COL702: Advanced Data Structures and Algorithms

Ragesh Jaiswal, CSE, IITD

Ragesh Jaiswal, CSE, IITD COL702: Advanced Data Structures and Algorithms

Graph Algorithms

• Algorithm Design Techniques:

- Greedy Algorithms
- Divide and Conquer
- Dynamic Programming
- Network Flows
 - Hill-climbing and reduction

Network Flow

Ragesh Jaiswal, CSE, IITD COL702: Advanced Data Structures and Algorithms

æ

▲□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶

Reduction

- We will obtain an algorithm A for a Network Flow problem using Hill-climbing.
- Q Given a new problem, we will *rephrase* this problem as a Network Flow problem.
- We will then use algorithm A to solve the rephrased problem and obtain a solution.
- Finally, we build a solution for the original problem using the solution to the rephrased problem.

• Hill-climbing optimization strategy:

- Start with any solution that meets the constraints.
- Repeat until there is no simple way to improve the solution:
 - Try to improve the solution via a "local" change, still satisfying the constraints.
- Output the solution.
- A few points to note about Hill-climbing:
 - More often than not hill-climbing does NOT find an optimal solution, just a "local optimum"
 - Often used as an approximation algorithm or heuristic.
 - Also called gradient ascent, interior point method.

Network Flow Hill-climbing

- Hill-climbing optimization strategy:
 - Start with any solution that meets the constraints.
 - Repeat until there is no simple way to improve the solution:
 - Try to improve the solution via a "local" change, still satisfying the constraints.
 - Output the solution.
- Local optima:
 - One can view the set of all possible solutions as a high-dimensional region. The objective function then gives a height for each point.
 - We would like to find the highest point.
 - But we usually find a local optima, a point higher than others near it.
 - So, while global optima are local optima, the reverse is not always true.



・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

- We want to model various kinds of networks using graphs and then solve real world problems with respect to these networks by studying the underlying graph.
- One problem that arises in network design is routing "flows" within the network.
 - Transportation Network: Vertices are cities and edges denote highways. Every highway has certain traffic capacity. We are interested in knowing the maximum amount commodity that can be shipped from a source city to a destination city.
 - Computer Networks: Edges are links and vertices are switches. Each link has some capacity of carrying packets. Again, we are interested in knowing how much traffic can a source node send to a destination node.

- To model these problems, we consider weighted, directed graph G = (V, E) with the following properties:
 - Capacity: Associated with each edge e is a capacity that is a non-negative integer denoted by c(e).
 - <u>Source node</u>: There is a source node *s* with no in-coming edges.
 - <u>Sink node</u>: There is a sink node *t* with no out-going edges. All other nodes are called *internal nodes*.

Network Flow

- To model these problems, we consider weighted, directed graph G = (V, E) with the following properties:
 - Capacity: Associated with each edge e is a capacity that is a non-negative integer denoted by c(e).
 - <u>Source node</u>: There is a source node *s* with no in-coming edges.
 - <u>Sink node</u>: There is a sink node *t* with no out-going edges. All other nodes are called *internal nodes*.
- Given such a graph, an "*s t* flow" in the graph is a function *f* that maps the edges to non-negative real numbers such that the following properties are satisfied:
 - (a) Capacity constraint: For every edge e, $0 \le f(e) \le c(e)$.
 - (b) <u>Flow conservation</u>: For every internal node *v*:

$$\sum_{e \text{ into } v} f(e) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } v} f(e)$$

Find an s - t flow f in a given network graph such that the following quantity is maximized:

$$v(f) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } s} f(e)$$

• Example:



34.16

Find an s - t flow f in a given network graph such that the following quantity is maximized:

$$v(f) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } s} f(e)$$

• Example:



Figure: Routing 20 units of flow from s to t. Is it possible to "push more flow"?

Find an s - t flow f in a given network graph such that the following quantity is maximized:

$$v(f) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } s} f(e)$$

• Example:



Figure: We should reset initial flow (u, v) to 10.

3 N

Find an s - t flow f in a given network graph such that the following quantity is maximized:

$$v(f) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } s} f(e)$$

• Example:



Figure: We should reset initial flow (u, v) to 10. Maximum flow from s is 30.

