

COL202: Discrete Mathematical Structures

Ragesh Jaiswal, CSE, IIT Delhi

Algorithms

- Algorithm (informal): A step-by-step procedure for performing some task.

- Algorithm (informal): A step-by-step procedure for performing some task.

Definition (Algorithm)

An algorithm is a finite sequence of precise instructions for performing a computation or for solving a problem.

- Question: Are there problems that cannot be solved by any algorithm?

- How do we describe an algorithm?
 - Algorithms are platform independent and so should be their description.
 - This allows us to focus on the main ideas rather than spend time parsing the programming language specific syntax and the implementation details.

- How do we describe an algorithm?
 - Algorithms are platform independent and so should be their description.
 - This allows us to focus on the main ideas rather than spend time parsing the programming language specific syntax and the implementation details.
 - A concise way of describing algorithm is **pseudocode**.
 - Pseudocode is not an actual code.
 - It consists of:
 - high-level programming constructs (if-then, for etc.) +
 - natural language.

- How do we describe an algorithm?
 - Algorithms are platform independent and so should be their description.
 - This allows us to focus on the main ideas rather than spend time parsing the programming language specific syntax and the implementation details.
 - A concise way of describing algorithm is **pseudocode**.
 - Pseudocode is not an actual code.
 - It consists of:
high-level programming constructs (if-then, for etc.) + natural language.

Algorithm

FindMin(A, n)

- $min \leftarrow A[1]$
- **for** $i = 2$ to n
 - **if** ($A[i] < min$)
 - $min \leftarrow A[i]$
- **return**(min)

- How do we describe an algorithm?
 - Algorithms are platform independent and so should be their description.
 - This allows us to focus on the main ideas rather than spend time parsing the programming language specific syntax and the implementation details.
 - A concise way of describing algorithm is **pseudocode**.
 - Pseudocode is not an actual code.
 - It consists of:
high-level programming constructs (if-then, for etc.) + natural language.

Algorithm

```
FindMin( $A, n$ )  
-  $min \leftarrow A[1]$   
- for  $i = 2$  to  $n$   
  - if  $A[i]$  is smaller than  $min$   
    -  $min \leftarrow A[i]$   
- return( $min$ )
```


- How do we describe an algorithm?
 - Using a **pseudocode**.
- What are the desirable features of an algorithm?

- How do we describe an algorithm?
 - Using a **pseudocode**.
- What are the desirable features of an algorithm?
 - It should be correct.
 - It should run fast.
 - It should take small amount of space (RAM).
 - It should consume small amount of power.
 - ⋮

- How do we describe an algorithm?
 - Using a **pseudocode**.
- What are the desirable features of an algorithm?
 - 1 **It should be correct.**
 - 2 It should run fast.
- How do we argue that an algorithm is correct?

- How do we argue that an algorithm is correct?
 - **Proof of correctness:** An argument that the algorithm works correctly for **all** inputs.
 - Proof: A valid argument that establishes the truth of a mathematical statement.
- Consider the following algorithm that is supposed to output the sum of elements of an integer array of size n .

Algorithm

FindSum(A, n)

- $sum \leftarrow 0$
- for $i = 1$ to n
 - $sum \leftarrow sum + A[i]$
- return(sum)

Introduction

- How do we argue that an algorithm is correct?
 - **Proof of correctness:** An argument that the algorithm works correctly for **all** inputs.
 - Proof: A valid argument that establishes the truth of a mathematical statement.
- Consider the following algorithm that is supposed to output the sum of elements of an integer array of size n .

Algorithm

FindSum(A, n)

- $sum \leftarrow 0$
- for $i = 1$ to n
 - $sum \leftarrow sum + A[i]$
- return(sum)

- To prove the algorithm correct, let us define the following **loop-invariant**:
 $P(i)$: At the end of the i^{th} iteration, the variable sum contains the sum of first i elements of the array A .

Introduction

- How do we argue that an algorithm is correct?
 - **Proof of correctness:** An argument that the algorithm works correctly for **all** inputs.
 - Proof: A valid argument that establishes the truth of a mathematical statement.
- Consider the following algorithm that is supposed to output the sum of elements of an integer array of size n .

Algorithm

FindSum(A, n)

- $sum \leftarrow 0$
- for $i = 1$ to n
 - $sum \leftarrow sum + A[i]$
- return(sum)

- To prove the algorithm correct, let us define the following **loop-invariant**:
 $P(i)$: At the end of the i^{th} iteration, the variable sum contains the sum of first i elements of the array A .
- How do we prove statements of the form $\forall i, P(i)$?

Introduction

- How do we argue that an algorithm is correct?
 - **Proof of correctness:** An argument that the algorithm works correctly for **all** inputs.
 - Proof: A valid argument that establishes the truth of a mathematical statement.
- Consider the following algorithm that is supposed to output the sum of elements of an integer array of size n .

Algorithm

FindSum(A, n)

- $sum \leftarrow 0$
- for $i = 1$ to n
 - $sum \leftarrow sum + A[i]$
- return(sum)

- To prove the algorithm correct, let us define the following **loop-invariant**:
 $P(i)$: At the end of the i^{th} iteration, the variable sum contains the sum of first i elements of the array A .
- How do we prove statements of the form $\forall i, P(i)$? **Induction**

- Proof: A valid argument that establishes the truth of a mathematical statement.
 - The statements used in a proof can include axioms, definitions, the premises, if any, of the theorem, and previously proven theorems and uses rules of inference to draw conclusions.
- A proof technique very commonly used when proving correctness of Algorithms is *Mathematical Induction*.

