

# COL863: Quantum Computation and Information

Ragesh Jaiswal, CSE, IIT Delhi

## Quantum Mechanics: Linear Algebra

### Spectral Decomposition Theorem

Any normal operator  $M$  on a vector space  $V$  is diagonalizable with respect to some orthonormal basis for  $V$ . Conversely, any diagonalizable operator is normal.

- Exercise: Show that a normal matrix is Hermitian if and only if it has real eigenvalues.
- Unitary matrix: A matrix  $U$  is called unitary if  $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I$ .
- Unitary operator: An operator  $U$  is unitary if  $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I$ .
- Exercise: Show that unitary operators preserve inner products.
- Exercise: Let  $|v_i\rangle$  be any orthonormal basis set and let  $|w_i\rangle = U|v_i\rangle$ . Then  $|w_i\rangle$  is an orthonormal basis set. Moreover,  $U = \sum_i |w_i\rangle \langle v_i|$ .
- Exercise: If  $|v_i\rangle$  and  $|w_i\rangle$  are two orthonormal basis sets, then  $U \equiv \sum_i |w_i\rangle \langle v_i|$  is a unitary operator.
- Exercise: Show that all the eigenvalues of a unitary matrix have modulus 1. This means that they can be written as  $e^{i\theta}$  for some real  $\theta$ .

# Quantum Mechanics

## Linear algebra: Adjoints and Hermitian operators

- Positive operator: An operator  $A$  is said to be a positive operator if for every vector  $|v\rangle$ ,  $(|v\rangle, A|v\rangle)$  is a real non-negative number.
- Positive definite operator: An operator  $A$  is said to be a positive operator if for every vector  $|v\rangle$ ,  $(|v\rangle, A|v\rangle)$  is a real number strictly greater than 0.

# Quantum Mechanics

## Linear algebra: Adjoints and Hermitian operators

- Positive operator: An operator  $A$  is said to be a positive operator if for every vector  $|v\rangle$ ,  $(|v\rangle, A|v\rangle)$  is a real non-negative number.
- Positive definite operator: An operator  $A$  is said to be a positive operator if for every vector  $|v\rangle$ ,  $(|v\rangle, A|v\rangle)$  is a real number strictly greater than 0.
- Exercises:
  - Show that a positive operator is necessarily Hermitian.
  - Show that the eigenvectors of a Hermitian operator with different eigenvalues are necessarily orthogonal.
  - Show that for any operator  $A$ ,  $A^\dagger A$  is positive.
  - Show that the eigenvalues of a projector  $P$  are all either 0 or 1.

- The tensor product is a way of putting vector spaces together to form larger vector spaces.
  - Suppose  $V$  and  $W$  are Hilbert spaces of dimension  $m$  and  $n$  respectively, then  $V \otimes W$  denotes an  $mn$ -dimensional vector space.
  - The elements of  $V \otimes W$  are linear combinations of tensor products  $|v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle$  of elements  $|v\rangle \in V$  and  $|w\rangle \in W$ .
  - If  $|i\rangle$ 's and  $|j\rangle$ 's are orthonormal bases for  $V$  and  $W$  respectively, then  $|i\rangle \otimes |j\rangle$ 's are orthonormal basis for  $V \otimes W$ .
  - $|v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle$  is also written as  $|vw\rangle$ ,  $|v\rangle |w\rangle$ , and  $|v, w\rangle$ .
  - Example: If  $V$  is a two-dimensional vector space with basis  $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$ , then  $|0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle + |1\rangle \otimes |1\rangle$  is an element of  $V \otimes V$ .
- Notation:  $|\psi\rangle^{\otimes k}$  means  $|\psi\rangle$  tensored with itself  $k$  times.