Approach

- We will iteratively build larger s t flows.
- Given an s t flow f, we will build a residual graph G_f that will allow us to reset flows along some of the edges.
- We will find an *augmenting path* in the residual graph G_f , push some flow along this path and update the flow f'.



Approach

- We will iteratively build larger s t flows.
- Given an s t flow f, we will build a residual graph G_f that will allow us to reset flows along some of the edges.
- We will find an *augmenting path* in the residual graph G_f , push some flow along this path and update the flow f'.



Figure: Graph G_{f} . (f(s, u) = 20, f(s, v) = 0, f(u, v) = 20, f(u, t) = 0, f(v, t) = 20)

Approach

- We will iteratively build larger s t flows.
- Given an s t flow f, we will build a residual graph G_f that will allow us to reset flows along some of the edges.
- We will find an *augmenting path* in the residual graph G_f , push some flow along this path and update the flow f'.



Figure: Augmenting path. (f'(s, u) = 20, f'(s, v) = 10, f'(u, v) = 10, f'(u, t) = 10, f'(v, t) = 20)

Approach

- We will iteratively build larger s t flows.
- Given an s t flow f, we will build a residual graph G_f that will allow us to reset flows along some of the edges.
- We will find an *augmenting path* in the residual graph G_f , push some flow along this path and update the flow f'.



Figure: Graph $G_{f'}$. (f'(s, u) = 20, f'(s, v) = 10, f'(u, v) = 10, f'(u, t) = 10, f'(v, t) = 20)

- Residual graph G_f:
 - Forward edges: For every edge e in the original graph, there are $\overline{(c(e) f(e))}$ units of more flow we can send along that edge. So, we set the weight of this edge (c(e) f(e)).
 - Backward edges: For every edge e = (u, v) in the original graph, there are f(e) units of flow that we can undo. So we add a reverse edge e' = (v, u) and set the weight of e' to f(e).



Figure: Graph G_f . (f(s, u) = 20, f(s, v) = 0, f(u, v) = 20, f(u, t) = 0, f(v, t) = 20)

- Augmenting flow in G_f:
 - Let *P* be a simple *s t* path in *G*_{*f*}. Note that this contains forward and backward edges.
 - Let emin be an edge in the path P with minimum weight wmin
 - For every forward edge e in P, set $f'(e) \leftarrow f(e) + w_{min}$
 - For every backward edge (x, y) in P, set $f'(y, x) \leftarrow f(y, x) w_{min}$
 - For all remaining edges e, f'(e) = f(e)



Figure: Augmenting path. (f'(s, u) = 20, f'(s, v) = 10, f'(u, v) = 10, f'(u, t) = 10, f'(v, t) = 20)

- Claim 1: f' is an s t flow.
- Proof sketch:
 - Capacity constraint for each edge is satisfied.
 - Flow conservation at each vertex is satisfied.



Figure: Augmenting path. (f'(s, u) = 20, f'(s, v) = 10, f'(u, v) = 10, f'(u, t) = 10, f'(v, t) = 20)

Algorithm

Ford-Fulkerson

- Start with a flow f such that f(e) = 0
- While there is an s-t path P in G_f
 - Augment flow along an s-t path and let f' be resulting flow
 - Update f to f' and G_f to $G_{f'}$
- return(f)
- What is the running time of the above algorithm?

Algorithm

Ford-Fulkerson

- Start with a flow f such that f(e) = 0
- While there is an s-t path P in G_f
 - Augment flow along an s-t path and let f' be resulting flow
 - Update f to f' and G_f to $G_{f'}$

- return(f)

- What is the running time of the above algorithm?
 - <u>Claim 2</u>: v(f') > v(f).

Algorithm

Ford-Fulkerson

- Start with a flow f such that f(e) = 0
- While there is an s-t path P in G_f
 - Augment flow along an s-t path and let f' be resulting flow
 - Update f to f' and G_f to $G_{f'}$

- return(f)

- What is the running time of the above algorithm?
 - <u>Claim 2</u>: v(f') > v(f).
 - <u>Claim 3</u>: The while loop runs for at most $C = \sum_{e \text{ out of } s} c(e)$ iterations.

