
COL866: Foundations of Data Science**Notes: Power Method**

Let us complete the discussion on the power method. We were analysing the following (randomized) algorithm for computing the first singular value and vectors of the given $n \times d$ data matrix A . We work under the assumption that $\log(\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}) \geq \lambda$.

FindFirstSingular(A)

- Sample \mathbf{x}_0 from a spherical Gaussian with 0 mean and variance 1
- $s \leftarrow \frac{\log(\frac{8d}{\varepsilon\delta} \log(\frac{2n}{\delta}))}{2\lambda}$
- For $i = 1$ to s
 - $\mathbf{x}_i \leftarrow (A^T A)\mathbf{x}_{i-1}$
- $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1 \leftarrow \frac{\mathbf{x}_s}{\|\mathbf{x}_s\|}$
- $\tilde{\sigma}_1 \leftarrow \|A\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1\|$
- $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_1 \leftarrow \frac{A\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1}{\tilde{\sigma}_1}$
- return($\tilde{\sigma}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1$)

For every i we can write $\mathbf{x}_i = \sum_j \alpha_j^i \mathbf{v}_j$. Since $A^T A = \sum_i \sigma_i^2 \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_i^T$, we have that $\alpha_j^i = \alpha_j^{i-1} \sigma_j^2$. This further gives $\alpha_j^s = \alpha_j^0 \sigma_j^{2s}$. So, for any i , we have

$$\frac{|\mathbf{x}_s^T \mathbf{v}_1|}{|\mathbf{x}_s^T \mathbf{v}_i|} = \frac{|\alpha_1^0|}{|\alpha_i^0|} \left(\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_i}\right)^{2s} \quad (1.0.1)$$

The next claim will help establish the claimed bound on the estimate.

Claim 1: If RHS of the above equation is at least d/ε , then $|\tilde{\sigma}_1 - \sigma_1| \leq \varepsilon$

Proof: For any unit vector \mathbf{x} , $\|A\mathbf{x}\| \leq \sigma_1$. So, what we need to show is that $\tilde{\sigma}_1 \geq (1 - \varepsilon)\sigma_1$.

We have $\mathbf{x}_s = \sum_j \alpha_j^0 \sigma_j^{2s} \mathbf{v}_j$ and $A = \sum_j \sigma_j \mathbf{u}_j \mathbf{v}_j^T$. So, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\sigma}_1 &= \frac{\|A\mathbf{x}_s\|}{\|\mathbf{x}_s\|} = \frac{\|\sum_j \sigma_j \alpha_j^0 \sigma_j^{2s} \mathbf{u}_j\|}{\|\sum_j \alpha_j^0 \sigma_j^{2s} \mathbf{v}_j\|} \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{\sum_j (\sigma_j \alpha_j^0 \sigma_j^{2s})^2}}{\sqrt{\sum_j (\alpha_j^0 \sigma_j^{2s})^2}} \\
&\geq \frac{\sigma_1 |\alpha_1^0| \sigma_1^{2s}}{\sqrt{\sum_j (\alpha_j^0 \sigma_j^{2s})^2}} \\
&= \frac{\sigma_1}{\left(1 + \sum_{j=2}^d \left(\frac{|\alpha_j^0| \sigma_j^{2s}}{|\alpha_1^0| \sigma_1^{2s}}\right)^2\right)^{1/2}} \\
&\geq \frac{\sigma_1}{(1 + \varepsilon^2/d)^{1/2}} \\
&\geq \sigma_1 \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2d}\right) \\
&\geq \sigma_1 (1 - \varepsilon)
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the claim. \blacksquare

The condition $\frac{|\alpha_1^0|}{|\alpha_i^0|} \left(\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_i}\right)^{2s} \geq d/\varepsilon$ gives the following bound on s (which determines the running time of the algorithm):

$$s \geq \frac{\log\left(\frac{d |\alpha_i^0|}{\varepsilon |\alpha_1^0|}\right)}{2\lambda}$$

So, an upper bound on $\frac{|\alpha_i^0|}{|\alpha_1^0|}$ helps us get a bound on s . First, we note that for all i , α_i^0 is a gaussian with 0 mean and variance 1. The next simple claim gives a lower bound on $|\alpha_1^0|$.

Claim 2: $\Pr[|\alpha_1^0| < \delta/4] \leq \delta/2$.

Proof: This follows from the fact that the gaussian density at 0 is at most 1 and $\Pr[|\alpha_1^0| < \delta/4] \leq 2(\delta/4) = \delta/2$. \blacksquare

We now try to get an upper bound on $|\alpha_i^0|$. We again use the fact that α_i^0 is a Gaussian with 0 means and variance 1. For any $t > 1$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pr[|\alpha_i^0| > t] &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_t^\infty e^{-x^2/2} dx \\
&\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_t^\infty \frac{x}{t} e^{-x^2/2} dx \\
&\leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cdot \frac{e^{-t^2/2}}{t} \leq e^{-t^2/2}
\end{aligned}$$

We prove the above next claim using the above inequality.

Claim 3: $\Pr[\forall i, |\alpha_i^0| < \sqrt{2 \log(2d/\delta)}] \geq (1 - \delta/2)$.

Proof: The proof follows from a straightforward application of the previous inequality. ■

Combining claims (2) and (3), we get that with probability at least $(1 - \delta)$, $\frac{|\alpha_i^0|}{|\alpha_1^0|} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2 \log(2d/\delta)}}{\delta/4}$. This completes the argument that with probability at least $(1 - \delta)$ the algorithm outputs an ε -accurate estimate of the first singular value.