# COL866: Foundations of Data Science

Ragesh Jaiswal, IITD

Ragesh Jaiswal, IITD COL866: Foundations of Data Science

< ≣ ▶

Spectral Graph Theory: Eigenvalues and graph properties

# Spectral Graph Theory Basic results

- We shall work with *d*-regular undirected graphs.
- It will be convenient to work with the matrix  $L = I \frac{1}{d}A$  instead of the adjacency matrix A.
- The matrix *L* defined above is called the Normalized Laplacian Matrix of the graph.
- We prove the following basic results of spectral graph theory.

### Theorem

Let G be a d-regular undirected graph, and  $L = I - \frac{1}{d}A$  be its normalized Laplacian matrix. Let  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq ... \leq \lambda_n$  be the real eigenvalues of L with multiplicities. Then

$$1 \lambda_1 = 0 \text{ and } \lambda_n \leq 2.$$

- **2**  $\lambda_k = 0$  if and only if G has at least k connected components.
- λ<sub>n</sub> = 2 if and only if at least one of the connected components of G is bipartite.

- - E - - E -

- Given a *d*-regular undirected graph with normalised graph laplacian  $L = I - \frac{1}{d}A$  having eigenvalues  $0 = \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le ... \le \lambda_n \le 2.$
- We know that the second eigenvalue  $\lambda_2 = 0$  if and only if G has at least two connected components.
- In other words, the second eigenvalue  $\lambda_2 = 0$  if and only if  $\phi(G) = 0$ .
- We will prove an *approximate* version of this result that says that  $\lambda_2$  is small if and only if  $\phi(G)$  is small.

### Theorem (Cheeger's Inequality)

 $\frac{\lambda_2}{2} \leq \phi(G) \leq \sqrt{2 \cdot \lambda_2}.$ 

ゆ ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

### Theorem (Cheeger's Inequality)

 $\frac{\lambda_2}{2} \leq \phi(G) \leq \sqrt{2 \cdot \lambda_2}.$ 

• First we will prove the following direction.

# Lemma $\lambda_2 \leq \sigma(G) \leq 2\phi(G).$

伺 とう ほう とう とう

### Lemma

$$\lambda_2 \leq \sigma(G) \leq 2\phi(G).$$

### Proof sketch

• We can write:

$$\sigma(G) = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^n - \{0,1\}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} |\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v|}{\frac{d}{n} \sum_{\{u,v\}} |\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v|}$$
  
= 
$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^n - \{0,1\}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}{\frac{d}{n} \sum_{\{u,v\}} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}$$

▶ ★ 臣 ▶ ★ 臣 ▶

Э

### Lemma

$$\lambda_2 \leq \sigma(G) \leq 2\phi(G).$$

### Proof sketch

• We can write:

$$\sigma(G) = \min_{x \in \{0,1\}^n - \{0,1\}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}{\frac{d}{n} \sum_{\{u,v\}} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}$$

Also, we have

$$\lambda_2 = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{\mathbf{0}\}, \mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{1}} \frac{\sum_{\{u, v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}{d \cdot \sum_v \mathbf{x}_v^2}$$

直 ト イヨト イヨト

3

### Lemma

$$\lambda_2 \leq \sigma(G) \leq 2\phi(G).$$

### Proof sketch

• We can write:

$$\sigma(G) = \min_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \{0,1\}^n - \{0,1\}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}{\frac{d}{n} \sum_{\{u,v\}} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}$$

Also, we have

$$\lambda_{2} = \min_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} - \{\mathbf{0}\}, \mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{1}}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_{u} - \mathbf{x}_{v})^{2}}{d \cdot \sum_{v} \mathbf{x}_{v}^{2}}$$
  
$$\stackrel{?}{=} \min_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} - \{\mathbf{0}\}, \mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{1}}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_{u} - \mathbf{x}_{v})^{2}}{\frac{d}{n} \cdot \sum_{\{u,v\}} (\mathbf{x}_{u} - \mathbf{x}_{v})^{2}}$$

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

E

#### Lemma

 $\lambda_2 \leq \sigma(G) \leq 2\phi(G).$ 

### Proof sketch

• We can write:

$$\sigma(G) = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^n - \{0,1\}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}{\frac{d}{n} \sum_{\{u,v\}} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{split} \Lambda_2 &= \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{\mathbf{0}\}, \mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{1}} \frac{\sum_{\{u, v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}{d \cdot \sum_v \mathbf{x}_v^2} \\ &= \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{\mathbf{0}\}, \mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{1}} \frac{\sum_{\{u, v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}{\frac{d}{n} \cdot \sum_{\{u, v\}} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2} \\ &\stackrel{?}{=} \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}} \frac{\sum_{\{u, v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}{\frac{d}{n} \cdot \sum_{\{u, v\}} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2} \end{split}$$