Algorithm

Ford-Fulkerson

- Start with a flow f such that f(e) = 0
- While there is an s-t path P in G_f
 - Augment flow along an s-t path and let f' be resulting flow
 - Update f to f' and G_f to $G_{f'}$

- return(f)

- What is the running time of the above algorithm?
 - <u>Claim 2</u>: v(f') > v(f).
 - <u>Claim 3</u>: The while loop runs for at most $C = \sum_{e \text{ out of } s} c(e)$ iterations.
 - Claim 4: Finding augmenting path and augmenting flow along this path takes O(m) time.

- 4 同 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト

Algorithm

Ford-Fulkerson

- Start with a flow f such that f(e) = 0
- While there is an s-t path P in G_f
 - Augment flow along an s-t path and let f' be resulting flow
 - Update f to f' and G_f to $G_{f'}$

- return(f)

- What is the running time of the above algorithm? $O(m \cdot C)$
 - <u>Claim 2</u>: v(f') > v(f).
 - <u>Claim 3</u>: The while loop runs for at most $C = \sum_{e \text{ out of } s} c(e)$ iterations.
 - Claim 4: Finding augmenting path and augmenting flow along this path takes O(m) time.

- 4 同 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト

Algorithm

Ford-Fulkerson

- Start with a flow f such that f(e) = 0
- While there is an s t path P in G_f
 - Augment flow along an s t path and let f' be resulting flow
 - Update f to f' and G_f to $G_{f'}$

- return(f)



- - - E - b-

Algorithm

Ford-Fulkerson

- Start with a flow f such that f(e) = 0
- While there is an s t path P in G_f
 - Augment flow along an s-t path and let f' be resulting flow
 - Update f to f' and G_f to $G_{f'}$

- return(f)



- A 🗄 🕨

Algorithm

Ford-Fulkerson

- Start with a flow f such that f(e) = 0
- While there is an s-t path P in G_f
 - Augment flow along an s-t path and let f' be resulting flow
 - Update f to f' and G_f to $G_{f'}$
- return(f)



Figure: Graph G_f , where f(s, u) = 0, f(s, v) = 7, f(v, u) = 0, f(v, q) = 7, f(u, p) = 0, f(p, v) = 0, f(p, t) = 7, f(q, p) = 7, f(q, t) = 0

Algorithm

Ford-Fulkerson

- Start with a flow f such that f(e) = 0
- While there is an s-t path P in G_f
 - Augment flow along an s t path and let f' be resulting flow
 - Update f to f' and G_f to $G_{f'}$

- return(f)



Algorithm

Ford-Fulkerson

- Start with a flow f such that f(e) = 0
- While there is an s-t path P in G_f
 - Augment flow along an s-t path and let f' be resulting flow
 - Update f to f' and G_f to $G_{f'}$
- return(f)



Figure: Graph G_f , where f(s, u) = 0, f(s, v) = 11, f(v, u) = 0, f(v, q) = 11, f(u, p) = 0, f(p, v) = 0, f(p, t) = 7, f(q, p) = 7, f(q, t) = 4

Algorithm

Ford-Fulkerson

- Start with a flow f such that f(e) = 0
- While there is an s t path P in G_f
 - Augment flow along an s t path and let f' be resulting flow
 - Update f to f' and G_f to $G_{f'}$

- return(f)



Algorithm

Ford-Fulkerson

- Start with a flow f such that f(e) = 0
- While there is an s-t path P in G_f
 - Augment flow along an s-t path and let f' be resulting flow
 - Update f to f' and G_f to $G_{f'}$

- return(f)



Figure: Graph G_f , where f(s, u) = 12, f(s, v) = 11, f(v, u) = 0, f(v, q) = 11, f(u, p) = 12, f(p, v) = 0, f(p, t) = 19, f(q, p) = 7, f(q, t) = 4

Algorithm

Ford-Fulkerson

- Start with a flow f such that f(e) = 0
- While there is an s-t path P in G_f
 - Augment flow along an s-t path and let f' be resulting flow
 - Update f to f' and G_f to $G_{f'}$
- return(f)
- How do we prove that the flow returned by the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm is the maximum flow?

• <u>Theorem 1</u>: Let f be the flow returned by the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm. Then f maximizes $v(f) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } s} f(e)$.

