COL866: Foundations of Data Science

Ragesh Jaiswal, IITD

Ragesh Jaiswal, IITD COL866: Foundations of Data Science

< ≣ ▶

Spectral Graph Theory: Eigenvalues and graph properties

Spectral Graph Theory Basic results

- We shall work with *d*-regular undirected graphs.
- It will be convenient to work with the matrix $L = I \frac{1}{d}A$ instead of the adjacency matrix A.
- The matrix *L* defined above is called the Normalized Laplacian Matrix of the graph.
- We prove the following basic results of spectral graph theory.

Theorem

Let G be a d-regular undirected graph, and $L = I - \frac{1}{d}A$ be its normalized Laplacian matrix. Let $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq ... \leq \lambda_n$ be the real eigenvalues of L with multiplicities. Then

$$1 \lambda_1 = 0 \text{ and } \lambda_n \leq 2.$$

- **2** $\lambda_k = 0$ if and only if G has at least k connected components.
- λ_n = 2 if and only if at least one of the connected components of G is bipartite.

- - E - - E -

- Given a *d*-regular undirected graph with normalised graph laplacian $L = I - \frac{1}{d}A$ having eigenvalues $0 = \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le ... \le \lambda_n \le 2$.
- We know that the second eigenvalue $\lambda_2 = 0$ if and only if G has at least two connected components.
- In other words, the second eigenvalue $\lambda_2 = 0$ if and only if $\phi(G) = 0$.
- We will prove an *approximate* version of this result that says that λ_2 is small if and only if $\phi(G)$ is small.

Theorem (Cheeger's Inequality)

 $\frac{\lambda_2}{2} \leq \phi(G) \leq \sqrt{2 \cdot \lambda_2}.$

ゆ ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

Theorem (Cheeger's Inequality)

 $\frac{\lambda_2}{2} \leq \phi(G) \leq \sqrt{2 \cdot \lambda_2}.$

• First we will prove the following direction.

Lemma $\lambda_2 \leq \sigma(G) \leq 2\phi(G).$

伺 と く き と く き とう

Lemma

$$\lambda_2 \leq \sigma(G) \leq 2\phi(G).$$

Proof sketch

• We can write:

$$\sigma(G) = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^n - \{0,1\}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} |\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v|}{\frac{d}{n} \sum_{\{u,v\}} |\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v|}$$

=
$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^n - \{0,1\}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}{\frac{d}{n} \sum_{\{u,v\}} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}$$

▶ ★ 臣 ▶ ★ 臣 ▶

Э

Lemma

$$\lambda_2 \leq \sigma(G) \leq 2\phi(G).$$

Proof sketch

• We can write:

$$\sigma(G) = \min_{x \in \{0,1\}^n - \{0,1\}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}{\frac{d}{n} \sum_{\{u,v\}} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}$$

Also, we have

$$\lambda_2 = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{\mathbf{0}\}, \mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{1}} \frac{\sum_{\{u, v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}{d \cdot \sum_v \mathbf{x}_v^2}$$

直 ト イヨト イヨト

3

Lemma

$$\lambda_2 \leq \sigma(G) \leq 2\phi(G).$$

Proof sketch

• We can write:

$$\sigma(G) = \min_{x \in \{0,1\}^n - \{0,1\}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}{\frac{d}{n} \sum_{\{u,v\}} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}$$

Also, we have

$$\lambda_{2} = \min_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} - \{\mathbf{0}\}, \mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{1}}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_{u} - \mathbf{x}_{v})^{2}}{d \cdot \sum_{v} \mathbf{x}_{v}^{2}}$$

$$\stackrel{?}{=} \min_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} - \{\mathbf{0}\}, \mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{1}}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_{u} - \mathbf{x}_{v})^{2}}{\frac{d}{n} \cdot \sum_{\{u,v\}} (\mathbf{x}_{u} - \mathbf{x}_{v})^{2}}$$

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

E

Lemma

 $\lambda_2 \leq \sigma(G) \leq 2\phi(G).$

Proof sketch

• We can write:

$$\sigma(G) = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^n - \{0,1\}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}{\frac{d}{n} \sum_{\{u,v\}} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{split} \Lambda_2 &= \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{\mathbf{0}\}, \mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{1}} \frac{\sum_{\{u, v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}{d \cdot \sum_v \mathbf{x}_v^2} \\ &= \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{\mathbf{0}\}, \mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{1}} \frac{\sum_{\{u, v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}{\frac{d}{n} \cdot \sum_{\{u, v\}} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2} \\ &\stackrel{?}{=} \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}} \frac{\sum_{\{u, v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}{\frac{d}{n} \cdot \sum_{\{u, v\}} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2} \end{split}$$

Lemma

 $\lambda_2 \leq \sigma(G) \leq 2\phi(G).$

Proof sketch

• We can write:

$$\sigma(G) = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^n - \{\mathbf{0},1\}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}{\frac{d}{n} \sum_{\{u,v\}} (\mathbf{x}_u - \mathbf{x}_v)^2}$$

• Also, we have

$$\lambda_{2} = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} - \{\mathbf{0}\}, \mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{1}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_{u} - \mathbf{x}_{v})^{2}}{d \cdot \sum_{v} \mathbf{x}_{v}^{2}}$$
$$= \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} - \{\mathbf{0}\}, \mathbf{x} \perp \mathbf{1}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_{u} - \mathbf{x}_{v})^{2}}{\frac{d}{n} \cdot \sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_{u} - \mathbf{x}_{v})^{2}}$$
$$= \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} - \{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1}\}} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (\mathbf{x}_{u} - \mathbf{x}_{v})^{2}}{\frac{d}{n} \cdot \sum_{\{u,v\}} (\mathbf{x}_{u} - \mathbf{x}_{v})^{2}}$$

End

Ragesh Jaiswal, IITD COL866: Foundations of Data Science

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Ξ