#### Lemma

 $\lambda_2 \leq \sigma(G) \leq 2\phi(G).$ 

### Proof sketch

• We can write:

$$\sigma(G) = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^n - \{\mathbf{0},1\}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}{\frac{d}{n} \sum_{\{u,v\}} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}$$

• Also, we have

$$\lambda_{2} = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} - \{\mathbf{0}\}, \mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{1}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_{u} - \mathbf{x}_{v})^{2}}{d \cdot \sum_{v} \mathbf{x}_{v}^{2}}$$
$$= \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} - \{\mathbf{0}\}, \mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{1}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_{u} - \mathbf{x}_{v})^{2}}{\frac{d}{n} \cdot \sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_{u} - \mathbf{x}_{v})^{2}}$$
$$= \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} - \{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1}\}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_{u} - \mathbf{x}_{v})^{2}}{\frac{d}{n} \cdot \sum_{\{u,v\}} (\mathbf{x}_{u} - \mathbf{x}_{v})^{2}}$$

Theorem (Cheeger's Inequality)	
$rac{\lambda_2}{2} \leq \phi(\mathcal{G}) \leq \sqrt{2 \cdot \lambda_2}.$	

• First we will prove the following direction.



• Now, we will prove the other direction.



• We prove the above statement using a constructive argument. That is, we will give an algorithm that outputs a cut S in the given graph G such that  $\phi(S) \leq \sqrt{2 \cdot \lambda_2}$ .

### Lemma

$$\phi(G) \leq \sqrt{2 \cdot \lambda_2}.$$

### Spectral Partitioning Algorithm

SpectralPartitioning( $G, \mathbf{x}$ )

- Sort the vertices of G in non-increasing order of value of the vector **x**. That is,  $\mathbf{x}_{v_1} \leq \mathbf{x}_{v_2} \leq ... \leq \mathbf{x}_{v_n}$ .
- Let  $i \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$  that minimises  $\max \{\phi(\{v_1, ..., v_i\}), \phi(\{v_{i+1,...,v_n}\})\}$

- Output 
$$S = \{v_1, ..., v_i\}$$

• What is the running time of the above algorithm?

### Lemma

$$\phi(G) \leq \sqrt{2 \cdot \lambda_2}.$$

### Spectral Partitioning Algorithm

SpectralPartitioning( $G, \mathbf{x}$ )

- Sort the vertices of G in non-increasing order of value of the vector **x**. That is,  $\mathbf{x}_{v_1} \leq \mathbf{x}_{v_2} \leq ... \leq \mathbf{x}_{v_n}$ .
- Let  $i \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$  that minimises  $\max \{\phi(\{v_1, ..., v_i\}), \phi(\{v_{i+1,...,v_n}\})\}$

- Output 
$$S = \{v_1, ..., v_i\}$$

• What is the running time of the above algorithm?  $O(|V| \log |V| + |E|)$ 

#### Lemma

 $\phi(G) \leq \sqrt{2 \cdot \lambda_2}.$ 

### Spectral Partitioning Algorithm

SpectralPartitioning( $G, \mathbf{x}$ )

- Sort the vertices of G in non-increasing order of value of the vector x. That is,  $\mathbf{x}_{v_1} \leq \mathbf{x}_{v_2} \leq ... \leq \mathbf{x}_{v_n}$ .
- Let  $i \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$  that minimises max  $\{\phi(\{v_1, ..., v_i\}), \phi(\{v_{i+1,...,v_n}\})\}$

- Output 
$$S = \{v_1, ..., v_i\}$$

#### Lemma

Let G=(V,E) be a d-regular graph,  $x\in \mathbb{R}^{|V|}$  be a vector such that  $x\bot 1.$  Let

$$R(\mathbf{x}) \stackrel{def.}{=} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\}\in E} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}{d \cdot \sum_v \mathbf{x}_v^2}$$

and let S be the output of SpectralPartitioning(G, x). Then  $\phi(S) \leq \sqrt{2 \cdot R(x)}$ .