Definition $(f^{in} \text{ and } f^{out})$

Let S be a subset of vertices and f be a flow. Then

$$f^{in}(S) = \sum_{e \text{ into } S} f(e) \text{ and } f^{out}(S) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } S} f(e)$$

- 4 同 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

• <u>Theorem 1</u>: Let f be the flow returned by the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm. Then f maximizes $v(f) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } s} f(e)$.

Definition $(f^{in} \text{ and } f^{out})$

Let S be a subset of vertices and f be a flow. Then

$$f^{in}(S) = \sum_{e \text{ into } S} f(e) \text{ and } f^{out}(S) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } S} f(e)$$

Definition (s - t cut)

A partition of vertices (A, B) is called an s - t cut iff A contains s and B contains t.

Definition (Capacity of s - t cut)

The capacity of an s - t cut (A, B) is defined as $C(A, B) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } A} c(e)$.

うくで

• <u>Theorem 1</u>: Let f be the flow returned by the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm. Then f maximizes $v(f) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } s} f(e)$.

Proof

• <u>Claim 1.1</u>: For any s - t cut (A, B) and any s - t flow f, $v(f) = f^{out}(A) - f^{in}(A)$.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

• <u>Theorem 1</u>: Let f be the flow returned by the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm. Then f maximizes $v(f) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } s} f(e)$.

Proof

• Claim 1.1: For any
$$s - t$$
 cut (A, B) and any $s - t$ flow f , $v(f) = f^{out}(A) - f^{in}(A)$.

Proof of claim 1.1.

$$v(f) = f^{out}(\{s\}) - f^{in}(\{s\})$$
 and for all other nodes $v \in A, f^{out}(\{v\}) - f^{in}(\{v\}) = 0$. So,

$$v(f) = \sum_{v \in A} (f^{out}(\{v\}) - f^{in}(\{v\})) = f^{out}(A) - f^{in}(A).$$

/□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □

• <u>Theorem 1</u>: Let f be the flow returned by the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm. Then f maximizes $v(f) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } s} f(e)$.

Proof

- Claim 1.1: For any s-t cut (A, B) and any s-t flow f, $v(f) = f^{out}(A) - f^{in}(A).$
- Claim 1.2: Let f be any s-t flow and (A, B) be any s-t cut. Then $v(f) \le C(A, B)$.

• <u>Theorem 1</u>: Let f be the flow returned by the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm. Then f maximizes $v(f) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } s} f(e)$.

Proof

- <u>Claim 1.1</u>: For any *s*-*t* cut (A, B) and any *s*-*t* flow *f*, $v(f) = f^{out}(A) - f^{in}(A)$.
- Claim 1.2: Let f be any s-t flow and (A, B) be any s-t cut. Then $v(f) \le C(A, B)$.

Proof of claim 1.2.

$$v(f) = f^{out}(A) - f^{in}(A) \le f^{out}(A) \le C(A, B).$$

• <u>Theorem 1</u>: Let f be the flow returned by the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm. Then f maximizes $v(f) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } s} f(e)$.

Proof

- <u>Claim 1.1</u>: For any *s*-*t* cut (A, B) and any *s*-*t* flow *f*, $v(f) = f^{out}(A) - f^{in}(A)$.
- Claim 1.2: Let f be any s-t flow and (A, B) be any s-t cut. Then $v(f) \le C(A, B)$.
- <u>Claim 1.3</u>: Let f be an s-t flow such that there is no s-t path in G_f . Then there is an s-t cut (A^*, B^*) such that $v(f) = C(A^*, B^*)$. Furthermore, f is a flow with maximum value and (A^*, B^*) is an s-t cut with minimum capacity.

• Claim 1.3: Let f be an s-t flow such that there is no s-t path in G_f . Then there is an s-t cut (A^*, B^*) such that $v(f) = C(A^*, B^*)$. Furthermore, f is a flow with maximum value and (A^*, B^*) is an s-t cut with minimum capacity.

Proof of claim 1.3

• Let *A*^{*} be all vertices reachable from *s* in the graph *G_f* (see figure below). Then we have:

$$v(f) = f^{out}(A^*) - f^{in}(A^*) = f^{out}(A^*) - 0 = C(A^*, B^*)$$



Theorem (Max-flow-min-cut theorem)

In every flow network, the maximum value of s-t flow is equal to the minimum capacity of s-t cut.

