 4. Convert the image from RGB to HSV format. 5. Threshold the image. 6. Doing morphological opening/closing (optional) 7. Display the thresholded and original image.

2.2.3 Contour based object detection

OpenCV can be used for obtaining the vertices as a series of points of an object. So, a polygon can be determined by getting the number of vertices present in it. For example, a rectangle can be classified under an object with 4 vertices present in it. Also, several features of the polygon such as convexity, flatness, concavity by comparing the position and distance between the vertices in an object. Algorithm:

1. Create an image window.
2. Read the image.
3. Convert the image (if a color image) from RGB to HSV format.
4. Threshold the image to get a binary image i.e. black(0) and white(1).
5. Doing morphological opening/closing (optional)
6. Search for contours in the thresholded image using OpenCV functions.
Chapter 3

Deep Neural Network on ZedBoard

3.1 Introduction

Nural Networks are one of the latest and growing technology in field of Machine learning and Artificial intelligence. Nural Networks are computing systems inspired by working of biological network in the brain. Neural network are found most useful in problems which are difficult to solve using traditional computational algorithms. Many classification problems in area of vision and image processing can be solved using neural network for example image recognition. A classification problem involves a training and testing data set with class labels (In case of supervised learning) or without labels. And given a training data set we compute a function from training set to class. Thus neural network can be very useful in Face Detection, Texture and Pothole detection, Signboard detection and OCR and Animal detection modules of MAVI system. But neural network require to perform large amount of computation So, we wanted to test its performance on ZedBoard in order to find out if it can be any useful for us. We are more interested in memory consumption of neural network as ZedBoard has very limited memory available.

3.2 Cross Compilation of Caffe

Implementing Neural Network from scratch can be very time consuming task So, I first explored already available tools for neural network implementation. Caffe is one of the popular open source deep learning framework Developed by Berkeley AI Research. It supports many different types of architectures geared towards image classification and image segmentation. In order to implement neural network in caffe we require definition of network in prototex format. So, I decided to use caffe for feasibility testing of neural network on ZedBoard. ZedBoard(Zynq Evaluation and Development Board) is an excellent development kit based on Zynq All Programmable SoC (AP SoC). ZedBoard is ARM processor based. So, In order to compile for Zed-Board first we have generated arm binaries on Intel process using cross compiler tool chain for ARM. Then transferred binaries on Zed-Board

Caffe and it’s all dependencies provide CMake build system for building. CMake is cross-platform build system which use compiler- independent method and provide very easy way to handle dependencies. CMake separates build from source so several builds are possible from same source directory. This is very useful and easy to handle in case when we need to compile of different platforms(In our case for Intel and ARM).

After compiling all dependencies, next step is to link those dependencies to the caffe itself and generate final executable image. The linker can either put all dependencies entirely into executable image or just remember their path on system and include dynamically at runtime. For Caffe I needed to compile following
dependencies for ARM:

Dynamic Linking: In this kind of linking linker takes path of shared libraries (.so on Linux, .dll on Windows) at the time of compiling and link them while running the program by copying into RAM from storage and subsequently filling jump tables and relocations pointers. This is useful when more than one program is using libraries, we can reduce storage uses by linking to same shared library in storage. And any change in library don’t require re-compilation of executable.

Static Linking: This is useful when we don’t have high-leve OS thus we can’t have shared libraries to link in runtime. So, static libraries (.a in Linux) are copied into executable at the time of compiling of executable. In our case portability of executable on different platform become very easy as executable contain everything that it needs to run. Size of executable will be more in this case due to attached libraries.

### 3.3 SqueezeNet Deep Compression on ZedBoard

I tested performance of 3 different networks on ZedBoard:

LeNet: LeNet is small convolution neural network for hand-written digit recognition. I used MNIST dataset for hand-written digits. Caffe provide pre-trained model using MNIST dataset so, we just need to feed in network definition, pre-trained model and a input image to caffe and it will output class of that image. ImageNet: ImageNet is very large visual database designed for use in visual object recognition softwares. ImageNet contain over 10 million annotated images with their classes.
Algorithm used for signboard detection

The algorithm of signboard detection for MAVI is designed for the campus signboards of IIT Delhi as of now. The algorithm assumes that the boards are blue in colour with the text being written in white colour. The algorithm is constructed in two serial stages. The blue detection stage followed by the white identification stage. The algorithm is implemented in OpenCV 3.1. The input image is taken to be of vga resolution. (A 640x480 image is used.)

4.1 Overview of Algorithm

The following figure 3.1 depicts the overview of algorithm.
4.2 Stage 1: Blue detection stage

The steps performed in Blue detection stage are as follows:

- Read the input image in RGB format
- Transform the image from RGB colour space to HSV colour space using the OpenCV function CVBGR2HSV.
- Generate the mask for the Blue colour present in the image using the InRanges function of OpenCV and also performing BitWise AND operation to remove any residual blue.
- On the result obtained find the contours using FindContours function of OpenCV.
- While using this function, the contour retrieval mode is set to the CVRETRRTREE so as to retrieve all of the contours and reconstruct a full hierarchy of nested contours.