#### Lemma

 $\phi(G) \leq \sqrt{2 \cdot \lambda_2}.$ 

#### Spectral Partitioning Algorithm

SpectralPartitioning( $G, \mathbf{x}$ )

- Sort the vertices of G in non-increasing order of value of the vector **x**. That is,  $\mathbf{x}_{v_1} \leq \mathbf{x}_{v_2} \leq ... \leq \mathbf{x}_{v_n}$ .
- Let  $i \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$  that minimises max  $\{\phi(\{v_1, ..., v_i\}), \phi(\{v_{i+1,...,v_n}\})\}$
- Output  $S = \{v_1, ..., v_i\}$

#### Lemma

Let G = (V, E) be a d-regular graph,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{|V|}$  be a vector such that  $\mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{1}$ . Let

$$R(\mathbf{x}) \stackrel{def.}{=} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\}\in E} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)}{d \cdot \sum_v \mathbf{x}_v^2}$$

and let S be the output of SpectralPartitioning(G,x). Then  $\phi(S) \leq \sqrt{2 \cdot R(x)}$ .

- <u>Claim</u>: Let **x** be an eigenvector of  $\lambda_2$ . Then  $R(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda_2$ .
- This implies that  $\phi(S) \leq \sqrt{2 \cdot \lambda_2}$ .

同下 イヨト イヨト

#### Spectral Partitioning Algorithm

SpectralPartitioning( $G, \mathbf{x}$ )

- Sort the vertices of G in non-increasing order of value of the vector **x**. That is,  $\mathbf{x}_{v_1} \leq \mathbf{x}_{v_2} \leq ... \leq \mathbf{x}_{v_n}$ .
- Let  $i \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$  that minimises max  $\{\phi(\{v_1, ..., v_i\}), \phi(\{v_{i+1,...,v_n}\})\}$
- Output  $S = \{v_1, ..., v_i\}$

#### Lemma

Let G = (V, E) be a d-regular graph,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{|V|}$  be a vector such that  $\mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{1}$ . Let

$$R(\mathbf{x}) \stackrel{def.}{=} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\}\in E} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}{d \cdot \sum_v \mathbf{x}_v^2}$$

and let S be the output of SpectralPartitioning(G,x). Then  $\phi(S) \leq \sqrt{2 \cdot R(\mathbf{x})}$ .

- <u>Claim</u>: Let **x** be an eigenvector of  $\lambda_2$ . Then  $R(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda_2$ .
- This implies that  $\phi(S) \leq \sqrt{2 \cdot \lambda_2}$ .
- Note that the partitioning algorithm can be thought of as an approximation algorithm for finding the cut with smallest edge expansion.

ゆ ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

### Spectral Partitioning Algorithm

SpectralPartitioning(G, x)

- Sort the vertices of G in non-increasing order of value of the vector **x**. That is,  $\mathbf{x}_{v_1} \leq \mathbf{x}_{v_2} \leq ... \leq \mathbf{x}_{v_n}$ .

- Let  $i \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$  that minimises  $\max \{\phi(\{v_1, ..., v_i\}), \phi(\{v_{i+1,...,v_n}\})\}$
- Output  $S = \{v_1, ..., v_i\}$

#### Lemma

Let G = (V, E) be a d-regular graph,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{|V|}$  be a vector such that  $\mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{1}$ . Let

$$R(\mathbf{x}) \stackrel{def.}{=} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\}\in E} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}{d \cdot \sum_v \mathbf{x}_v^2}$$

and let S be the output of SpectralPartitioning(G,x). Then  $\phi(S) \leq \sqrt{2 \cdot R(x)}$ .

• We would prove that there exists an  $i \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$  s.t.  $\phi(\{1, ..., i\}) \leq \sqrt{2R(\mathbf{x})}$  and  $\phi(\{i+1, ..., n-1\}) \leq \sqrt{2R(\mathbf{x})}$ .

#### Spectral Partitioning Algorithm

 $SpectralPartitioning(G, \mathbf{x})$ 

- Sort the vertices of G in non-increasing order of value of the vector **x**. That is,  $\mathbf{x}_{v_1} \leq \mathbf{x}_{v_2} \leq ... \leq \mathbf{x}_{v_n}$ .
- Let  $i \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$  that minimises max { $\phi(\{v_1, ..., v_i\}), \phi(\{v_{i+1,..., v_n}\})$ } - Output  $S = \{v_1, ..., v_i\}$

#### Lemma

$$R(\mathbf{x}) \stackrel{def.}{=} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\}\in E} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}{d \cdot \sum_v \mathbf{x}_v^2}$$

and let S be the output of SpectralPartitioning(G,x). Then  $\phi(S) \leq \sqrt{2 \cdot R(x)}$ .