- Some properties of tensor products:

- For any arbitrary scalar  $z$  and elements  $|v\rangle \in V$  and  $|w\rangle \in W$ :

$$z(|v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle) = (z|v\rangle) \otimes |w\rangle = |v\rangle \otimes (z|w\rangle).$$

- For arbitrary  $|v_1\rangle, |v_2\rangle \in V$  and  $|w\rangle \in W$ ,

$$(|v_1\rangle + |v_2\rangle) \otimes |w\rangle = |v_1\rangle \otimes |w\rangle + |v_2\rangle \otimes |w\rangle.$$

- For arbitrary  $|v\rangle \in V$  and  $|w_1\rangle, |w_2\rangle \in W$ ,

$$|v\rangle \otimes (|w_1\rangle + |w_2\rangle) = |v\rangle \otimes |w_1\rangle + |v\rangle \otimes |w_2\rangle.$$

# Quantum Mechanics

## Linear algebra: Tensor products

- Linear operators on  $V \otimes W$ : Let  $A$  and  $B$  be linear operators on  $V$  and  $W$  respectively. Then  $A \otimes B$  denotes a linear operator on  $V \otimes W$  defined as:

$$(A \otimes B)(|v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle) = A|v\rangle \otimes B|w\rangle.$$

Furthermore, the following ensures linearity:

$$(A \otimes B) \left( \sum_i a_i |v_i\rangle \otimes |w_i\rangle \right) = \sum_i a_i A|v_i\rangle \otimes B|w_i\rangle.$$

- Let  $A : V \rightarrow V'$  and  $B : W \rightarrow W'$  be linear operators. An arbitrary linear operator  $C$  mapping  $V \otimes W$  to  $V' \otimes W'$  can be represented as a linear combination:

$$C = \sum_i c_i A_i \otimes B_i$$

where by definition:

$$\left( \sum_i c_i A_i \otimes B_i \right) |v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle \equiv \sum_i c_i A_i |v\rangle \otimes B_i |w\rangle.$$



# Quantum Mechanics

## Linear algebra: Tensor products

- Linear operators on  $V \otimes W$ : Let  $A$  and  $B$  be linear operators on  $V$  and  $W$  respectively. Then  $A \otimes B$  denotes a linear operator on  $V \otimes W$  defined as:

$$(A \otimes B)(|v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle) = A|v\rangle \otimes B|w\rangle.$$

Furthermore, the following ensures linearity:

$$(A \otimes B) \left( \sum_i a_i |v_i\rangle \otimes |w_i\rangle \right) = \sum_i a_i A|v_i\rangle \otimes B|w_i\rangle.$$

- Let  $A : V \rightarrow V'$  and  $B : W \rightarrow W'$  be linear operators. An arbitrary linear operator  $C$  mapping  $V \otimes W$  to  $V' \otimes W'$  can be represented as a linear combination:

$$C = \sum_i c_i A_i \otimes B_i$$

where by definition:

$$\left( \sum_i c_i A_i \otimes B_i \right) |v\rangle \otimes |w\rangle \equiv \sum_i c_i A_i |v\rangle \otimes B_i |w\rangle.$$

- The inner product on  $V \otimes W$  is defined as:

$$\left( \sum_i a_i |v_i\rangle \otimes |w_i\rangle, \sum_j b_j |v'_j\rangle \otimes |w'_j\rangle \right) \equiv \sum_{ij} a_i^* b_j \langle v_i | v'_j \rangle \langle w_j | w'_j \rangle.$$

- Matrix representation: The matrix representation for  $A \otimes B$  is called the **Kronecker product**. Let  $A$  be a  $m \times n$  matrix and  $B$  be a  $p \times q$  matrix. Then the matrix representation of  $A \otimes B$  is given as:

$$A \otimes B \equiv \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}B & A_{12}B & \dots & A_{1n}B \\ A_{21}B & A_{22}B & \dots & A_{2n}B \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ A_{m1}B & A_{m2}B & \dots & A_{mn}B \end{bmatrix}$$

- Example: What is  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ ?

- Matrix representation: The matrix representation for  $A \otimes B$  is called the **Kronecker product**. Let  $A$  be a  $m \times n$  matrix and  $B$  be a  $p \times q$  matrix. Then the matrix representation of  $A \otimes B$  is given as:

$$A \otimes B \equiv \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}B & A_{12}B & \dots & A_{1n}B \\ A_{21}B & A_{22}B & \dots & A_{2n}B \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ A_{m1}B & A_{m2}B & \dots & A_{mn}B \end{bmatrix}$$

- Example: What is  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ ?  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$

- Exercises:

- Show that

$$(A \otimes B)^* = A^* \otimes B^*; (A \otimes B)^T = A^T \otimes B^T; (A \otimes B)^\dagger = A^\dagger \otimes B^\dagger.$$

- Show that the tensor product of two unitary operators is unitary.
- Show that the tensor product of two Hermitian operators is Hermitian.
- Show that the tensor product of two positive operators is positive.
- Show that the tensor product of two projectors is a projector.