• The contour approximation method used is CV CHAIN APPROX SIMPLE which compresses Horizontal, Vertical and diagonal segments and leaves only end points.

- The contours obtained are sorted in the descending order of their areas.
- These sorted contours are further approximated into closed closest regular polygon. This is accomplished by using the approxPolyDP function of OpenCV.
- The approxPolyDP function is used to approximate a curve or polygon with another curve or polygon with less vertices so that the distance between them is less than or equal to the specified precision.

- It uses Douglas-Peucker algorithm.
- Calculate the Up Right Rectangle on the obtained contour point set i.e on the approximated polygon try to fit a Rectangle.

- Group the rectangles which lie inside each other (if present) as a single rectangle.
- Return the two largest possible rectangles in the image.

These rectangular boxes are further passed on to the white detection stage for presence of text verification. The figures on the next page illustrate the implementation of the algorithm.
4.3 Stage 2: White detection stage

- The bounding boxes returned by the blue detect are passed on to this stage as input.
- Here we apply the flood fill technique so as to remove the boundary noise assuming that the noise is to the exterior of the board and at the border of the bounding box.
On the resultant image we calculate the amount of white by counting the number of white pixels.

Then a ratio of this amount of white to the total number of pixels in the image is obtained and compared against a predefined threshold.

If the amount of the white in the image exceeds this preset threshold then the bounding box is passed out as a Possible Sign board.

4.4 Experimental Results:

4.4.1 Accuracy of Sign Board Detection

Data Set Folder : Data set 1
Total no. of images = 600
No. of Images with sign boards = 347
No. of images without sign boards = 253

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>True Positive</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>96.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True Negative</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>96.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False Positive</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False Negative</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.75%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.5.1. Accuracy of Sign Board Detection
4.4.2 Distance vs accuracy of Sign Board Detection

Data set employed : Data set 1

4.4.3 Time Analysis of Sign Board Detection

Total no. of images : 347
In Signboard Detection :
Average time taken : 0.079 secs (which had signboards) Average time taken : 0.053 secs (which did not have signboards)
In Tesseract:
On an average time taken for OCR recognition : 0.686 secs

4.4.4 Signboard Detection Time CDF

Runtime cdf for signboard detection task on Zed-Board - for 347 images
5.1 Conversion of Matlab code to C++

This chapter includes the process where matlab code was converted to c++ code. But the main problem faced was the library dependencies. Code had many dependencies on matlab libraries which were directly not available in c++, because of this reason we had to shift to a completely new OCR. Also the accuracy of the existing trained OCR was poor in the range of 60 to 65 percent, and so getting a new more accurate OCR predictor was more of requirement there.

5.2 Dependency on Matlab Libraries

In matlab, dependency on matlab libraries were too many which were not possible to be directly converted to C++ code for Xilings on Zed Board. Since the accuracy being low and conversion being impossible due to dependency on matlab libraries. So stopped working with the previously deployed information extracting OCR due to its non-improving accuracy.

5.3 OCR Information Extraction- Tesseract

I started working on other OCR’s available which then resulted with Tesseract as its accuracy with the dataset of signboards turned out to be pretty good. Thus used it to test for time taken for output result in different languages as our signboards data samples had both English and Hindi characters. The accuracy was pretty high as stated below for a data set of 600 images.
5.4 OCR Stage

Optical character recognition (optical character reader) (OCR) is the mechanical or electronic conversion of images of typed, handwritten or printed text into machine-encoded text, whether from a scanned document, a photo of a document, a scene-photo (for example the text on signs and billboards in a landscape photo) or from subtitle text superimposed on an image (for example from a television broadcast). It is widely used as a form of data entry from printed paper data records, whether passport documents, invoices, bank statements, computerized receipts, business cards, mail, printouts of static-data, or any suitable documentation. It is a common method of digitizing printed texts so that it can be electronically edited, searched, stored more compactly, displayed on-line, and used in machine processes such as cognitive computing text mining, machine translation, (extracted) text to speech and key data. OCR is a field of research in pattern recognition, artificial intelligence, and computer vision. In this work we are employing TESSERACT for recognising the English text and for Hindi text we have implemented our own OCR.

5.4.1 Tesseract

Tesseract is an engine for various operating systems. It is a free software, released under the Apache License Google Version 2.0 and development has been sponsored by Google since 2006. It was developed at Hewlett Packard Laboratories between 1985 and 1995. Tesseract OCR Engine works well for English text written in digitised format. However, in case of the hand-painted signboards, the text identification is very inaccurate.

5.4.2 Multi Lingual

For Hindi text recognition also use of Tesseract is a viable option.