- We would prove that there exists an  $i \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$  s.t.  $\phi(\{1, ..., i\}) \leq \sqrt{2R(\mathbf{x})}$  and  $\phi(\{i+1, ..., n-1\}) \leq \sqrt{2R(\mathbf{x})}$ .
- We will show that there is a distribution D over sets S of the form {1,...,i} such that:

$$\frac{\mathbf{E}_{S}[|E(S, V - S)|]}{\mathbf{E}_{S}[d \cdot \min\{|S|, |V - S|\}]} \leq \sqrt{2R(\mathbf{x})}$$

ヨト イヨト イヨト

### Lemma

Let G = (V, E) be a d-regular graph,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{|V|}$  be a vector such that  $\mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{1}$ . Let  $R(\mathbf{x}) \stackrel{def.}{=} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}{d \cdot \sum_v \mathbf{x}_v^2}$ 

and let S be the output of SpectralPartitioning(G, x). Then  $\phi(S) \leq \sqrt{2 \cdot R(\mathbf{x})}$ .

- We would prove that there exists an  $i \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$  s.t.  $\phi(\{1, ..., i\}) \leq \sqrt{2R(\mathbf{x})}$  and  $\phi(\{i+1, ..., n-1\}) \leq \sqrt{2R(\mathbf{x})}$ .
- We will show that there is a distribution *D* over sets *S* of the form  $\{1, ..., i\}$  such that:

$$\frac{\mathsf{E}_{\mathcal{S}}[|E(\mathcal{S}, V - \mathcal{S})|]}{\mathsf{E}_{\mathcal{S}}[d \cdot \min\left\{|\mathcal{S}|, |V - \mathcal{S}|\right\}]} \leq \sqrt{2R(\mathsf{x})}$$

ヨト イヨト イヨト

#### Lemma

Let G = (V, E) be a d-regular graph,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{|V|}$  be a vector such that  $\mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{1}$ . Let  $R(\mathbf{x}) \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}{d \cdot \sum_v \mathbf{x}_v^2}$ and let S be the output of SpectralPartitioning(G,  $\mathbf{x}$ ). Then

 $\phi(S) \leq \sqrt{2 \cdot R(\mathbf{x})}.$ 

• We will show that there is a distribution *D* over sets *S* of the form {1, ..., *i*} such that:

$$\frac{\mathsf{E}_{\mathcal{S}}[|\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}, V - \mathcal{S})|]}{\mathsf{E}_{\mathcal{S}}[d \cdot \min\left\{|\mathcal{S}|, |V - \mathcal{S}|\right\}]} \le \sqrt{2R(\mathsf{x})}$$

- <u>Claim 1</u>: For the remaining proof, it will be safe to assume the following:
  - $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ \ \mathbf{x}_{\lceil n/2\rceil} = 0 \\ \bullet \ \ \mathbf{x}_1^2 + \mathbf{x}_n^2 = 1 \end{array}$

A B + A B +

- We will show that there is a distribution D over sets S of the form {1,...,i} such that E<sub>S</sub>[|E(S,V-S)|] E<sub>S</sub>[d·min {|S|,|V-S|}] ≤ √2R(x).

   Claim 1: For the remaining proof, it will be safe to assume the
- <u>Claim 1</u>: For the remaining proof, it will be safe to assume the following: (1)  $\mathbf{x}_{\lceil n/2 \rceil} = 0$  and (2)  $\mathbf{x}_1^2 + \mathbf{x}_n^2 = 1$ .
- The distribution *D* over sets *S* of the form {1,...*i*} de defined by the following randomized process:

### Random process

- Pick a real value t in the range  $[x_1, x_n]$  with probability density function f(t) = 2|t|. -  $S \leftarrow \{i : x_i \le t\}$ 

╗┝ ┥╘┝ ┥╘┝

- We will show that there is a distribution D over sets S of the form {1,...,i} such that E<sub>S</sub>[|E(S,V-S)|] E<sub>S</sub>[d·min {|S|,|V-S|}] ≤ √2R(x).