- One can define matrix functions on normal matrices by using the following construction: Let  $A = \sum_a a |a\rangle \langle a|$  be a spectral decomposition for a normal operator  $A$ . We define:

$$f(A) = \sum_a f(a) |a\rangle \langle a|$$

- Exercise: Show that  $\exp(\theta Z) = \begin{bmatrix} e^\theta & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\theta} \end{bmatrix}$ .
- Exercise: Find the square root of the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ .

- The postulates of quantum mechanics were derived after a long process of trial and error.

### Postulate 1 (State space)

Associated to any isolated physical system is a complex vector space with inner product (Hilbert space) known as the *state space* of the system. The system is completely described by its *state vector*, which is a unit vector in the system's state space.

### Postulate 1 (State space)

Associated to any isolated physical system is a complex vector space with inner product (Hilbert space) known as the *state space* of the system. The system is completely described by its *state vector*, which is a unit vector in the system's state space.

- Determining the state space of real systems may be complicated and beyond the scope of our discussion.
- We start with a simplest quantum mechanical system (a qubit) that has a two-dimensional state space with  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  being the orthonormal basis. This system is described by a state vector  $|\psi\rangle$  where  $\langle\psi|\psi\rangle = 1$ .

### Postulate 1 (State space)

Associated to any isolated physical system is a complex vector space with inner product (Hilbert space) known as the *state space* of the system. The system is completely described by its *state vector*, which is a unit vector in the system's state space.

- Determining the state space of real systems may be complicated and beyond the scope of our discussion.
- We start with a simplest quantum mechanical system (a qubit) that has a two-dimensional state space with  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  being the orthonormal basis. This system is described by a state vector  $|\psi\rangle$  where  $\langle\psi|\psi\rangle = 1$ .



### Postulate 2 (Evolution)

The evolution of a *closed* quantum system is described by a *unitary transformation*. That is, the state  $|\psi\rangle$  of the system at time  $t_1$  is related to the state  $|\psi'\rangle$  of the system at time  $t_2$  by a unitary operator  $U$  which only depends on the times  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ ,  $|\psi'\rangle = U|\psi\rangle$ .

- Doesn't **applying a unitary** gate contradict with the system being closed?

### Postulate 3 (Measurement)

Quantum measurements are described by a collection  $\{M_m\}$  of *measurement operators*. These are operators acting on the state space of the system being measured. The following properties hold:

- The index  $m$  refers to the measurement outcomes that may occur in the experiment.
- If the state of the system is  $|\psi\rangle$  immediately before the measurement, then the probability that the result  $m$  occurs is given by

$$p(m) = \langle \psi | M_m^\dagger M_m | \psi \rangle,$$

and the state of the system after the measurement is given by

$$\frac{M_m |\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \psi | M_m^\dagger M_m | \psi \rangle}}$$

- The measurement operators satisfy the *completeness equation*,

$$\sum_m M_m^\dagger M_m = I$$

### Postulate 3 (Measurement)

Quantum measurements are described by a collection  $\{M_m\}$  of *measurement operators*. These are operators acting on the state space of the system being measured. The following properties hold:

- The index  $m$  refers to the measurement outcomes that may occur in the experiment.
  - If the state of the system is  $|\psi\rangle$  immediately before the measurement, then the probability that the result  $m$  occurs is given by  $p(m) = \langle\psi|M_m^\dagger M_m|\psi\rangle$ , and the state of the system after the measurement is given by  $\frac{M_m|\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle\psi|M_m^\dagger M_m|\psi\rangle}}$
  - The measurement operators satisfy the *completeness equation*,  $\sum_m M_m^\dagger M_m = I$ .
- Exercise: Show that  $\sum_m p(m) = 1$ .