Result Sample Example

Input image to tesseract:
4 नयू पिछ्यावल
New

> गिलार छात्रावास
Gimar Hostel

उदयगिरी छात्रावास

Udaigiri Hostel

=> सतपुरा छात्रावास

Satpura Hostel

yo sore yo bad

I

Main Water Supply Reservoir

Input and output image of tesseract
Chapter 6

OCR Software Only Implementation on Desktop

6.1 DATASET

Data Set Folder : Data set 1
Total no. of images = 600
No. of Images with sign boards = 347
No. of images without sign boards = 253
Dataset comprised of 600 images which included 347 images with signboards and 253 images with no signboards. Almost all of the pictures has been taken in the campus. The accuracy, time and distance analysis has been done.
Features of Dataset : 600 images (347 with signboard vs 253 without signboard) Image file (bounding box), Detection time, Extraction time, distance (0-1m, 1-3m, 3-5m, 5-7m, 7-9m, >9m), no. of signboards, GPS location, tesseract output file with file name.txt

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Detect</th>
<th>Extract</th>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>GPS Lat</th>
<th>GPS Long</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>truesignboard_DSC07052_resized.JPG</td>
<td>0.046352</td>
<td>0.393992</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28.5455</td>
<td>77.18829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>truesignboard_DSC07053_resized.JPG</td>
<td>0.38036</td>
<td>0.323306</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28.5455</td>
<td>77.18829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>truesignboard_DSC07054_resized.JPG</td>
<td>0.074933</td>
<td>0.636930</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28.5455</td>
<td>77.18829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>truesignboard_DSC07055_resized.JPG</td>
<td>0.85917</td>
<td>0.730294</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28.5452</td>
<td>77.18847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>truesignboard_DSC07056_resized.JPG</td>
<td>0.092299</td>
<td>0.844041</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28.5452</td>
<td>77.18836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>truesignboard_DSC07057_resized.JPG</td>
<td>0.12363</td>
<td>1.050855</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28.5443</td>
<td>77.18189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>truesignboard_DSC07058_resized.JPG</td>
<td>0.081939</td>
<td>0.696481</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28.5443</td>
<td>77.18189</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sample Dataset

6.1.1 Skewed Image correction software only implementation

Improvisations in Tesseract
1. Accuracy too low for Skewed Images : When the images are inclined/skewed, the bounding box for signboard gave poor accuracy Implemented methods which exactly matches the dimensions of signboard (Image processing) Improved accuracy Effect on time for information Extraction : Average timing increased from 0.079 secs to 0.087 seconds (10
Chapter 7

Analysis - Time, Distance and Accuracy

7.1 Accuracy vs Distance

Distance of signboards from user vs accuracy
Fields in the table: Distance brackets, Count of sample sets in and No. of detected images

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance range</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>347</strong></td>
<td><strong>326</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.1.1 Maximum distance for which signboard is detected
For distance between 1m and 8m: Total No. of Images(with sign board) = 347 (345 with distance greater than 1m) Detected = 326 However for distance less than 1m (typically from 0.6m) the accuracy diminishes very much.

7.1.2 Maximum distance for which OCR detects accurately
Head-On (Average): Although for accuracy as above 2m is the maximum distance (depending on the size of the signboard/clarity of text)
Inclined: Average: 1.5m (maximum)

7.2 Tesseract Accuracy
7.2.1 Accuracy for OCR: Character by character
Out of total multiple test images i obtained from the video sample of pivot head camera i took 100 images for which i did the distance vs accuracy test analysis.
Hindi Only: 63.7
English Only: 94.4
Both: 81.7

7.2.2 Accuracy for OCR: Word by word
Out of total multiple test images i obtained from the video sample of pivot head camera i took 100 images for which i did the distance vs accuracy test analysis.
Hindi Only: 53.57
English Only: 85.3
Both: 70.1

7.3 Time Analysis
7.3.1 Time Profiling Results - Images With Sign Board
After calling both functions: i.e. Signboard detection part (blue part masking for a rectangular region followed by white part detection in it)
Count zeros the no. of times blue pixel has been occurred and if greater than 60 on masking then we say a signboard may be there and then white confirms it.
main: 40.33
Out of which: blue: 20 white: 20
Rest of the time is taken by c++ internal libraries, openCV libraries

7.3.2 Time Profiling Results without Sign Board
main: 51.7
Out of which: blue: 50.5 white: 0
Rest of the time is taken by c++ internal libraries, openCV libraries
Conclusion and Future Work

Signboard detection works completely fine and average time taken after improvisation is 0.87 sec.
Extraction: Tesseract accuracy is good enough but the time taken is quite bad as the average time on Zed Board = 6.86 secs
Google Vision Api and Hardware acceleration for OCR
Currently the algorithm works only for the sign boards with the text in white colour. This needs to be extended to all possible signboards by making the algorithm less sensitive to colour. The information extraction part is restricted in its accuracy to the digital sign boards as the OCR engines performance is very poor for handwritten text. The noise removal algorithms include certain assumptions that in some cases lead to loss of information. The current state implementation does not have any provision for shadow removal and it needs to be taken care of in future. Also the hardware acceleration has to be performed.
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