   Claim 1: For the remaining proof, it will be safe to assume the
- <u>Claim 1</u>: For the remaining proof, it will be safe to assume the following: (1)  $\mathbf{x}_{\lceil n/2 \rceil} = 0$  and (2)  $\mathbf{x}_1^2 + \mathbf{x}_n^2 = 1$ .
- The distribution *D* over sets *S* of the form {1,...*i*} de defined by the following randomized process:

### Random process

- Pick a real value t in the range  $[\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_n]$  with probability density function f(t) = 2|t|. -  $S \leftarrow \{i : \mathbf{x}_i \leq t\}$ 

• <u>Claim 2</u>:  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{S}}[\min\{|\mathcal{S}|, |\mathcal{V}-\mathcal{S}|\}] = \sum_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{2}$ .

ヨト イヨト イヨト

- We will show that there is a distribution D over sets S of the form {1,...,i} such that E<sub>S</sub>[|E(S,V-S)|] E<sub>S</sub>[d·min {|S|,|V-S|}] ≤ √2R(x).

   Claim 1: For the remaining proof, it will be safe to assume the
- <u>Claim 1</u>: For the remaining proof, it will be safe to assume the following: (1)  $\mathbf{x}_{\lceil n/2 \rceil} = 0$  and (2)  $\mathbf{x}_1^2 + \mathbf{x}_n^2 = 1$ .
- The distribution *D* over sets *S* of the form {1,...*i*} de defined by the following randomized process:

### Random process

- Pick a real value t in the range  $[\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_n]$  with probability density function f(t) = 2|t|. -  $S \leftarrow \{i : \mathbf{x}_i \leq t\}$ 

- <u>Claim 2</u>:  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{S}}[\min\{|\mathcal{S}|, |\mathcal{V}-\mathcal{S}|\}] = \sum_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{2}$ .
- Claim 3:  $\Pr[(i,j) \text{ is cut by } (S, V S)] \leq |\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j| \cdot (|\mathbf{x}_i| + |\mathbf{x}_j|).$

高 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

- We will show that there is a distribution D over sets S of the form  $\{1, ..., i\}$  such that  $\frac{\mathbf{E}_{S}[E(S, V-S)]}{\mathbf{E}_{S}[d:min][S][V-S]]} \leq \sqrt{2R(\mathbf{x})}$ .
- <u>Claim 1</u>: For the remaining proof, it will be safe to assume the following: (1)  $\mathbf{x}_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} = 0$  and (2)  $\mathbf{x}_1^2 + \mathbf{x}_n^2 = 1$ .
- The distribution *D* over sets *S* of the form  $\{1, ...i\}$  de defined by the following randomized process:

#### Random process

- Pick a real value t in the range  $[\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_n]$  with probability density function f(t) = 2|t|. -  $S \leftarrow \{i : \mathbf{x}_i \le t\}$ 

- Claim 2:  $E_{S}[\min \{|S|, |V S|\}] = \sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}$ . • Claim 3:  $Pr[(i, j) \text{ is cut by } (S, V - S)] \le |x_{i} - x_{i}| \cdot (|x_{i}| + |x_{i}|)$ .
- Claim 4: The following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{S}}[|E(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V} - \mathcal{S})|] &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{E}} (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j)^2} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{E}} (|\mathbf{x}_i| + |\mathbf{x}_j|)^2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{\{u, v\} \in \mathcal{E}} (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j)^2} \cdot (2d\sum_i \mathbf{x}_i^2) \end{aligned}$$

伺 ト イヨ ト イヨ トー

- The results that we discussed were for *d*-regular graphs.
- Question: Can we get similar results for irregular graphs?
- Given an undirected graph G = (V, E), let  $d_v$  denote the degree of the vertex v.
- We can define the Rayleigh quotient of a vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{|V|}$  as:

$$R_G(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\}\in E} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}{\sum_v d_v \mathbf{x}_v^2}.$$

- Let D be the diagonal matrix where  $D_{u,v} = 0$  if  $u \neq v$  and  $D_{v,v} = d_v$ .
- The Laplacian of G can be defined as  $L_G = I D^{-\frac{1}{2}}AD^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ .
- Given this, we have

$$\lambda_k = \min_{k-\dim S} \left\{ \max_{\mathbf{x}\in S} \frac{\mathbf{x}^T L_G \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}} \right\}$$