• Summary:

- Ford-Fulkerson Algorithm:
 - Given network with integer capacities, find a source-to-sink path and push as much flow along the path as possible.
 - Update the residual capacity of edges in the residual graph.
 - Repeat.
- Proof of correctness:
 - The algorithm terminates (since the capacities are integers).
 - <u>Max-flow-min-cut theorem</u>: In every flow network, the maximum value of s-t flow is equal to the minimum capacity of s-t cut.

• Summary:

- Ford-Fulkerson Algorithm:
 - Given network with integer capacities, find a source-to-sink path and push as much flow along the path as possible.
 - Update the residual capacity of edges in the residual graph.
 - Repeat.
- Proof of correctness:
 - The algorithm terminates (since the capacities are integers).
 - <u>Max-flow-min-cut theorem</u>: In every flow network, the maximum value of *s*-*t* flow is equal to the minimum capacity of *s*-*t* cut.
- What if the capacities are not integers? Does the algorithm terminate?

• Summary:

- Ford-Fulkerson Algorithm:
 - Given network with integer capacities, find a source-to-sink path and push as much flow along the path as possible.
 - Update the residual capacity of edges in the residual graph.
 - Repeat.
- Proof of correctness:
 - The algorithm terminates (since the capacities are integers).
 - <u>Max-flow-min-cut theorem</u>: In every flow network, the maximum value of *s*-*t* flow is equal to the minimum capacity of *s*-*t* cut.
- What if the capacities are not integers? Does the algorithm terminate?
 - There is a network where the edges have non-integer capacities where the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm does not terminate.

Applications of Network Flow

э

Definition (Matching in bipartite graphs)

A subset M of edges such that each node appears in at most one edge in M.

Problem

Given a bipartite graph G = (L, R, E), design an algorithm to give a maximum matching in the graph.

• Example:



Given a bipartite graph G = (L, R, E), design an algorithm to give a maximum matching in the graph.

• Consider the network graph below constructed from the bipartite graph.



• <u>Claim 1</u>: Suppose there is an integer flow of value k in the network graph. Then the bipartite graph has a matching of size k.

Given a bipartite graph G = (L, R, E), design an algorithm to give a maximum matching in the graph.

• Consider the network graph below constructed from the bipartite graph.



- <u>Claim 1</u>: Suppose there is an integer flow of value *k* in the network graph. Then the bipartite graph has a matching of size *k*.
 - Consider those bipartite edges along which the flow is 1. Argue that due to flow conservation these edges form a matching.

Network Flow Bipartite Matching

Problem

Given a bipartite graph G = (L, R, E), design an algorithm to give a maximum matching in the graph.

• Consider the network graph below constructed from the bipartite graph.



- <u>Claim 1</u>: Suppose there is an integer flow of value *k* in the network graph. Then the bipartite graph has a matching of size *k*.
 - Consider those bipartite edges along which the flow is 1. Argue that due to flow conservation these edges form a matching.
- <u>Claim 2</u>: Suppose the bipartite graph has a matching of size *k*. Then there is an integer flow of value *k* in the network graph.

Network Flow Bipartite Matching

Problem

Given a bipartite graph G = (L, R, E), design an algorithm to give a maximum matching in the graph.

• Consider the network graph below constructed from the bipartite graph.



- <u>Claim 1</u>: Suppose there is an integer flow of value *k* in the network graph. Then the bipartite graph has a matching of size *k*.
 - Consider those bipartite edges along which the flow is 1. Argue that due to flow conservation these edges form a matching.
- <u>Claim 2</u>: Suppose the bipartite graph has a matching of size *k*. Then there is an integer flow of value *k* in the network graph.
 - Consider the flow where the flow along the edges in the matching is 1.

Network Flow Bipartite Matching

Problem

Given a bipartite graph G = (L, R, E), design an algorithm to give a maximum matching in the graph.



Figure: Network construction from Bipartite graph

Algorithm

Max-Matching(G)

- Construct the network G' using G as shown in Figure
- Execute the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm on G^\prime to obtain flow f
- Let M be all bipartite edges with flow value 1
- return(M)

End

Ragesh Jaiswal, CSE, IITD COL702: Advanced Data Structures and Algorithms

<ロ> <同> <同> < 同> < 同>

æ

990