### Postulate 3 (Measurement)

Quantum measurements are described by a collection  $\{M_m\}$  of *measurement operators*. These are operators acting on the state space of the system being measured. The following properties hold:

- The index  $m$  refers to the measurement outcomes that may occur in the experiment.
  - If the state of the system is  $|\psi\rangle$  immediately before the measurement, then the probability that the result  $m$  occurs is given by  $p(m) = \langle\psi| M_m^\dagger M_m |\psi\rangle$ , and the state of the system after the measurement is given by  $\frac{M_m|\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle\psi| M_m^\dagger M_m |\psi\rangle}}$
  - The measurement operators satisfy the *completeness equation*,  $\sum_m M_m^\dagger M_m = I$ .
- 
- Exercise: Consider a single-qubit scenario with measurement operators  $M_0 = |0\rangle\langle 0|$  and  $M_1 = |1\rangle\langle 1|$ . Compare the above properties with what we did in earlier lectures.

### Postulate 3 (Measurement)

Quantum measurements are described by a collection  $\{M_m\}$  of *measurement operators*. These are operators acting on the state space of the system being measured. The following properties hold:

- The index  $m$  refers to the measurement outcomes that may occur in the experiment.
- If the state of the system is  $|\psi\rangle$  immediately before the measurement, then the probability that the result  $m$  occurs is given by  $p(m) = \langle\psi|M_m^\dagger M_m|\psi\rangle$ , and the state of the system after the measurement is given by  $\frac{M_m|\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle\psi|M_m^\dagger M_m|\psi\rangle}}$
- The measurement operators satisfy the *completeness equation*,  $\sum_m M_m^\dagger M_m = I$ .
- Cascaded measurements: Suppose  $\{L_l\}$  and  $\{M_m\}$  are two sets of measurement operators. Show that a measurement defined by the measurement operators  $\{L_l\}$  followed by  $\{M_m\}$  is physically equivalent to a single measurement defined by the measurement operators  $\{N_{lm}\}$  where  $N_{lm} = M_m L_l$ .

- We hinted earlier that distinguishing non-orthogonal states may not be possible. Now that we understand measurements, let us try to formulate and prove.
- The ability to distinguish quantum states can be formalised as the following game between two parties:

### Distinguishing quantum states

Alice chooses a state  $|\psi_i\rangle$  from a fixed set of states  $|\psi_1\rangle, \dots, |\psi_n\rangle$  (known to both Alice and Bob) and gives this state to Bob whose task is to identify  $i$ .

- Claim 1: There is a winning strategy for Bob if  $|\psi_1\rangle, \dots, |\psi_n\rangle$  are orthonormal states.
- Claim 2: There is no winning strategy for Bob if there are non-orthogonal states.

### Distinguishing quantum states

Alice chooses a state  $|\psi_i\rangle$  from a fixed set of states  $|\psi_1\rangle, \dots, |\psi_n\rangle$  (known to both Alice and Bob) and gives this state to Bob whose task is to identify  $i$ .

- Claim 1: There is a winning strategy for Bob if  $|\psi_1\rangle, \dots, |\psi_n\rangle$  are orthonormal states.
  - Define measurement operators  $M_i = |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i|$ .
  - Define  $M_0 = \sqrt{I - \sum_{i=1}^n M_i}$ . Note that since  $I - \sum_{i=1}^n M_i$  is a positive operator, square root is well defined.
  - Claim 1.1:  $M_0, M_1, \dots, M_n$  satisfy completeness relation.
  - Claim 1.2: Given state  $|\psi_i\rangle$ ,  $p(i) = 1$ .

### Distinguishing quantum states

Alice chooses a state  $|\psi_i\rangle$  from a fixed set of states  $|\psi_1\rangle, \dots, |\psi_n\rangle$  (known to both Alice and Bob) and gives this state to Bob whose task is to identify  $i$ .

- Claim 2: There is no winning strategy for Bob if there are non-orthogonal states.

### Proof sketch

- Assume  $n = 2$  and let  $|\psi_1\rangle$  and  $|\psi_2\rangle$  be non-orthogonal.
- The most general strategy for Bob is to measure using operators  $\{M_m\}$  and use a function  $f : \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, 2\}$  to return an answer to Alice. Suppose for the sake of contradiction, there exists such a winning strategy for Bob.
- Let  $E_i = \sum_{j:f(j)=i} M_j^\dagger M_j$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .
- Since this is a winning strategy for Bob, we have:

$$\langle \psi_1 | E_1 | \psi_1 \rangle = 1; \langle \psi_2 | E_2 | \psi_2 \rangle = 1$$



# Quantum Mechanics

## Postulates

### Distinguishing quantum states

Alice chooses a state  $|\psi_i\rangle$  from a fixed set of states  $|\psi_1\rangle, \dots, |\psi_n\rangle$  (known to both Alice and Bob) and gives this state to Bob whose task is to identify  $i$ .