• Setting  $\mathbf{y} = D^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{x}$ , we have:

$$\lambda_k = \min_{k-\dim S'} \left\{ \max_{\mathbf{x} \in S'} \frac{\mathbf{y}^T D^{\frac{1}{2}} L_G D^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{y}^T D \mathbf{y}} \right\}$$

直 ト イヨト イヨト

- The results that we discussed were for *d*-regular graphs.
- Question: Can we get similar results for irregular graphs?
- Given an undirected graph G = (V, E), let d<sub>v</sub> denote the degree of the vertex v.
- We can define the Rayleigh quotient of a vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{|V|}$  as:

$$R_G(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\}\in E} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}{\sum_v d_v \mathbf{x}_v^2}.$$

- Let D be the diagonal matrix where  $D_{u,v} = 0$  if  $u \neq v$  and  $D_{v,v} = d_v$ .
- The Laplacian of G can be defined as  $L_G = I D^{-\frac{1}{2}}AD^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ .
- Given this, we have

$$\lambda_{k} = \min_{k-\dim S} \left\{ \max_{\mathbf{x}\in S} \frac{\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathcal{L}_{G} \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{x}} \right\}$$

• Setting  $\mathbf{y} = D^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{x}$ , we have:

$$\lambda_{k} = \min_{k-\dim S'} \left\{ \max_{\mathbf{x} \in S'} \frac{\mathbf{y}^{T} D^{\frac{1}{2}} L_{G} D^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{y}^{T} D \mathbf{y}} \right\}$$

• Note that  $\mathbf{y}^T D^{\frac{1}{2}} L_G D^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}^T (D - A) \mathbf{y} = \sum_{\{u,v\}} (\mathbf{y}_u - \mathbf{y}_v)^2$ . • So,  $\lambda_k = \min_{k-dim \ S} \{ \max_{\mathbf{y} \in S} R_G(\mathbf{y}) \}$ .

向下 イヨト イヨト

- The results that we discussed were for *d*-regular graphs.
- Question: Can we get similar results for irregular graphs?
- Given an undirected graph G = (V, E), let  $d_v$  denote the degree of the vertex v.
  - The point of showing some of the quantities for irregular graphs was to convince you that the arguments that worked for the Cheeger's inequality for *d*-regular graphs also work for the irregular graphs and we have:

$$rac{\lambda_2}{2} \leq \phi(G) \leq \sqrt{2\lambda_2}.$$

ヨト イヨト イヨト

- The results that we discussed were for *d*-regular graphs.
- Question: Can we get similar results for irregular graphs?
- Given an undirected graph G = (V, E), let  $d_v$  denote the degree of the vertex v.
  - The point of showing some of the quantities for irregular graphs was to convince you that the arguments that worked for the Cheeger's inequality for *d*-regular graphs also work for the irregular graphs and we have:

$$rac{\lambda_2}{2} \leq \phi(G) \leq \sqrt{2\lambda_2}.$$

• Question: Are there higher order versions of the Cheeger's inequality?

 What this could mean is that the graph can be partitioned into at least k clusters iff λ<sub>k</sub> is small.

直 ト イヨト イヨト

- The results that we discussed were for *d*-regular graphs.
- Question: Can we get similar results for irregular graphs?
- Given an undirected graph G = (V, E), let  $d_v$  denote the degree of the vertex v. Yes
- <u>Question</u>: Are there higher order versions of the Cheeger's inequality? Yes
- The proof of Cheeger's inequality gave us an algorithm to output a good cut in the given graph given a second eigenvector of the Laplacian.
- Question: How do we compute a second eigenvector? Can we estimate the second eigenvector? How well does an approximate version of the second eigenvector work with respect to giving a good cut?

ヨト イヨト イヨト

- The proof of Cheeger's inequality gave us an algorithm to output a good cut in the given graph given a second eigenvector of the Laplacian.
- Question: How do we compute a second eigenvector? Can we estimate the second eigenvector? How well does an approximate version of the second eigenvector work with respect to giving a good cut?
  - <u>Theorem</u>: Let **x** be a vector such that  $\mathbf{x}^T L \mathbf{x} \leq (\lambda_2 + \varepsilon) \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}$ , then the spectral partitioning algorithm finds a cut (S, V S) such that  $\phi(S) \leq \sqrt{4\phi(G) + 2\varepsilon}$ .
  - Such an approximate eigenvector can be obtained using the power method.

□ ► < □ ► < □ ►</p>

# End

Ragesh Jaiswal, IITD COL866: Foundations of Data Science

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Ξ