Definition (Strong Induction)

To prove that $P(n)$ is true for all positive integers, where $P(\cdot)$ is a propositional function, we complete two steps:

- Basis step: We show that $P(1)$ is true.
- Inductive step: We show that for all k , if $P(1), P(2), \dots, P(k)$ are true, then $P(k + 1)$ is true.

Definition (Strong Induction)

To prove that $P(n)$ is true for all positive integers, where $P(\cdot)$ is a propositional function, we complete two steps:

- Basis step: We show that $P(1)$ is true.
- Inductive step: We show that for all k , if $P(1), P(2), \dots, P(k)$ are true, then $P(k + 1)$ is true.
- Question: Show that for all $n > 0$, $1 + 3 + \dots + (2n - 1) = n^2$.

Introduction

- Question: Show that for all $n > 0$, $1 + 3 + \dots + (2n - 1) = n^2$.

Proof

- Let $P(n)$ be the proposition that $1 + 3 + 5 + \dots + (2n - 1)$ equals n^2 .
- Basis step: $P(1)$ is true since the summation consists of only a single term 1 and $1^2 = 1$.
- Inductive step: Assume that $P(1), P(2), \dots, P(k)$ are true for any arbitrary integer k . Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}1 + 3 + \dots + (2(k + 1) - 1) &= 1 + 3 + \dots + (2k - 1) + (2k + 1) \\ &= k^2 + 2k + 1 \quad (\text{since } P(k) \text{ is true}) \\ &= (k + 1)^2\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $P(k + 1)$ is true.

- Using the principle of Induction, we conclude that $P(n)$ is true for all $n > 0$. □

- How do we describe an algorithm?
 - Using a **pseudocode**.
- What are the desirable features of an algorithm?
 - 1 It should be correct.
 - We use **proof of correctness** to argue correctness.
 - 2 **It should run fast.**

- How do we describe an algorithm?
 - Using a **pseudocode**.
- What are the desirable features of an algorithm?
 - 1 It should be correct.
 - We use **proof of correctness** to argue correctness.
 - 2 **It should run fast.**
- Given two algorithms A1 and A2 for a problem, how do we decide which one runs faster?

- Given two algorithms A1 and A2 for a problem, how do we decide which one runs faster?
 - Idea#1: Implement them on some platform, run and check.

- Given two algorithms A1 and A2 for a problem, how do we decide which one runs faster?
 - Idea#1: Implement them on some platform, run and check.
 - The speed of programs P1 (implementation of A1) and P2 (implementation of A2) may depend on various factors:
 - Input
 - Hardware platform
 - Software platform
 - Quality of the underlying algorithm

- Idea#1: Implement them on some platform, run and check.
- Let P1 denote implementation of A1 and P2 denote implementation of A2.
- Issues with Idea#1:
 - If P1 and P2 are run on different platforms, then the performance results are incomparable.
 - Even if P1 and P2 are run on the same platform, it does not tell us how A1 and A2 compare on some other platform.
 - There might be infinitely many inputs to compare the performance on.
 - Extra burden of implementing *both* algorithms where what we wanted was to first figure out which one is better and then implement just that one.
- So, what we need is a platform independent way of comparing algorithms.

- Given two algorithms A1 and A2 for a problem, how do we decide which one runs faster?
- What we need is a platform independent way of comparing algorithms.
- Solution:
 - Any algorithm is expressed in terms of **basic** operations such as *assignment, method call, arithmetic, comparison*.
 - For a fixed input, we will count the number of these basic operations in our algorithm. Suppose the number of these operations is b .
 - We will assume that the amount of time required to execute these basic operations is at most some constant T which is independent of the input size.
 - The running time of the algorithm will be at most $(b \cdot T)$.

Introduction

- Given two algorithms A1 and A2 for a problem, how do we decide which one runs faster?
- What we need is a platform independent way of comparing algorithms.
- Solution:
 - Any algorithm is expressed in terms of **basic** operations such as *assignment, method call, arithmetic, comparison*.
 - For a fixed input, we will count the number of these basic operations in our algorithm. Suppose the number of these operations is b .
 - We will assume that the amount of time required to execute these basic operations is at most some constant T which is independent of the input size.
 - The running time of the algorithm will be at most $(b \cdot T)$.
 - **But, what about other inputs?** We are interested in measuring the performance of an algorithm and not performance of an algorithm on a given input.

Introduction

- Given two algorithms A1 and A2 for a problem, how do we decide which one runs faster?
- What we need is a platform independent way of comparing algorithms.
- Solution: Count the number of basic operations.
 - How do we measure performance for **all** inputs?

Example

FindPositiveSum(A, n)

- $sum \leftarrow 0$
- For $i = 1$ to n
 - if ($A[i] > 0$) $sum \leftarrow sum + A[i]$
- return(sum)

- Note that the number of operations grow with the array size n .

Introduction

- Given two algorithms A1 and A2 for a problem, how do we decide which one runs faster?
- What we need is a platform independent way of comparing algorithms.
- Solution: Count the number of basic operations.
 - How do we measure performance for **all** inputs?

Example

FindPositiveSum(A, n)

- $sum \leftarrow 0$
- For $i = 1$ to n
 - if ($A[i] > 0$) $sum \leftarrow sum + A[i]$
- return(sum)

- Note that the number of operations grow with the array size n .
- Even for all arrays of a fixed size n , the number of operations may vary depending on the numbers present in the array.

End