- Claim 2: There is no winning strategy for Bob if there are non-orthogonal states.

### Proof sketch

- Assume  $n = 2$  and let  $|\psi_1\rangle$  and  $|\psi_2\rangle$  be non-orthogonal.
- The most general strategy for Bob is to measure using operators  $\{M_m\}$  and use a function  $f : \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, 2\}$  to return an answer to Alice. Suppose for the sake of contradiction, there exists such a winning strategy for Bob.
- Let  $E_i = \sum_{j:f(j)=i} M_j^\dagger M_j$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .
- Since this is a winning strategy for Bob, we have:  
 $\langle \psi_1 | E_1 | \psi_1 \rangle = 1$ ;  $\langle \psi_2 | E_2 | \psi_2 \rangle = 1$
- Claim 2.1:  $\sqrt{E_2} |\psi_1\rangle = 0$

# Quantum Mechanics

## Postulates

### Distinguishing quantum states

Alice chooses a state  $|\psi_i\rangle$  from a fixed set of states  $|\psi_1\rangle, \dots, |\psi_n\rangle$  (known to both Alice and Bob) and gives this state to Bob whose task is to identify  $i$ .

- Claim 2: There is no winning strategy for Bob if there are non-orthogonal states.

### Proof sketch

- Assume  $n = 2$  and let  $|\psi_1\rangle$  and  $|\psi_2\rangle$  be non-orthogonal.
- The most general strategy for Bob is to measure using operators  $\{M_m\}$  and use a function  $f : \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, 2\}$  to return an answer to Alice. Suppose for the sake of contradiction, there exists such a winning strategy for Bob.
- Let  $E_i = \sum_{j:f(j)=i} M_j^\dagger M_j$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .
- Since this is a winning strategy for Bob, we have:  
 $\langle \psi_1 | E_1 | \psi_1 \rangle = 1$ ;  $\langle \psi_2 | E_2 | \psi_2 \rangle = 1$
- Claim 2.1:  $\sqrt{E_2} |\psi_1\rangle = 0$
- Claim 2.2: Decompose  $|\psi_2\rangle = \alpha |\psi_1\rangle + \beta |\phi\rangle$ , where  $|\phi\rangle$  is orthonormal to  $|\psi_1\rangle$ . Then  $|\beta| < 1$ .

# Quantum Mechanics

## Postulates

### Distinguishing quantum states

Alice chooses a state  $|\psi_i\rangle$  from a fixed set of states  $|\psi_1\rangle, \dots, |\psi_n\rangle$  (known to both Alice and Bob) and gives this state to Bob whose task is to identify  $i$ .

- Claim 2: There is no winning strategy for Bob if there are non-orthogonal states.

### Proof sketch

- Assume  $n = 2$  and let  $|\psi_1\rangle$  and  $|\psi_2\rangle$  be non-orthogonal.
- The most general strategy for Bob is to measure using operators  $\{M_m\}$  and use a function  $f : \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, 2\}$  to return an answer to Alice. Suppose for the sake of contradiction, there exists such a winning strategy for Bob.
- Let  $E_i = \sum_{j:f(j)=i} M_j^\dagger M_j$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .
- Since this is a winning strategy for Bob, we have:  
 $\langle \psi_1 | E_1 | \psi_1 \rangle = 1; \langle \psi_2 | E_2 | \psi_2 \rangle = 1$
- Claim 2.1:  $\sqrt{E_2} |\psi_1\rangle = 0$
- Claim 2.2: Decompose  $|\psi_2\rangle = \alpha |\psi_1\rangle + \beta |\phi\rangle$ , where  $|\phi\rangle$  is orthonormal to  $|\psi_1\rangle$ . Then  $|\beta| < 1$ .
- Claim 2.3:  $\langle \psi_2 | E_2 | \psi_2 \rangle = |\beta|^2 \langle \phi | E_2 | \phi \rangle \leq |\beta|^2 < 1$ .
- The above contradicts with the fourth bullet item.

End