

---

**COL 351: Analysis and Design of Algorithms****Instructor:** Ragesh Jaiswal

---

1. (*Weak Duality*) A linear programming problem in the standard form can be written in short using the following vector notation:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{LP1:} \text{ Maximize } (c^T \cdot x), \\ \text{subject to } A \cdot x \leq b \\ x \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Here  $x, c, b$  are vectors and  $A$  is a  $m \times n$  matrix. Consider the following related linear program:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{LP2:} \text{ Minimize } (b^T \cdot y), \\ \text{subject to } A^T \cdot y \geq c \\ y \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Here  $y$  is vector of size  $m$ . Consider the following linear program:

Let  $f_1$  be any feasible solution for LP1 and let  $f_2$  be any feasible solution for LP2. Show that  $f_1 \leq f_2$ .

2. (*Randomized rounding*) Linear programming can be used to obtain approximation algorithms for NP-hard problems. Consider the Set cover problem. Here we are given subsets  $S_1, \dots, S_m \subseteq U$  and we want to find the minimum sized subset  $I \subseteq \{S_1, \dots, S_m\}$  such that the union of elements of subsets in  $S$  is equal to  $U$ . We may solve the following ILP to obtain a solution to the problem:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Minimize } \sum_{i=1}^m x_i, \\ \text{Subject to :} \\ \sum_{i:e \in S_i} x_i \geq 1, \text{ for all } e \in U \\ x_i \in \{0, 1\}, \text{ for all } x_i \end{array}$$

The issue here is that we know ILP is NP-hard. We relax the integer constraint to obtain the following LP:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Minimize } \sum_{i=1}^m x_i, \\ \text{Subject to :} \\ \sum_{i:e \in S_i} x_i \geq 1, \text{ for all } e \in U \\ x_i \geq 0, \text{ for all } x_i \end{array}$$

Suppose the optimal solution of the above LP be  $x_1^*, \dots, x_m^*$ . Now we pick the set cover using the following randomized algorithm:

1.  $I \leftarrow \phi$
2. For all  $i$ :  $I \leftarrow I \cup S_i$  with probability  $x_i^*$ .
3. Repeat (2) until all elements are covered.

Show the following:

- (a) The number of times step (2) is executed is at at most  $(2 \log n)$  with probability at least  $(1 - 1/n)$ .
- (b) The above randomized algorithm with high probability gives an  $O(\log n)$  approximation to the set cover problem.